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Executive Summary

The Convention on Biological Diversity signed by 150 states in Rio de Janeiro in 1992 calls on signatories to identify and components of their state biodiversity and prioritise ecosystems and habitats, species and communities and genomes of social, scientific and economic value.

The new IUCN Red List criteria have been revised by IUCN to reflect the need for greater objectivity and precision when categorising species for conservation action. The CAMP process, developed by the Conservation Breeding Specialist Group, has emerged as an effective, flexible, participatory and scientific methodology for conducting species prioritisation exercises using the IUCN criteria.

Since 1995, the Foundation for Revitalisation of Local Health Traditions has been conducting CAMP Workshops for one of the major groups of conservation concern, medicinal plants. The present workshop is the third in a series which has assessed 139 pre-selected taxa. These pioneering exercises by FRLHT led to the CAMP process and IUCN Red List Categories being selected by the Endangered Species Subgroup for use in the species prioritisation component of the Biodiversity Conservation Prioritisation Project for India. The first of a series of seven workshops took up selected north, north east, central, and north western medicinal plants for assessment. The combined output of xxx plants assessed in the three workshops of FLRHT and the one workshop under BCPP were noted and used to propose a revised Negative List of Exports, a revised list of species for inclusion on the Wildlife Protection Act and to suggest other conservation measures at the state level.

Therefore, the FRLHT CAMP workshops have - in a very short time - made an extremely significant impact, not only on the conservation of medicinal plants in the southern states which has been to date the mandate of FRLHT, but on the whole country.

The Conservation Action and Management Plan Workshop was developed by CBSG for the purpose of prioritising species for conservation action. Over the last decade, CBSG has conducted dozens of CAMP workshops for literally thousands of species, using (and thereby testing) whatever was the current iteration of the IUCN Red List Categories as the basic methodology to glean a status ranking.

CAMP Workshops bring together a variety of specialists and enthusiasm from academic, government, managerial, and even the commercial sector to evaluate taxa for setting priorities for conservation action. The fear of loss and hope of recovery of species drives CAMP Workshops. Individuals part with unpublished information in order to contribute to a body of information which will provide strategic guidance for application of intensive management and information gathering. CAMP Workshops results, are, or should be, dynamic, leading to specific conservation activities in forest, market, classroom, courtroom — locally and nationally as well as on the international stage.

Medicinal plants are receiving an enormous amount of attention today. The resurgence of interest in natural systems of medicine, in indigenous peoples and practices, the increasing use of parts or extracts or compounds made from medicinal plants, the realisation of the potential loss through both domestic and foreign trade, and the publicity engendered by the
Convention on Biodiversity and Gatt treaty have combined to form what is practically a "movement" for medicinal plants.

FRLHT is a non-governmental organisation which was launched to preserve and promote India's traditional medical legacy. Its primary objective is to enhance understanding and awareness of the need for conservation and stress the importance of medicinal plants in primary health care. FRLHT utilises the output of the CAMP Workshop to carry out its objectives of conservation, research and education. Some of the ways CAMP species have been used are: assembling a data base (including line drawings, photos, information, maps; initiating a Genome Resource Banking programme; producing and distributing thousands of attractive posters and handouts; setting up conservation parks and demonstration gardens.

A Conservation Action and Management Plan (C.A.M.P.) Workshop for selected species of Medicinal Plants of southern India was held in Bangalore, India from 16-18 January 1997, organised by the Foundation for Revitalisation of Local Health Traditions (F.R.L.H.T.). This Workshop was the third in a series of workshops on selected species of rare southern Indian medicinal plants conducted in 1995, 1996, and 1997. Southern Indian Medicinal Plants CAMP, 1995 was a landmark exercise in that it was the first time a Conservation Action and Management Plan workshop had been carried out exclusively for plants and also on a country-regional basis. The two follow-up workshops, Southern Indian Medicinal Plants CAMPs (1996 and 1997) to assess additional species, many of them recommended by participants of previous workshops, was also an innovative use of the CAMP process.

The goals of the CAMP Workshop were:
1. To use populations, habitat and threat parametres to assess the conservation status and assign an IUCN Red List ranking to 64 species of southern Indian Medicinal Plants selected by workshop participants of CAMP 1996 and FRLHT,

2. To provide information about the species which would be useful in drawing up Action Plans and Management Plans, including recommendations for in situ and ex situ management; research, survey and monitoring; cultivation; investigation of limiting factors; taxonomic and other specific research; education and activism.

3. To produce a Conservation Assessment and Management Plan Report for the 64 species, which after review and comment by workshop participants, would be distributed to all parties interested in medicinal plants conservation.

Thirty-six species of medicinal plants were assessed in CAMP I in 1995, 44 in CAMP II in 1996 and 64 in CAMP III, 1997 using the revised IUCN categories of threat. The 64 plants were divided into four groups of 16 each and each participant was assigned to one of four Working Groups. These were then passed around to all the other groups for additions and corrections. Plenary sessions to review the assessments and discuss controversial points were held from time to time. Results of this carefully guided group process were:

Of the 64 species considered, 35 are endemic to the region, 29 are non-endemic native species extending throughout India or to Southeast Asia or Africa. The endemics were
categorised under the threat categories as Critically endangered (5); Endangered (9); and Vulnerable (15) and under non-threat categories as LR-nt (2) and DD (2). The non-endemic native species were all classified according to the IUCN categories at the regional level. (EN = 10; VU = 14; LRnt = 1; LRlc = 7; DD = 3). All of the 29 non-endemic species were categorised as Data Deficient at the Global level.

Suggestions for changes in the format for Data Quality, Threats, Research recommendations and Cultivation recommendations resulting from FRLHT CAMP workshops have been incorporated into the Taxon Data Sheets in India and for the rest of the world as well.

The Draft Report was circulated to all participants and returned with corrections by nearly 50% of participants. Editorial and other corrections which did not diverge widely from the group consensus were incorporated into the Report. This Report is being circulated to participants as well as policy makers, research institutions, non-governmental organisations and field managers in southern India and the nation's capital to use in establishing conservation programmes and protection measures for rare species of medicinal plants.

Now, there is a plan to reassess the plants covered in the last three CAMPs and bring out a Red Data Book for Medicinal Plants of Southern India. This will be another innovation on the CAMP process by the Foundation for Revitalisation of Local Health Traditions.
# List of taxa assessed in the Southern Indian Medicinal Plants Conservation Assessment and Management Plan Workshop -- "C.A.M.P. III" in a series, 1997*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Taxa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td>Semecarpus travanconca Beddome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonaceae</td>
<td>Uvaria hookeri King</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= U. narum Wallich ex Hook.f. &amp; Thoms, var. macrophylla Hook.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorns.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apiaceae</td>
<td>Heracleum candoleanum (Wight &amp; Aim.) Gamble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apiaceae</td>
<td>Heracleum rigens Wallich ex DC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Chonemorpha fragrans (Moon) Alston.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= C. macrophylla G.Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araceae</td>
<td>Amorphophallus commutatus (Schott) Engl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Conophallus commutatus Schott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araceae</td>
<td>Raphidophora pertusa (Roxb.) Schott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Pathos pertusa Roxb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Monster a pertusa (Roxb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Seindapsus pertusa (Roxb.) Schott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araceae</td>
<td>Gynnema khandalense Santapau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>Gynnemamontanum (Roxb.) Hook.f. var. montanum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burseraceae</td>
<td>Canarium strictum Roxb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesalpiniaceae</td>
<td>Humboldtia vahliana Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capparaceae</td>
<td>Cleome burmanni Wight &amp; Arn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celastraceae</td>
<td>Celastrus paniculatus Willd. ssp. paniculatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combretaceae</td>
<td>Terminalia arjuna (Roxb. ex DC.) Wight &amp; Arn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td>Calophyllum apetalum Willd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= C. decipiens Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= C. wightianum Wallich ex Planchon &amp; Triana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td>Garcinia gummi-gutta (L.) Robson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= G. cambogia (Gaertn.) Desr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td>Garcinia rubro-echinata Kosterm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= G. echinocarpa Gamble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td>Garcinia talboir Raizada ex Santapau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= G. ovalifolius (Roxb.) Hook.f. var. macrantha Hook.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= G malabarica Taibot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td>Garcinia travancorica Beddome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td>Luffa umbellata Klein ex Willd. Roemer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td>Trichosanthes anamalayana Beddome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td>Trichosanthes cucumerina L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipterocarpaceae</td>
<td>Dipterocarpus indicus Beddome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebenaceae</td>
<td>Diospyros candolleana Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebenaceae</td>
<td>Diospyros panculata Dalz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaeocarpaceae</td>
<td>Elaeocarpus serratus L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Baliospermum montanum (Willd.) Muell.-Arg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= B. acillare Blume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= B. polyandrum Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Jatropha montana Willd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Dalbergia horrida (Dennst.) Mobb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= D. sympathetica Nimmo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flacourtiaeae</td>
<td>Hydnocarpus alpina Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flacourtiaeae</td>
<td>Hydnocarpus pentandra (Buch.-Ham.) Oken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= H. laurifolia (Dennst.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentianaceae</td>
<td>Swertia corymbosa (Griseb.) Wight ex B.Clarke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentianaceae</td>
<td>Swertia lawii(Wight ex B.Clarke) Burkill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hippocrateaceae  Salacia oblonga  Wallich ex Wight & Am.
Hippocrateaceae  Salacia reticulata  Wight
Lamiaceae  Plectranthus nilgherriacus  Benth.
Lauraceae  Cinnamomum malabatrum (Burm.f.) Blume.
            = C. macrocarpum  Hook.f.
Lauraceae  Cinnamomum sulphuratrum  Nees.
Lauraceae  Cinnamomum wightii  Meissner
Lauraceae  Persea macrantha (Nees) Kosterm.
            = Machilus macrantha  Nees
Liliaceae  Smilax zeylanica  L.
            = S. macrophylla  Wight
Logainaceae  Strychnos aenea  A.W. Hill
            = S. rheedii  Brandis
Magnoliaceae  Michelia nilagirica  Zenk.
Meliaceae  Aphanamixispolystachya (Wallich) Parker
            = Aglaiapolyastbchya  Wallrich
            = Amoora rohituka (Roxb.) Wight & Am.
            = Andersonia rohituka  Roxb.
Meliaceae  Dysoxylum malabaricum  Beddome ex Hiern
Moraceae  Artocarpus hirsutus  Lam.
Myristicaceae  Knema attenuata  (Wallich ex Hook.f. & Thomson) Warb.
            = Myristica attenuata  Wallich ex Hook.f. & Thomson
Myristicaceae  Myristica dactyloides  Gaertner
            = M. beddomei  King
            = M. contorta  Warb.
Myrsinaceae  Embelia tsjeriam-cottam (Roemer & Schutes) DC.
            = E. robusta  auct. non Roxb.
Ophioglossaceae  Helminthostachys zeylanicus  (L.) Hook.
            = H. dulcis  Kaulf.
Orchidaceae  Dendrobium ovatum  (Willd.) Kranzl.
Orchidaceae  Eulophia cullenii  (Wight) Blume
Orchidaceae  Eulophiaramentacea  Lindl. ex Wight
            = E. pratensis  Lindl.
Periplocaceae  Decalepis hamiltonii  Wight & Am.
Santalaceae  Santalum album  L.
Sapindaceae  Sapindus laurifolia  Vahl
            = S. trifoliatus  sensu Hiern. non L.
Sapotaceae  Madhuca longifolia var. longifolia  (Koering) Macbr.
            = Bassia longifolia  Koering
Sapotaceae  Madhuca nerifolia  (Moon) H.J. Lam.
            = Bassia malabarica  Beddome
Sterculiaceae  Pterospermum xylocarpum  (Gaertner) Santapau & Wagh
            = P. heyneanum  Wallich ex Wight & Am.
Valerianaceae  Valeriana leschenaultii  DC.
Verbenaceae  Vitex trifolia  L.
Zingiberaceae  Alpinia galanga  Sw.
            = A. rheedii  Wight
Zingiberaceae  Curcuma pseudomontana  Graham
            = C. ranadei  Prain
            = C. montana  sensu Baker
Zingiberaceae  Curcuma zedoaria  (Christm.) Roscoe
            = C. zerumbet  Roxb.

* arranged alphabetically according to family
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CAMP III Results
### Summary Data Table for selected species of Medicinal plants of southern India (CAMP III)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anacardiaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semecarpus travancorica</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR, EO</td>
<td>M, P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anonaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uvaria hookeri</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apiaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heracleum candolleanum</td>
<td>Perennial herb</td>
<td>Montane Shola grassland</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many F</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hm, L, T</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR, EO</td>
<td>M, Hm</td>
<td>S, M, Hm, Lh</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heracleum rigeiis</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Bare slopes</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tp</td>
<td>VU-R</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apocynaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chonemorpha fragrans</td>
<td>Large woody climber</td>
<td>MDF to EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>L, Hm</td>
<td>EN-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, M, Hm, Lh</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Araceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphophallus commutatus</td>
<td>Cormus herb</td>
<td>MDF to SEF in open, forest fringes</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>L, Hf, Hm, P, Lf</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, M, Hm, Lh</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphidophora pertusa</td>
<td>Epiphytic climber</td>
<td>DDF, SEF to EF</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Tp</td>
<td>VU-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Hm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asclepiadaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnema khandalense</td>
<td>Woody climber</td>
<td>MDF</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4, F</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hm, T</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnema montanum var. montanum</td>
<td>Climber</td>
<td>SEF to EF</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4, F</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>Ov, Tp, Hm</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burseraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canarium striatum</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>TDE to EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many F</td>
<td>&gt; 20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, I, T, Hm, Ov</td>
<td>VU-R</td>
<td>PR, EO</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesalpiniaee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldtia vahliana</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>EF along river banks/ beds</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many F</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hm, Tp</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capparaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleome burmanni</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>L, I, T,</td>
<td>Hm, Tp</td>
<td>DD-R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celastraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celastrus paniculatus ssp. paniculatus</td>
<td>Climbing shrub</td>
<td>DDF, MDF to SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L,</td>
<td>Hm, Tp</td>
<td>VU-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Hm, O</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combretaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminalia arjuna</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>MDF to SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&lt;20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ht, Tp, Hm</td>
<td>LRnt - R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calophyllum apetalum</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>SEF and EF along river and stream bank</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many F</td>
<td>&gt; 20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>L, Ht, Hm, T</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR, EO</td>
<td>G, M</td>
<td>Hm,</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia gummi-gutta</em></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>SEF to EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Hf, Hm, T</td>
<td>Lmt</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, M, Hm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia rubro-echinata</em></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lf, Tp</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gracinia talbotir</em></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>SEF to EF</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>L, Hf, T</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia travancohca</em></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>ESF</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>&lt;250</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>I, Hm, T</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>PR, EO, PE, NM</td>
<td>M, G</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cucurbitaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Luffa umbellata</em></td>
<td>Climber</td>
<td>Edges of EF along foothills</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Few</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, T</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichosanthes anamalaiensis</em></td>
<td>Climber</td>
<td>SEF to SF</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2, F</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>10 yr</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>I, Hm, T</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M, P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichosanthes cucumerina var. cucumerina</em></td>
<td>Climber</td>
<td>Coast to DF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many F</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>DD-R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dipterocarpaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dipterocarpus indicus</em></td>
<td>Large tree</td>
<td>SEF to EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Hl, T</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, M, Hm, Lh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shorea tumbuggaia</em></td>
<td>Medium tree</td>
<td>DDF</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Very few, F</td>
<td>&gt; 80%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>L, Lf, Hm</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>PR, EO</td>
<td>S, M, Hm, Lh,0</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ebenaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diospyros candolleana</em></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>MDF to EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Hm, T</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diospyros paniculata</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Moist SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>9, F</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>3 gen</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lf, Lp, T, Hm</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, Hm</td>
<td>M, Lh</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaeocarpaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaeocarpus serratus</td>
<td>Small to medium tree</td>
<td>MDF to SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>2 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>LRnt - R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baliospermum montanum</td>
<td>Under shrub</td>
<td>SEF at low elevations</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Many F</td>
<td>20-30%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lf, Ov Hm, T</td>
<td>EN-R</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>M, Hm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalbergia horrida</td>
<td>Climbing shrub</td>
<td>MDF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Hm</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>M, T</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flacourtiaeaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydnocarpus alpina</td>
<td>Tall tree</td>
<td>EF along stream banks, moist valleys</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many F</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Ov, Hm, Lf, Tp</td>
<td>EN-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, M, Hm, Lh, Lr, PP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydnocarpus pentandra</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>MDF to SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&gt; 20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lf, Ov, P Hm, T</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>M, Lh, P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentianaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swertia corymbosa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many F</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Lf, Hm, P, T</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR, EO</td>
<td>M, Lh, P</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swertia lawii</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Few, F</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>L, Lp, P</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M, Lh, PP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocrateaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salacia oblonga</td>
<td>Climbing shrub</td>
<td>MDF to EF</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;5, F</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, Lh, Hm, M, PP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salacia reticulata</td>
<td>Scandent shrub</td>
<td>SEF, coastal</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L,Tp, Hm</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, Lh, M, PP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plectranthus nilgherius</td>
<td>Tall herb/ under shrub</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Few, F</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Li</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, Lh, Lm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamomum malabatrum</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>MDF to EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&gt;30%</td>
<td>3 gen</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>Hm, Tp</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, Lr, O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamomum sulphuratum</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>MDF to EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L,Tp, Hm</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, Lr, O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamomum wightii</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Few, F</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, T, Hm</td>
<td>EN-R</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M, T</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persea macrantha</td>
<td>Large tree</td>
<td>SEF to EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ht, T, Hm</td>
<td>VU-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Hm, Lh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smilax zeylanica</td>
<td>Climbing shrub</td>
<td>Scrub, DDF to EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L,Tp, Hm</td>
<td>Lnt R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M, Lh, Hm, PP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logainaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strychnos aenea</td>
<td>Climbing shrub</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>3 gen</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Ov, Hm</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>PR, EO</td>
<td>S, Lh, M, PP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnoliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelia nilagirica</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>EF to SF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>VU R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>M, Hm</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphanamixis polystachya</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>MDF, SEF, EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>10 yr</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>L, Tp, Hm</td>
<td>VU-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, Lh, M, PP Hm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysoxylum malabaricum</td>
<td>Large tree</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>3 gen</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Ov, Lf, Lp, Ht, T, Hm</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, Lh, Hm, M, PP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artocarpus hirsutus</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>MEF to SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>T, Ht, T</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, T</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristicaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knema attenuata</td>
<td>Medium tree</td>
<td>SEF and EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&lt;20%</td>
<td>2 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>L, T, H</td>
<td>LRnt</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristica dactyloides</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hm, Ov, T</td>
<td>VU-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>M, O, P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrsinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embelia tsjeriam-cottam</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>DDF, MDF, and SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Few, F</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td>Lf, Ls, Hm, T</td>
<td>E-N-R</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>M, T</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophioglossaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helminthostachys zeylanicus</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Swamps, Marshes, cool forest floors</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Many F</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hf, Tp Hm, I</td>
<td>EN-R</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orchidaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dendrobium ovatum</em></td>
<td>Epiphytic herb</td>
<td>Open grassland in MDF to SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Lf, I</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eulophia cullenii</em></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Grasslands</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5, F</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, I, P, Hm, T</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>S, M, P</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eulophia ramentacea</em></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Grasslands</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Few, F</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td>L, I</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>M, G</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Periplocaeeae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Decalepis hamiltonii</em></td>
<td>Climber</td>
<td>DDF to MDF</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Few, F</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, Ov, P, Tp, Hm</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>EO</td>
<td>G, 0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Santalaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Santalum album</em></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>DDF, MDF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LP, D, Ov, Ht, T, Hm</td>
<td>EN-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Hm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sapindaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sapindus laurifolia</em></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>DF to SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tp</td>
<td>LRnt - R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sapotaceae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Madhuca longifolia var. longifolia</em></td>
<td>Large tree</td>
<td>DF to MF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>3 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>L, Ht, Ov, T Hm, P</td>
<td>EN-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Hm, Lh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Madhuca neriifolia</em></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>SEF, EF along water courses</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>L, Hm, T</td>
<td>VU-R</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, Hm, M, PP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterculiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pterospermum xylocarpum</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Mixed DF, MDF, SEF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
<td>2 gen.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L, H, Tp</td>
<td>LRnt - R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hm, Lh</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valerianaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeriana leschenaultii</td>
<td>Large herb</td>
<td>Shola, EF margins</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3, F</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>S, Hm M, Lh</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbenaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitex trifolia</td>
<td>Shrub/ small tree</td>
<td>Coast</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hm, Tp</td>
<td>LRnt - R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpinia galanga</td>
<td>Perennial herb</td>
<td>EF along streams, DF</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>DD-R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcuma pseudomontana</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>MDF to SEF along water courses</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>&gt;30%</td>
<td>10 yr.</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hm, Tp, Ov</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Hm, P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcuma zedoana</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>MDF</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Few</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hm, Tp</td>
<td>LRnt - R</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, T, Lr, P</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Habitat:**  
DDF = Dry Deciduous Forest; MDF = Moist Deciduous Forest; Mixed DF = Mixed Deciduous Forest; DF = Deciduous Forest; SEF = Semi Evergreen Forest; EF = Evergreen Forest; NK = Not Known; F = Fragmented

**Range:**  
A = < 100 sq km; B = < 5,000 sq. km.; C = < 20,000 sq. km.; D = > 20,000 sq km.

**Area:**  
A = <10 sq km, B = < 500 sq. km.; C = <2,000 sq. km.; D = > 2,000 sq km.

**Data Quality:**  
1 = Reliable census or population monitoring; 2 = General field study; 3 = Informal field sighting; 4 = Indirect information

**Threat:**  
L = Loss of habitat; Lf = Loss of habitat due to fragmentation; D = Disease; H = Harvest; HF = Harvest for food; Hm = Harvest for medicine; Ht = Harvest for timber; I = Human interference, L = Loss of habitat; Lf = Loss of habitat due to fragmentation, Lp = Loss of habitat due to exotic plants; Ls = Landslide; Ov = Over exploitation; P = Predation; Sf = Fire as catastrophic event; T = Trade; Tp = Trade of parts

**Research Recommendations:**  
G = Genetic management; H = Husbandry research; Hm = Habitat management; Lh = Life history studies; Lm = Limiting factor management; Lr = Limiting factor research; M = Monitoring; O = Other (specific to the species); P = PHVA; PP = PHVA pending further work; S = Survey - search and find; T = Taxonomic and morphological genetic studies; TI = Translocations

**Cultivation Recommendations:**  
1 = Cultivation for conservation either only in situ or both in situ and ex situ with the population maintaining 90% genetic diversity for 100 years, 2 = same as 1 but with periodic reinforcement of cultivations with genetic material from the wild; 3 = Cultivation only for research, education or husbandry but not for conservation; P = Pending

**Level of difficulty:**  
1 = Least difficult; 2 = Moderately difficult; 3 = Very difficult
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Activities of FRLHT using CAMP I (1995) and II (1996)  
Species of Medicinal Plants

* Database for CAMP species:

FRLHT has collected photographs, negatives, and slides of type specimens as well as references in the Library at Kew Gardens. They have also listed and begun collecting similar material from the Oriental and India Office Library, London. Some of this material is available only in these institutions and will make a useful addition to the national botanical reference repository.

* Maps:

Work has been completed on 40 eco-distribution maps of CAMP species assessed as threatened.

* Genome Resource Banking:

A Memorandum of Understanding with the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi is under consideration for longterm storage of seeds of CAMP targeted threatened species.

* Education:

An attractive Red List poster has been designed and printed in four languages. This poster has been distributed or sold in 1996. Stickers of four threatened species from CAMP assessments have been produced. Several thousand of these educational items have been distributed.

* Ex situ conservation:

Live specimens of 28 CAMP species have been collected and are growing in demonstration gardens of 11 ex situ Medicinal Plants Conservation Parks.
## Commitments

### Suggestions of species for next CAMP Workshop and Participant Specialists volunteering to work on them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Deficient Species from CAMP III, 1997</th>
<th>Specialist committed to survey DD species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpinia galanga</td>
<td>Dr. S. Armagum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleome burmanni</td>
<td>Dr. V. S. Ramachandra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luffa ambellata</td>
<td>Dr. S. Armagum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcuma zedoria</td>
<td>Dr. V. S. Ramachandra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smilax wrightii</td>
<td>Dr. A. G. Pandurangan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichosanthes cucumerina</td>
<td>Dr. K. Ravi Kumar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uvaria hookerii</td>
<td>Dr. A. G. Pandurangan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcinia rubro chinata</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. talbotii</td>
<td>Dr. K. Ravi Kumar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herableum regens</td>
<td>Dr. M. B. Vishwanath</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New species suggested:

| Luffa acutangula                          | Mr. A. E. Shanawaz Khan                  |
| (suggested by Shahnaz Khan)               |                                          |
What is a CAMP Workshop?
Conservation Assessment and Management Plan (CAMP)

The Conservation Assessment and Management Plan (or CAMP) Workshop is a process which has been developed specifically to respond to the need for basic information which reflects a consensus by specialists and other stakeholders in the range states.

CAMPs are intended to provide strategic guidance for application of intensive management and information collection techniques to threatened taxa. CAMPs provide a rational and comprehensive means of assessing priorities for intensive management within the context of the broader conservation needs of threatened taxa.

CAMP Workshops were developed by the Conservation Breeding Specialist Group (CBSG) whose primary role in SSC, IUCN is to contribute to the development of holistic (i.e., integrating in situ and ex situ) and viable conservation strategies and action plans.

The CAMP process assembles a broad spectrum of expertise on wild and captive management of the taxa under review, bringing together 10-40 experts (e.g., wildlife managers, researchers, scientists, NGOs and individual specialists to evaluate the threat status of all taxa in a broad group (e.g., an order or family), country, or geographic region to set conservation action and information-gathering priorities using the new IUCN Red List Criteria.

The New IUCN Red List Categories

The threatened species categories now used in Red Data Books and Red Lists had been in place, with some modification, for almost 30 years. The Mace-Lande criteria (1991) were one developmental step in an attempt to make those categories more explicit, and were tested extensively in early CAMPs. These criteria subsequently have been revised and formulated into the New IUCN Red List Categories which were approved by IUCN in 1994.

The New IUCN Red List Categories provide a system that facilitates comparisons across widely different taxa, and is based both on population and distribution criteria. These criteria can be applied to any taxonomic unit at or below the species level, with sufficient range among the different criteria to enable the appropriate listing of taxa from the complete spectrum of taxa, with the exception of micro-organisms (Mace et al., 1994).

The New IUCN Red List Categories are: Extinct (EX); Extinct in the Wild (EW); Critically Endangered (CR); Endangered (EN); Vulnerable (VU); Conservation Dependent (CD); Lower Risk (LR); Data Deficient (DD); Not Evaluated (NE).

The CAMP Process

The CAMP process itself is intensive and interactive. It is unique in its ability to facilitate objective and systematic prioritization of research and management actions needed for species conservation. Participants develop the assessments of risks and formulate recommendations for action using a Taxon Data Sheet that allows recording of detailed information about each taxon under review, including data on the status of populations and habitat in the wild as well as recommendations for intensive conservation action. The Taxon Data Sheet is augmented by a spreadsheet that summarizes data written on the Taxon Data Sheet and provides for rapid review or comparison of taxa. Now a computer programme has been designed for entering CAMP data and aiding analysis.

During a CAMP process, the wild (and captive, if applicable) status for each taxon under consideration are reviewed, on a taxon-by-taxon basis (usually at the subspecies level). For each taxon, there is an attempt to estimate the total population. It is very difficult, even agonizing, to be numerate because so little quantitative data on population sizes and distribution exists. However, it is frequently possible to provide order-of-magnitude estimates, especially whether the total population is greater or less than the numerical thresholds for the population data used in determining categories of threat. CAMP spreadsheets include a "data quality" column so that "guesstimates" can be distinguished from population estimates based on solid documentation.

The CAMP process attempts to be as quantitative or numerate as possible for two major reasons:
1) Action plans ultimately must establish numerical objectives for population sizes and distribution if they are to be viable.
2) Numbers provide for more objectivity, less ambiguity, more comparability, better communication and hence cooperation.
Information about population fragmentation and trends, distribution, as well as habitat changes and environmental stochasticity also are considered. For each taxon reviewed, two major activities are carried out:

1) assigning taxa to New IUCN Red List Category of Threat;

2) making recommendations for research and management activities which contribute to the taxon's conservation.

CAMP recommendations aim to more fully integrate recommended research and management actions and known threats. Research management can be defined as an interactive management program including a strong feedback loop between management activities, evaluation of their effectiveness, and the response of the species. Management recommendations may include captive programs if they can contribute to the conservation of the taxon.

**Review Process for CAMPs**

The results of the Initial CAMP process are reviewed: 1) by distribution of a preliminary draft to the workshop participants; 2) by distribution to a broader audience which includes CAMP participants, wildlife managers and policy makers; 3) by periodic distribution of Summaries to key persons such as managers in transferrable posts. Thus CAMPs are not single events but part of a continuing and evolving process of developing conservation and recovery plans for the taxa involved. CAMP Reports are "living" documents that can be continually reassessed and revised as new information becomes available and as the national or regional situation changes for better or worse.

In order to insure that a maximum amount of productive interaction takes place with a minimum of wasted energy, Ground Rules - based on principles of group dynamics - are made explicit at the beginning of a CAMP process and a "contract" between all participants made. The Groundrules are:

- Every idea or plan or belief about the Taxon or Region can be examined and discussed.
- Everyone participates in discussions and no one dominates.
- Everyone will set aside all special agendas except conserving the Taxon under assessment
- Everyone assumes good intent of other participants and treats them with respect.
- Everyone agrees to stick to the schedule ... to begin and end promptly.
- Primary work is conducted in sub-groups with periodic plenaries for review
- Facilitators of plenary sessions or working groups can call "time out" if discussion reaches an impasse, strays too far off the topic at hand or degenerates into unproductive interaction.
- Agreements or recommendations are reached by consensus
- Group goal is complete and review a draft report by the end of the meeting.
- Flexibility is important... to adjust process and schedule as needed to achieve goals.

**As you all know...**

Reduction and fragmentation of wildlife populations and habitats are occurring at a rapid and accelerating rate. The results for an increasing number of taxa are small and isolated populations that are at risk of extinction. For such populations, more intensive management is necessary for their survival and recovery. To an ever increasing extent, this intensive management will include habitat management and restoration, intensified information gathering, captive breeding and other strategies. The problems for wildlife are so enormous that it is vital to apply the limited resources available for intensive management as efficiently and effectively as possible. The CAMP process provides a means of doing just that.

**CBSG, India**

The CAMP Workshop Process was developed by the Conservation Breeding Specialist Group of SSC, IUCN. CBSG conducts CAMP Workshops all over the world and also Training in Facilitation and organisation of same. CBSG, India is a recognised Regional Network of CBSG whose main office is in Minnesota. CBSG, India conducts CAMP and PHVA workshops in India using tools and techniques developed by CBSG but suitably modified for regional conditions. For a summary of two previous CAMP Reports (on medicinal plants) which contain more detailed information about CBSG, India, PHVA Workshops conducted in India and the CAMP process, write to ZOO/CBSG, India, Box 1683, Peelamedu, Coimbatore 4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Sanskrit Name</th>
<th>Habit</th>
<th>Parts Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Althus precatorius L.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Gunja</td>
<td>aminber (W)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Abutilon hirtum G. Don</td>
<td>Malvaceae</td>
<td>Athisala</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Abutilon indicum (L.) Sw.</td>
<td>Malvaceae</td>
<td>Atibala</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Acacia nilotica (L.) Willd. ex Del.</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td>Bubbala</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Acacia catechu (Roxb.) Willd.</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td>Khadira</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Acacia chundra Willd.</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td>Khadira</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Acacia concinna (Wild.) DC.</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td>Saptalaa</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Achyranthes aspera var. rubro-fusca Hook. f.</td>
<td>Amaranthaceae</td>
<td>Asmantaka</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Achyranthes bidantata Blume</td>
<td>Amaranthaceae</td>
<td>Raasna</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Acorus calamus L.</td>
<td>Araceae</td>
<td>Vachaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Rhizomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Adenia hondala (Gaertn.) de Wilde</td>
<td>Passifloraceae</td>
<td>Vidari</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Tubers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Adhatoda beddomei C. B. Clarke</td>
<td>Acanthaceae</td>
<td>Vaasaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Adhatoda zeylanica Medic.</td>
<td>Acanthaceae</td>
<td>Vaasaa</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Aerva lanata (L.) Juss.</td>
<td>Amaranthaceae</td>
<td>Pashanabheda</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Aerva vigiti Hook. f.</td>
<td>Amaranthaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Alangium salvifolium (L.f.) Wang.</td>
<td>Alangiaceae</td>
<td>Ankola</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Alhizzia lebelb (L.) Willd.</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td>Sireesha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark, Gum, Seed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Alhizzia odoriflcssima (L.f.) Benth.</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td>Sireesha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Aloe barbadensis Mill.</td>
<td>Uiliaceae</td>
<td>Kurnaraa</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Alpina galanga Sw.</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td>Raasna</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Rhizomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Alstonia scholaris (L.) R. Br.</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Saptaparna</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Alternanthera sessilis (L.) R. Br. ex DC.</td>
<td>Amaranthaceae</td>
<td>Matsyaakshaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Amaranthus spinosus L.</td>
<td>Amaranthaceae</td>
<td>Tandalamahavaya</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Anamnia bacifera L.</td>
<td>Lythraceae</td>
<td>Pashanabheda</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Amorphophalus campanulatus (Roxb.) Bl. ex Decaisne</td>
<td>Araceae</td>
<td>Soorahana</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Ampelocissus aranosa (Dalz.&amp; Gibbs.) Planch.</td>
<td>Vitaceae</td>
<td>Asvakathara</td>
<td>Climber (W)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Ampelocissus umottiana Planch.</td>
<td>Vitaceae</td>
<td>Asvakathara</td>
<td>Climber (W)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Anacardium occidentale L.</td>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td>Kajutaka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark, Seeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Andrographis paniculata (Burm. f.) Wall. ex Nees</td>
<td>Acanthaceae</td>
<td>Kiraatattika</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Anisomeles malabarica (L.) R. Br. ex Sims</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>Sprakkka</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Anthecephalid indicus A. Rich.</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td>Kadamba</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Aphanamixis polytachya (Wall.) Parker</td>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td>Rohitaka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Areca catechu L.</td>
<td>Areaceae</td>
<td>Kramuka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots, Fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Aristoiocha bracteata Lam.</td>
<td>Aristolochiaceae</td>
<td>Kitamari</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Aristoiocha indicae L.</td>
<td>Aristolochiaceae</td>
<td>Eessvaree</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Aristoiocha tagaiu Cham.</td>
<td>Aristolochiaceae</td>
<td>Eessvaree</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Artimisia indica Willd.</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Naagapushpa</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Asparagas racemosus Willd.</td>
<td>Liliaceae</td>
<td>Sataavaree</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>Asparagas rottleri Baker.</td>
<td>Liliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Azadirachta indica A. Juss.</td>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td>Namba</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Bacopa monnieri (L.) Pennel</td>
<td>Scrophulariaceae</td>
<td>Brahme</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>Balanites aegyptica (L.) Delile</td>
<td>Simaroubaceae</td>
<td>Ingadeevraka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>Baliospermum montanum (Willd.) Muell.Arg.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Dangi</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>Bambusa arundinacea (Retz.) Roxb.</td>
<td>Bambuseae</td>
<td>Vamza</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Resin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>Basella alba L.</td>
<td>Basellaceae</td>
<td>Upodaka</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td>Bauhinia racemosa Lam.</td>
<td>Caesalpinaceae</td>
<td>Asmantaka</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td>Bauhinia tomentosa L.</td>
<td>Caesalpinaceae</td>
<td>Asmantaka</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td>Biophyllum reticulatum Edgew. &amp; Hook. f.</td>
<td>Oxalidaceae</td>
<td>Lajala</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.</td>
<td>Biophyllum sensitivum (L.) DC.</td>
<td>Oxalidaceae</td>
<td>Lajala</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.</td>
<td>Boerhaavia diffusa L.</td>
<td>Nyctaginaceae</td>
<td>Panarnava</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.</td>
<td>Bombax ceiba L.</td>
<td>Bombacaceae</td>
<td>Saalmalee</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark, Gum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FRLHT's PRIORITY LIST OF MEDICINAL PLANTS OF SOUTH INDIA**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Part Used</th>
<th>Plant Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Borassus flabellifera L.</td>
<td>Arecaceae</td>
<td>Taala</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Boswellia serrata Roxb.</td>
<td>Burseraceae</td>
<td>Sallakee</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Gum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Breynia retusa (Demst) Alston</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Kambojri</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Breynia vitis-idea (Burn.f.) Fischer</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Aruni</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Buchanania lanzan Spreng.</td>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td>Priyangu</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Butea monosperma (Lara.) Taub.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Palaassa</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark, Fl.&amp;Seed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Caesalpinia bonduc (L.) Roxb.</td>
<td>Caesalpiniaceae</td>
<td>Kubaeraaksi</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots, Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Caesalpinia sappan L.</td>
<td>Caesalpiniaceae</td>
<td>Patangam</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Calophyllum inophyllum L.</td>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td>Punnaga</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Calotropis gigantea (Linn.) R.Br.</td>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>Arka</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots, Lvs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Calycotepis floribunda Lam.</td>
<td>Combrotaceae</td>
<td>Pullani</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Fruits, lvs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Canarium strictum Roxb.</td>
<td>Burseraceae</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Cardiospermum halicacabum L.</td>
<td>Sapindaceae</td>
<td>Kaakatikta</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Cassia absus L.</td>
<td>Caesalpiniaceae</td>
<td>Caksusya</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Cassia auriculata L.</td>
<td>Caesalpiniaceae</td>
<td>Maarkandhee</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Cassia fistula L.</td>
<td>Caesalpiniaceae</td>
<td>Aaragvaddha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark, fr-fl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Cassia senna L.</td>
<td>Caesalpiniaceae</td>
<td>Sanna</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Cassia tora L.</td>
<td>Caesalpiniaceae</td>
<td>Chakramarda</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots, Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Cayratia pedata Juss.</td>
<td>Vitaceae</td>
<td>Godhapadi</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Whole plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Celastrus paniculata Willd.</td>
<td>Celastraceae</td>
<td>Jyotishmatee</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Centella asiatica (L.) urban</td>
<td>Apocaceae</td>
<td>Brahamee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Chonemorpha fragrans (Moon) Alston</td>
<td>Apocaceae</td>
<td>Murva</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Cinnamomum macrocarpum Hook.f.</td>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Cinnamomum wightii Meissan.</td>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Teijpatra</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Cinnamomum zeylanicum Bl.</td>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Tvak</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Cissus quadrangularis L.</td>
<td>Vitaceae</td>
<td>Vajravalee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Citrus colocynthis (L.) Sch.</td>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td>Indravananee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots, Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Citrus medical L.</td>
<td>Rutaceae</td>
<td>Beejapooora</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Cleome burmanni   Wt &amp; Am.</td>
<td>Capparidaceae</td>
<td>Capparidaceae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Cleome viscosa L.</td>
<td>Capparidaceae</td>
<td>Tilaparni</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Clerodendrum serratum (L.) Moon</td>
<td>Verbenaceae</td>
<td>Bhranggee</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Clitoria ternatea L.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Shankhapushpee</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Coccinia grandis (L) Voigt</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Bimbi</td>
<td>Shrub, Fruits</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Coccos nucifera L.</td>
<td>Arecaaceae</td>
<td>Naarikaela</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Flower</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Coleus vettiveroides K.C.Jacob.</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>Hreevaera</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Commiphora mukul Engl.</td>
<td>Burseraceae</td>
<td>Guggulu</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Gum-resin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Coscinium fenestratum (Gaertn.) Coleb.</td>
<td>Menispermacae</td>
<td>Daaruharidraa</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Costus spectuosus (Koen.) Sm.</td>
<td>Costaceae</td>
<td>Pushkaramoolam</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Creteaea magna (Lour.) DC.</td>
<td>Capparidaceae</td>
<td>Varuna</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Cryptoplepis buchanani Roem. &amp; Schultz</td>
<td>Periploaceae</td>
<td>Krishna saariva</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Curculigo orchiodes Gaertn.</td>
<td>Hyposodaceae</td>
<td>Musalee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Cysis circinalis L.</td>
<td>Cyadaceae</td>
<td>Varaguna</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Cyclea fissafulx Dunn</td>
<td>Minispermacae</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Cyclea petiata Hook.f. &amp; Th.</td>
<td>Minispermacae</td>
<td>Pathaa</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Doorva</td>
<td>Grass</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Cyperus esculentus L.</td>
<td>Cyperaceae</td>
<td>Mustaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Cyperus rotundus L.</td>
<td>Cyperaceae</td>
<td>Mustaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Rhizomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Dalbergia sissoo Roxb.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Simspaa</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Wood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Datura metel L.</td>
<td>Solanaceae</td>
<td>Dhatooara</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Leaves, fl.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Decalepis hamiltonii Wt. &amp; Arri.</td>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>Saariva</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Root</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Dedrophoe falcata (L.f.) EL</td>
<td>Loranthaceae</td>
<td>Bandak</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Desmodium haartculatum (L.) F.v.Muell.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Desmodium gangeticum (L.) DC.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Salaparni</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Desmodium triflorum (L.) DC.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Hannsapadi</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Dioscorea bulbifera L.</td>
<td>Dioscoreaceae</td>
<td>Varahee</td>
<td>Climber</td>
<td>Tubers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.</td>
<td>Dioscorea glabra L.</td>
<td>Dioscoreaceae</td>
<td>Sankhaluka</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Tubers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.</td>
<td>Dioscorea oppositifolia L.</td>
<td>Dioscoreaceae</td>
<td>Amladraka</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Tubers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110.</td>
<td>Dioscorea tonentosa Koen. ex Spreng.</td>
<td>Dioscoreaceae</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Tubers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.</td>
<td>Drosara indica L.</td>
<td>Droseraeae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112.</td>
<td>Drosera petiata Sm. Wild.</td>
<td>Droseraeae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113.</td>
<td>Dryopteris filo-mas (Linn.) Schott</td>
<td>Dryopteridaceae (Pteridophytes)</td>
<td>Fern</td>
<td>Rhizomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114.</td>
<td>Eclipta alba (L.) Hassk.</td>
<td>Astereaeae</td>
<td>Bhraangarajaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.</td>
<td>Elaeagnus conferta Roxb.</td>
<td>Elaeagnaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116.</td>
<td>Elaeocarpus serratus L.</td>
<td>Elaeocarpaceae</td>
<td>Rudraksha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117.</td>
<td>Elaeocarpus tuberculus Roxb.</td>
<td>Elaeocarpaceae</td>
<td>Rudraksha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.</td>
<td>Elettaria cardamomum (L.) Manton</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td>Aela</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Fruits, Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119.</td>
<td>Embelia ribes Burm. f.</td>
<td>Myrsinaceae</td>
<td>Vidhanga</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.</td>
<td>Embelia tojeram-coitam (R.&amp; S.) DC.</td>
<td>Myrsinaceae</td>
<td>Vidhanga</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121.</td>
<td>Emblica officinalis Gaertn.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Aamalakee</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.</td>
<td>Emilja sonchifolia (L.) DC.</td>
<td>Astereaeae</td>
<td>Sasrnuti</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.</td>
<td>Erythrina stricta Roxb.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Paaribhadra</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>bark, Leaves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124.</td>
<td>Erythrina suberosa Roxb.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>bark, Leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125.</td>
<td>Erythrina variegata L.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Paaribhadra</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>bark, Leaves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126.</td>
<td>Euphoribia antiquorum L.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Snuhee</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.</td>
<td>Euphorbia nerifolia L.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Snuhee</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots, Leaves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.</td>
<td>Euphorbia thyrmfolia L.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Dugdhika</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129.</td>
<td>Evvolous alsinoides L.</td>
<td>Convulvulaceae</td>
<td>Shankhapushpee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.</td>
<td>Feronia elephantum Con.</td>
<td>Rutaceae</td>
<td>Kapitha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.</td>
<td>Ficus bengalensis L.</td>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td>Vatha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark, Root</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.</td>
<td>Ficus racemosa L.</td>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td>Udumbara</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark, Root</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133.</td>
<td>Ficus religiosa L.</td>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td>Asvattha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark, Root</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.</td>
<td>Ficus retusa L.</td>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td>Plaksha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135.</td>
<td>Ficus ishahela Burn f.</td>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td>Plaksha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.</td>
<td>Flacourtia indica (Burm.) Herr.</td>
<td>Flacouriaceae</td>
<td>Taaleesa</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137.</td>
<td>Fumaria indica (Haussk) Pugsley</td>
<td>Fumariaceae</td>
<td>Parpathaka</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138.</td>
<td>Garcinia gummi-gutta (L.) Rob.</td>
<td>Cluciaceae</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.</td>
<td>Garcinia indica Choisy</td>
<td>Cluciaceae</td>
<td>Vrakshamla</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.</td>
<td>Garcinia morela (Gaertn.) Dest.</td>
<td>Cluciaceae</td>
<td>Tamala</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141.</td>
<td>Gardenia gumifera L.f.</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td>Hingupatree</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Resin(f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142.</td>
<td>Gardenia resifera Roth</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td>Nadihingu</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Resin(f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143.</td>
<td>Gloriosa superba L.</td>
<td>Lilieaceae</td>
<td>Laangalee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Tubers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144.</td>
<td>Glycosmis macrocarpa Wt.</td>
<td>Rutaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145.</td>
<td>Gymnelia arborea Roxb.</td>
<td>Verbenaceae</td>
<td>Gambharee</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146.</td>
<td>Gymnema sylvestre (Retz) Schuit</td>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>Maeshasringa</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147.</td>
<td>Hedychium coronarium Koenig</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td>Sathhee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Rhizomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.</td>
<td>Helicteris isora L.</td>
<td>Sterculiaceae</td>
<td>Avartani</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149.</td>
<td>Helioropium indicum L.</td>
<td>Boraginaceae</td>
<td>Vscikali</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.</td>
<td>Heliotropium keralense Siv. &amp; Mani</td>
<td>Boraginaceae</td>
<td>Vscikali</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151.</td>
<td>Hemidesmus indicus (L.) R.Br.</td>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>Saarivaa</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152.</td>
<td>Holarrhena antidysenterica (Roth) A.DC.</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Kuthaja</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153.</td>
<td>Holoptelea integrifolia (Roxb.) Planch.</td>
<td>Ulmaceae</td>
<td>Chirivilva</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark,Lvs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.</td>
<td>Holostemma annulare (Roxb.) K. Schum.</td>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>Jeevante</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155.</td>
<td>Hydnocarpus macrocarpa (Beddome) Warb.</td>
<td>Flacouriaceae</td>
<td>Tuvarakah</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156.</td>
<td>Hydnocarpus viththiana Bl.</td>
<td>Flacouriaceae</td>
<td>Tuvarakah</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157.</td>
<td>Hygrophila auriculata (Schum.) Hieme</td>
<td>Acanthaceae</td>
<td>Kokilaksah</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots,Leaves,Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158.</td>
<td>Ichnocarpus frutescens (L.) R.Br.</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Krishnasariva</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159.</td>
<td>Indigofera tinctoria L.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Neelée</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160.</td>
<td>Ipomea nil (L.) Roth</td>
<td>Convolvulaceae</td>
<td>Krishnabeeja</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161.</td>
<td>Ipomea obscura (L.) Ker-gawl.</td>
<td>Convolvulaceae</td>
<td>Lakshaman</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Plant Part</td>
<td>Habitat &amp; Use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>Ipomoea paniculata R.Br.</td>
<td>Convolvulaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>Jatropha curcas L.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stem, leaves</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Jasminum grandiflorum L.</td>
<td>Oleaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Root, seeds, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>Kaempferia galanga L.</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>Kaempferia rotunda L.</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Root, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>Janakia aryalpatra Joseph &amp; Chandrasekharan</td>
<td>Periplocaeeae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Root, turpethum</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>Janthoica floribunda Kaempferia</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>Janthoica floribunda Kaempferia</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Janthoica floribunda Kaempferia</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Lamprachamium microcephalum Benth.</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Leptadenia reticulata (Retz.) Wight &amp; Am.</td>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shrub, stems</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>Luffa spp.</td>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>Lobelia nicotinifolia Hayne</td>
<td>Campanulaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>Madhuca diplostemon (Clarke) Royen</td>
<td>Sapotaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>Madhuca insignis (Radlik) Lam.</td>
<td>Sapotaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>Madhuca longifolia (Koen) Macbr.</td>
<td>Sapotaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, wood, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>Maesa indica (Roxb) Dc.</td>
<td>Myrsinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>Mallotus philippensis (Lam.) Mull - Arg.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>Mangifera indica L.</td>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, leaves</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>Marrislea quadrifolia L.</td>
<td>Marsiliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, Whole Plant</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>Mappa foetida Miers.</td>
<td>Icacinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, seeds &amp; bark</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>Merremia tridentata (L.) Hall.f.</td>
<td>Convolvulaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>Mesua ferrea L.</td>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>Michelia champaca L.</td>
<td>Magnoliaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>Mimosa pudica L.</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>Mimosa splendidissima L.</td>
<td>Mimosaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>Mitragyna parvifolia (Roxb.) Korth.</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, bark, leaves</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>Monochoria vaginalis (Burn f.) C. Presl. ex Kunth</td>
<td>Pontederiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb, tubers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>Moringa concanensis Nimmo ex Dalz. &amp; Gibs</td>
<td>Moringaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stem, leaves</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>Moringa oleifera Lam.</td>
<td>Moringaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, leaves</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Mucuna pruriens (L.) DC.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>Murraya koenighii (L) Spr.</td>
<td>Rutaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Mussaenda frosso L.</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, leaves</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>Myristica dactyloidea Gaertn.</td>
<td>Myristicaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, seeds(ariil)</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>Myristicafragrans Haupt.</td>
<td>Myristicaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, seeds (Mace)</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>Myristica malabarica Lam.</td>
<td>Myristicaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree, seeds(ariil)</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>Nelumbo nucifera Gaertn.</td>
<td>Nymphaeaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb, stems, stamens</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>Nerium indicum Miller</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shrub, tree</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Nerilila aragouina Gaud.</td>
<td>Orchidaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Nigella salvia L.</td>
<td>Nigellaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>Niligirithus ciliatus (Nees) Bremek.</td>
<td>Acanthaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shrub, leaves</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>Nymphaea aconitacea Burn.f.</td>
<td>Nymphaeaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stem, flowers</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>Occhantaunala missanis (Wall, ex G.Don) Ridsdale</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>Ocimum basilicum L.</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>Ocimum sanctum L.</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>Oldenlandia corymbosa L.</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shrub, leaves</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>Osmymum indicum (L.) Beith. ex Kurtz.</td>
<td>Bignoniaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>Oxalis corniculata L.</td>
<td>Oxalidaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Pandanus tectorius Parkinson</td>
<td>Pandanaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Part(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>Paphiopedilum druryi P.Fitz.</td>
<td>Orchidaceae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>Pedalium murex L.</td>
<td>Pedaliaceae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>Peganum harmala L.</td>
<td>Zygophyllaceae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Phaseolus trilobus (L.) Aston</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>Phoenix pusilla Gaert.</td>
<td>Arecaceae</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>Phyllanthus amarus Schorr. &amp; Thonn.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Phyllanthus madraspatensis L.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>Phyllanthus reticulatus Poir.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>Phyllanthus rheedi Wt.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>Phyllanthus urinaria L.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>Piper barberi Gaert.</td>
<td>Piperaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>Piper longum L.</td>
<td>Piperaceae</td>
<td>Pippali</td>
<td>Shrub, Roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>Piper mulliens Buc. -hara. ex D.Don</td>
<td>Piperaceae</td>
<td>Gaja pippali</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>Piper nigrum L.</td>
<td>Piperaceae</td>
<td>Maricha</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>Plumbago rosea L.</td>
<td>Plumbaginaceae</td>
<td>Chiraka</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>Plumbago zeylanica L.</td>
<td>Plumbaginaceae</td>
<td>Chiraka</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>Polygonum glabrum Willd.</td>
<td>Polygonaceae</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots, leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>Pongamia pinnata L.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Karanja</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Baric, Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Portulaca oleracea L.</td>
<td>Portulacaceae</td>
<td>Lonikaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>Premna serratifolia L.</td>
<td>Vebenaceae</td>
<td>Agnikanthla</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>Pseudarthria viscida (L.) Wt &amp; Am.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Salapannee</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>Psoralea corylifolia L.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Baakuchee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>Pterocarpus marsupium Roxb.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Asana</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Wood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>Pterocarpus santalinus L.F.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Aguru</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Wood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>Pueria tuberosa (Roxb. ex Wild.) DC.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Vidaree</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td>Tuber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>Raphidophora pertusa (Roxb.) Schott</td>
<td>Araceae</td>
<td>Gaja pippali</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>Rauwolfia serpentina Benth.</td>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Sarpagandha</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>Rotala aquatica L.</td>
<td>Boraginaceae</td>
<td>Pushanabbeda</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>Rubia cordifolia L.</td>
<td>Rubiaceae</td>
<td>Manjishtha</td>
<td>Climber (h)</td>
<td>Stems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>Saccharum spontanum L.</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Kasha</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242</td>
<td>Salacia reticulata Wt.</td>
<td>Hippocrataceae</td>
<td>Ekaanyakam</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>Santalum album L.</td>
<td>Santalaceae</td>
<td>Chandana</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Wood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244</td>
<td>Sapindus laurifolius Vahl.</td>
<td>Sapindaceae</td>
<td>Arista</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>Saraca asoca (Roxb.) Wild.</td>
<td>Caesalpinaceae</td>
<td>Asoka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>Sarcoctennum acidum (Roxb) Voigt</td>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>Soma</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>Schizachyrium exile (Hochst.) Stapf</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Sprakka</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248</td>
<td>Schrebera swietenioides Roxb.</td>
<td>Oleaceae</td>
<td>Mushkaka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>Scindapsus officinalis Schott.</td>
<td>Araceae</td>
<td>Chavikaa</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>Semecarpus anacardium L.f.</td>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td>Bhallatama</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>Shorea robusta Garten.</td>
<td>Dipterocarpaceae</td>
<td>Kaushika</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>Sida acuta Burm. f.</td>
<td>Malvaceae</td>
<td>Balaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>Sida cordifolia L.</td>
<td>Malvaceae</td>
<td>Balaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>Sida rhombifolia L.</td>
<td>Malvaceae</td>
<td>Balaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>Solanum indicum L.</td>
<td>Solanaceae</td>
<td>Brhatee</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>Solanum melongena Var. insanum L.</td>
<td>Solanaceae</td>
<td>Brhatee</td>
<td>Shrub, Roots, Lvs,Fr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257</td>
<td>Solanum nigrum L.</td>
<td>Solanaceae</td>
<td>Kaakamachee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>Solanum xanthocarpum Sch. &amp; Wendell.</td>
<td>Solanaceae</td>
<td>Brhatee</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259</td>
<td>Sphaneanthus indicus L.</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Alambushaa</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>Spondias pinnata (L.f.) Kurz</td>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td>Aamaatra</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>Sterculia foetida L.</td>
<td>Sterculiaceae</td>
<td>Arimaeda</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>Sterculia guttata Roxb.</td>
<td>Sterculiaceae</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Seed, gum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
<td>Stereospermum chelonoides (L.f.) DC</td>
<td>Bignoniaceae</td>
<td>Pathaala</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264</td>
<td>Strehla asper Lour.</td>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td>Sakhuthaka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Species Name</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Additional Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265</td>
<td>Strychnos colubrina L.</td>
<td>Strychnaceae</td>
<td>Anjanaki</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td>Wood, Lvs&amp; Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266</td>
<td>Strychnos nux-vomica L.</td>
<td>Strychnaceae</td>
<td>Kupila</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267</td>
<td>Strychnos potatorum L.</td>
<td>Strychnaceae</td>
<td>Kataka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268</td>
<td>Swertia corymbosa (Griseb.) Wt ex Clarke</td>
<td>Gentianaceae</td>
<td>Karatahaka</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269</td>
<td>Swertia latiflora (Clarke) Barkill</td>
<td>Gentianaceae</td>
<td>Karatahaka</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>Symlocos cochinchinensis (Lour.) Moore</td>
<td>Symlocaceae</td>
<td>Wood, Lvs&amp; Roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>Symlocos racemosa Roxb.</td>
<td>Symlocaceae</td>
<td>Lvs</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272</td>
<td>Syzygium caryophyllatum (L.) Alston</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Vajrakanda</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Flower</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273</td>
<td>Syzygium cumini (L.) Skees</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Jambu</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274</td>
<td>Syzygium travancoricum Gamble</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275</td>
<td>Tamarindus indica L.</td>
<td>Caesalpiniaceae</td>
<td>Amleeka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>Tectona grandis L.f.</td>
<td>Verbenaceae</td>
<td>Saaka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Wood, Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>277</td>
<td>Tephrosia hirta (Buch.-Ham.) Gamble</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Sarapunkha</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278</td>
<td>Tephrosia purpurea (L.) Pers.</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Sarapunkha</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279</td>
<td>Terminalia arjuna (Roxb. ex DC.) Wt. &amp; Am.</td>
<td>Combretaceae</td>
<td>Arjuna</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>Terminalia belerica (Gaertn.) Roxb.</td>
<td>Combretaceae</td>
<td>Vibheetaka</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>281</td>
<td>Terminalia chebula Retz.</td>
<td>Combretaceae</td>
<td>Hareetakee</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282</td>
<td>Tinospora cordifolia (Willde) Hook. &amp; Th.</td>
<td>Menispermaceae</td>
<td>Guduchi</td>
<td>Climber(w)</td>
<td>Stems, Seeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>Tinospora sinensis (Lour.) Merr.</td>
<td>Menispermaceae</td>
<td>Guduchi</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284</td>
<td>Toddalia asiatica (L.) Lam.</td>
<td>Rutaceae</td>
<td>Yavaanee</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Roots, Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>Tragia bicolor Miq.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Duralabha</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286</td>
<td>Tragia involucrata L.</td>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Duralabha</td>
<td>Climber(h)</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287</td>
<td>Trevesia populnea (L.) Soland</td>
<td>Malvaceae</td>
<td>Nandeeverksha</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>288</td>
<td>Tribulus terrestris L.</td>
<td>Zygophyllaceae</td>
<td>Gondhura</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289</td>
<td>Tribulus terrestris L.</td>
<td>Zygophyllaceae</td>
<td>Gondhura</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>Tribulus terrestris L.</td>
<td>Zygophyllaceae</td>
<td>Gondhura</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td>Whole Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>292</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>Urtica dioica L.</td>
<td>Urticaceae</td>
<td>Vissala</td>
<td>Liana</td>
<td>Roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear Dr. Tandon, Dear Dr. Shankar,

Thank you very much for your fax of December 24 concerning the C.A.M.P. III workshop organized by FRLHT between 16-18 January 1997.

On behalf of the Medicinal Plant Specialist Group, Dr. Tony Cunningham and myself want to congratulate FRLHT for this series of workshops. We regard this ongoing initiative as one of the most valuable presently undertaken to assess the threat that medicinal plant taxa are undergoing. Very few of the many medicinal plant projects worldwide focus on the population status and conservation of medicinal plant taxa.

We want to express the MPSG's formal support to your initiative and the forthcoming workshop. If you find it helpful, please feel free to include the MPSG and 1st logo in the workshop documents as formally supporting this initiative.

The recently appointed Executive Secretary of the MPSG, Dr Dana Leaman, will participate in an earlier meeting held in Madras Jan 10-11. She will hopefully find an opportunity there to discuss with you the possible role and participation of the MPSG in the forthcoming conference Medicinal Plants for Survival.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr Uwe Schippmann

cc: Dr Tony Cunningham
Foundation for Revitalisation of Local Health Traditions

is a non-governmental organisation which was launched to preserve and promote India's traditional medical legacy. The main objective is to increase understanding and awareness of the need for conservation and stress the importance of medicinal plants in primary health care with an "Outreach" programme, a media campaign, publication of educational booklets, setting up in situ medicinal plants conservation areas in Southern India and ex situ parks. Other ongoing activities include a database network, a research agenda of current projects as well as a publications/education department and a training department. FRLHT was the first organisation in India to utilise the CAMP process to prioritise species for conservation and its workshops have provided innovations and additions to the process which have or will be taken up in other countries around the world. CAMP Workshops have become one of FRLHT's regular activities.

ZOO Outreach Organisation

ZOO Outreach Organisation is a Positive, Constructive, Practical, Scientific, Sensible and Sensitive Conservation, Education, Research and Animal Welfare Society. Founded to encourage public support of zoos in a positive and constructive manner, ZOO has evolved a role of neutral link between individuals, organisations and institutions involved in wildlife and zoo conservation activities to ensure that all are exposed to current technical information needed for conservation. Z.O.O. represents the Conservation Breeding Specialist Group, SSC, IUCN, - C.B.S.G., India. Z.O.O. and C.B.S.G., India jointly act as a catalyst and liaison to organise and facilitate conservation workshops for Indian species. CBSG, India has organised and conducted seven CAMP workshops since 1995.

Conservation Breeding Specialist Group

The Conservation Breeding Specialist Group, SSC, IUCN is a global network of individuals with expertise in species recovery planning, small population biology, reproductive and behavioural biology, captive animal management, and other disciplines. CBSG advises the IUCN, SSC, and other SSC Specialist Groups on the intensive management of small populations in the wild and the uses of captive propagation for conservation. CBSG has developed several conservation assessment "processes" including the CAMP workshop.

Medicinal Plants Specialist Group

The Medicinal Plants Specialist Group (MPSG) is a specialist group of the Species Survival Commission of IUCN which concentrates its efforts on high conservation priority medicinal plant species. MPSG promotes the need to identify and deal with threats to medicinal plants at an early stage rather than focusing purely on taxa that are already in decline. The MPSG interacts with local and regional botanists' all over the world to provide technology and expertise on conservation and other issues.
Section II

Discussion and Reference material
Conservation Assessment and Management Plan Workshop (III),
for Selected Species of Medicinal Plants of southern India
Bangalore, 16-18 January 1997

Introduction

Convention on Biological Diversity
The Convention on Biological Diversity adopted in Nairobi in May 1992 and signed by more
The Convention is a "framework agreement" in that its provisions are expressed as goals and
policies (as opposed to "obligations"), leaving the implementation of its provisions up to
individual parties (the states) at the national level. In the Convention, the importance of non-
governmental organisations in implementing the provisions was specifically mentioned.

Articles in the Convention cover objectives, terminology, principles, legislation, cooperation
and strategy as applied to various issues and methodology. One of the very basic methods of
organising conservation action is prioritisation. Therefore, Article 7 of the Convention deals
with Identification and Monitoring, calling on parties to identify components of biological
diversity important for its conservation and sustainable use. Components of an "indicative
list" include:
- ecosystems and habitats
- species and communities, and
- described genomes and genes of social, scientific and economic value.

Knowledge of species and communities can reveal crucial facts necessary to the management
of ecosystems and habitats as well as to the identification of important genomes and genes.
Identification, listing and prioritisation of species is one of the important tasks in
conservation. In India, it is well known by biologists across many taxon groups that species
information has many gaps. In many instances, the species has not been surveyed or studied
since its description, perhaps in the 18th or 19th century. Even species which have been
studied more recently in the 20th century require constant attention due to the fact that the
very fabric of the earth is changing so rapidly. It is common knowledge today that the
ecosystems and habitats which sustain species are deteriorating exponentially as a result of
population expansion, industrialisation, and the build-up of facts resulting from decades and
centuries of thinking the Earth and its resources were unlimited. Awareness of this fact is, of
course, the raison d'etre for the Convention on Biological Diversity itself.

IUCN Red List
Earlier efforts to monitor the earth's resources and activate conservation; measures include the
Red Data Books of IUCN, now called the World Conservation Union. The IUCN Red Data
Books have provided a guide for species conservation status for the last two decades. A few
years ago, it was felt that both the categories and methodology used by individuals compiling
the Red Data Books needed review. Over a seven year period, the IUCN Criteria for
Endangerment used in compiling Red Data Books, were examined, revised, reviewed and
improved over six different iterations. The present system, the IUCN Red List Categories.
1994, is more objective, numerate, and consistent for all groups. The revised IUCN Red
List Categories provide a methodology for assessment and categorisation which can be applied to any group of organisms (except micro-organisms). The revised IUCN Red List criteria is being used now by conservation actioners and scientists all over the world and is considered the best possible method available today for assessing the conservation status of species.

Conservation Assessment and Management Plan
One of the great difficulties of carrying out basic tasks such as identification and monitoring, creation of management and action plans and recovery programmes for species, is coordinating the great mass and variety of specialist knowledge and agency authority. Much time and energy is wasted in duplication of effort, territorial and ownership disputes, and inability to find and adhere to a common ground. The business community, realising the importance of effective communication and teamwork, has developed a broad spectrum of management strategies and tools which are used daily to manage time and human interaction. More and more, the conservation community is recognising the importance of using some of these tools to achieve their goals, rapidly and effectively. The Conservation Breeding Specialist Group (CBSG) of the Species Survival Commission of IUCN has pioneered the use of some these tools in well planned strategic problem-solving and task-performance exercises. CBSG calls these exercises "processes" because in the contemporary conservation scenario — nothing is static except the fact of change itself.

The Conservation Action and Management Plan Workshop was developed by CBSG for the purpose of prioritising species for conservation action. Over the last decade, CBSG has conducted dozens of CAMP workshops for literally thousands of species, using (and thereby testing) whatever was the current iteration of the IUCN Red List Categories as the basic methodology to glean a status ranking. The IUCN Red List guidelines and criteria are used in CAMP workshops to assess and assign a category to each species.

For the CAMP Workshop CBSG has developed a Taxon Data Sheet and a Spreadsheet format which includes parameters necessary to assess the IUCN status as well as provide other useful information necessary for creating management and action plans. A copy of a Sample Taxon Data Sheet is reproduced on the opposite page. The spreadsheet organises the information in a concise manner so that it is accessible at a glance. The information in this Report is organised on spread sheets in the Report section, followed by the individual Taxon Data Sheets. A CAMP Workshop also utilises principles of management psychology to guide human interaction. A set of Guidelines for Group Interaction is presented to the workshop participants who agree as a group to work accordingly in order to complete the task. Objective Facilitators (persons trained management and the workshop process) are used to lead and guide the workshop so that individual and professional bias does not affect group decisions and to assist in maintaining the integrity and focus of the workshop.

CAMP Workshops bring together a variety of specialists and enthusiast from academic, government, managerial, and even the commercial sector to evaluate taxa for setting priorities for conservation action. The fear of loss and hope of recovery of species drives CAMP Workshops. Individuals part with unpublished information in order to contribute to a body of information which will provide strategic guidance, for application of intensive management and information gathering. CAMP Workshops results, are, or should be, dynamic, leading to specific conservation activities in forest, market, classroom, courtroom — locally and nationally as well as on the international stage.
Conservation of Medicinal Plants

Medicinal plants are receiving an enormous amount of attention today. The resurgence of interest in natural systems of medicine, in indigenous peoples and practices, the increasing use of parts or extracts or compounds made from medicinal plants, the realisation of the potential loss through both domestic and foreign trade, and the publicity engendered by the Convention on Biodiversity and Gatt treaty have combined to form what is practically a "movement" for medicinal plants.

As individuals and institutions discover new properties, there is a growing number of plants being classified as "medicinal", perhaps due to the identification of a secondary metabolite or the working out of a phytochemical composition which determines medicinal value. Most of medicinal plants in India are so classified because of traditional practices and uses. A search of literature with unprejudiced inclusion of all species listed by someone (in print) as "medicinal" yielded a tally of more than 5,000 species.

The importance of natural systems of medicine, all of which us medicinal plants to a greater extent, can be realised by the fact that in March 1995 the Government of India created a new Department of Indian Systems of Medicine and Homoeopathy which became functional in December 1995. Recognising that Indian systems of medicine attained a high level of development centuries ago which had stagnated to some extent, this Department was set up to solve some of the problems, such as standardisation, intellectual property rights, availability of raw materials and drugs and generally revitalise this area. This Department, in its annual report, has highlighted the fact that non-availability of raw materials for manufacture of ISM&H drugs has become a serious conservation problem and have proposed the creation of large "vanaspati vans" in major states to increase availability of raw materials and contribute to in situ conservation of medicinal plants.

In the 1991 Amendments to the Wildlife (Protection) Act, the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India included six (6) species of plants the majority of which were medicinal for the first time.

In 1994 the Director General of Foreign Trade, on the recommendation of the Ministry of Environment and the Botanical Survey of India, notified a list of 46 species of plants in the negative list of exports, although value added herbal formulations made from these species were allowed. CITES secretariat and others pointed out subsequently that this concession was counterproductive to the in situ conservation of those species as they continued to be exploited. Therefore the negative list was amended in April 1996 to prohibit export of extracts and derivatives including value added herbal formulations. However this was kept in abeyance until December 1996 as a concession to the exporters. Since that time the exporters -have approached the Ministry for further concessions.

Recently the Ministry of Environment, Government of India, has taken note of the list of 214 species of medicinal plants assessed over a period of three years in the three southern Indian Medicinal Plants CAMP workshops organised by FRLHT in Bangalore and in the Northern and Central Indian Medicinal Plants CAMP organised under the Biodiversity Conservation Prioritisation Project in Lucknow. The Ministry has proposed a revision of the negative list to be worked out according to the Critically endangered and Endangered species identified in the CAMP workshops. Further, the Ministry has proposed all the CR and EN species for inclusion under Schedule VI of the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972. In addition the Ministry
has notified the State Forest Departments about the results of the CAMP workshops and requested them to take immediate conservation measures for the CR and EN species, including the exercise of care in issuing collection permits and the promotion of cultivation of those species by local people. Finally the Ministry of Environment has proposed cultivation of identified medicinal plants as one of its centrally sponsored schemes and suggested that the Department of of Indian Systems of Medicine take similar action. This is a very encouraging response to the Conservation Assessment and Management Plan Workshops.

**Foundation for Revitalisation of Local Health Traditions**

FRLHT is a non-governmental organisation which was launched to preserve and promote India's traditional medical legacy. Its primary objective is to enhance understanding and awareness of the need for conservation and stress the importance of medicinal plants in primary health care with an "Outreach" programme, a media campaign, publication of educational booklets, setting up *in situ* medicinal plants conservation areas in southern India and *ex situ* parks as well as other activities. FRLHT was the first organisation in India to utilise the CAMP process to prioritise species for conservation and the three workshops have inspired innovations to the process which have been used in other workshops around the world.

FRLHT utilises the output of the CAMP Workshop to carry out its objectives of conservation, research and education. Some of the ways CAMP species have been used are:

* Database for CAMP species: FRLHT has collected photographs, negatives, and slides of type specimens as well as references in the Library at Kew Gardens. They have also listed and begun collecting similar material from the Oriental and India Office Library, London. Some of this material is available only in these institutions and will make a useful addition to the national botanical reference repository.

* Maps: Work has been completed on 40 eco-distribution maps of CAMP species assessed as threatened.

* Genome Resource Banking: A Memorandum of Understanding with the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi is under consideration for longterm storage of seeds of CAMP targeted threatened species.

* Education: An attractive Red List poster has been designed and printed in four languages. This poster has been distributed or sold in 1996. Stickers of four threatened species from CAMP assessments have been produced. Several thousand of these educational items have been distributed.

* *Ex situ* conservation: Live specimens of 28 CAMP species have been collected and are growing in demonstration gardens of 11 *ex situ* Medicinal Plants Conservation Parks.

The two above examples demonstrate the dramatic use to which both governmental agencies and non-governmental organisations can put information from CAMP Workshops.

**CAMP Workshop for Selected Species of Medicinal Plants**

A Conservation Action and Management Plan (C.A.M.P.) Workshop for selected species of Medicinal Plants of southern India was held in Bangalore, India from 16--18 January 1997,
organised by the Foundation for Revitalisation of Local Health Traditions (F.R.L.H.T.). This Workshop was the third in a series of workshops on selected species of rare southern Indian medicinal plants conducted in 1995, 1996, and 1997. Southern Indian Medicinal * Plants CAMP, 1995 was a landmark exercise in that it was the first time a Conservation Action and Management Plan workshop had been carried out exclusively for plants and also on a country-regional basis. The two follow-up workshops, Southern Indian Medicinal Plants CAMPs (1996 and 1997) to assess additional species, many of them recommended by participants of previous workshops, was also an innovative use of the CAMP process.

Nearly three-hundred priority species had been listed by FRLHT on the basis of several criteria, inclusion in Indian Red Data Book for Plants, endemism, commercial demand and other threats perceived by botanists. Plants from this list made up the bulk of species selected for assessment. In CAMP I, 1995, 36 species were assessed. Of these, four were classified as "Data Deficient" and included in the list for CAMP II along with another 40 species for CAMP II. CAMP III included one species which had been in the Data Deficient category from both CAMP I and CAMP II. In the present workshop participants selected additional species for further assessments. In every workshop, suggestions from participants have been incorporated into the CAMP process by the organisers. In this way, the southern Indian botanic community has collaborated, pooling their insight and knowledge, not only in assessing conservation status but in refining the CAMP process and clarifying the IUCN Red List guidelines for use with plants.

The selection of taxa for assessment is not limited to any of the above criteria nor is there a strict methodology that is to be followed. Given the spectrum of values for selecting taxa for assessment — trade value, economic value, medicinal value, phytochemical value, cultural value, etc. — nearly any one or a combination of those could be used. The choice, and the rationale behind the choice is left to organisers. In a short time, a CAMP is to be held in El Salvador on species of animals which are commonly confiscated by the authorities. Early CAMPs were held globally in order to to prioritise species for captive breeding in zoos; these did not pre-prioritise at all, but simply listed every know species of the taxon group. Today the trend for CAMP workshops is by region (either continental or country) or country such as the rapid assessments of All India amphibians and reptiles done in India as a basis for national planning and fulfillment of Biodiversity Convention commitments.

In the third CAMP on southern Indian medicinal plants about one forth of the taxa were selected by participants at the previous workshop. The CAMP methodology is flexible and can assimilate innovations, improvements and other changes as required by the workshop without compromising the integrity of the exercise.

The CAMP process has benefitted from the FRLHT CAMPs which have contributed innovations and improvements every year. Many of these have been incorporated into workshops internationally making it more relevant and easy to use by botanists and zoologists.

Some of the suggestions generated in the Medicinal Plants CAMP workshops for filling up the Taxon Data Sheets are:

Data Quality: An additional reference has been suggested — Records, herbarium, collection or museum studies and is denoted as "5".
Threats: Many threats have already been listed but some very specific threats to plants in India have been added, such as — "Ls" for landslide; "Gr" for grazing; "Tr" for trampling; "D" for drowning and "Ov" for overexploitation.

Research Recommendations: Since "T" for taxonomic and morphological genetic studies seems not to be completely satisfactory in certain situations such as population management, "G" for genetic management has been added to the list.

Cultivation Recommendations: Unlike animals, captive breeding or in this case cultivation programmes are more readily accepted for plants for sustainable utilisation. It has been suggested to add another level to the cultivation/captive breeding programme and that be "Level 4" for cultivation/captive breeding for sustainable use.

Now, there is a plan to reassess the plants covered in the last three CAMPs and bring out a Red Data Book for Medicinal Plants of Southern India. This will be another innovation on the CAMP process by the Foundation for Revitalisation of Local Health Traditions.

The Workshop was conducted with the blessing of the Conservation Breeding Specialist Group, SSC, IUCN (which developed the CAMP Workshop Process) and the Medicinal Plants Specialist Group, SSC, IUCN. The participants of all the workshops included primarily field botanists, botanists from universities and research institutes, local health practitioners and other NGO's including FRLHT and CBSG, India.

Southern Indian Medicinal Plants CAMP III, 1997, was inaugurated by Mr. Darshan Shankar, Director of FRLHT. Vinay Tandon gave a summary of the last two CAMP Workshops and action taken to realise the recommendations of the workshop. An overview and introduction to CAMP process and the role of CBSG, SSC, IUCN was given by Sally Walker, CBSG Facilitator, who later explained the Groundrules for the CAMP and points in the Briefing Book. Sanjay Molur led the participants through the revised IUCN Red List categories.

**Goals of the Workshop**

1. To use populations, habitat and threat parameters to assess the conservation status and assign an IUCN Red List ranking to 64 species of southern Indian Medicinal Plants selected by workshop participants of CAMP 1996 and FRLHT,

2. To provide information about the species which would be useful in drawing up Action Plans and Management Plans, including recommendations for *in situ* and *ex situ* management; research, survey and monitoring; cultivation; investigation of limiting factors; taxonomic and other specific research; education and activism.

3. To produce a Conservation Assessment and Management Plan for the 64 species, which after review and comment by workshop participants, would be distributed to all parties interested in medicinal plants conservation.

Participants were assigned to four Working Groups to assess 65 species of medicinal plants, of which 20 had been selected by participants in the 1996 CAMP II, and spent the next three days logging information which was used to make the assessments. Thirty-six species of
medicinal plants were assessed in CAMP I in 1995, 44 in CAMP II in 1996 and 64 in CAMP III, 1997 using the revised IUCN categories of threat. Of the species assessed in previous CAMPs, "Data Deficient" species were carried over to the next exercise and assessed. Except for one species, Cleome burmanni, all could be assessed in CAMP I and II. In this Workshop, however, because the species selected are less and less known, there were 9 Data Deficient species.

The 64 plants were divided into four groups of 16 each and each participant was assigned to one of four Working Groups. These were then passed around to all the other groups for additions and corrections. Plenary sessions to review the assessments and discuss controversial points were held from time to time. Results of this carefully guided group process were:

Of the 64 species considered, 35 are endemic to the region. 29 are non-endemic native species extending throughout India or to Southeast Asia or Africa. The endemics were categorised under the threat categories as Critically Endangered (5); Endangered (11); Vulnerable (15); LRnt (2), DD (2). The non-endemic native species were all classified according to the IUCN categories at the regional level (EN = 10; VU = 9; LRnt = 7; DD = 3). All of the 29 non-endemic species were categorised as Data Deficient at the Global level.

The Draft Report was circulated to all participants and returned with corrections by nearly 50% of participants. Editorial and other corrections which did not diverge widely from the group concensus were incorporated into the Report. This Report is being circulated to participants as well as policy makers, research institutions, non-governmental organisations and field managers in southern India and the nation's capitol to use in establishing conservation programmes and protection measures for rare species of medicinal plants.

Results and Discussion

Sixty-four species of medicinal plants were assessed in the Workshop. Thirty nine families of Angiosperms are represented in this assessment with 5 taxa in Clusiaceae being the most number of taxa assessed in any family. More than 50% of the taxa assessed (35 of 64) are endemic to southern India. Of the endemics, 11 taxa are found in southern Western Ghats, 14 in the Western Ghats, 2 in central and southern Western Ghats, 1 in the Western Ghats and West Coast, 6 in Peninsular India and 1 in southern Eastern Ghats. Twenty-eight taxa are not endemic to southern India and the distribution for one taxon is not known. The list of taxa and the families they belong to are given in Table 1.

The IUCN categories can be applied at three levels, viz. Global, Regional and National.

Global assessment: The term Global Assessment means applying the IUCN categories to a taxon in its entire distributional range. Global here does not mean that the assessment can be made to a taxon with a world-wide distribution. For example, Paphiopedilum druryi has a very limited distribution. It is found only on Agasthyamalai peak which comprises the "global distribution" of this species. Hence, it was assessed during CAMP II, Bangalore, 1996 at the Global level. The IUCN categories work best at the Global level or as applied to "political" endemics. "Political" endemics are endemic taxa that do not have a distribution across political boundaries, that is, between nations.
Regional assessment: The term Regional Assessment means applying the IUCN categories to a taxon in part of its distributional range. For example, Rauvolfia serpentina (Sarpagandha) is distributed all over India except the Himalaya. This species was assessed only for its distribution in southern India at CAMP I. Bangalore. 1995 and was not assessed for the rest of India; it was assessed at the Regional level. Regional assessment works well in case of a taxon with a wide distribution in India since it gives the status of the taxon for a region, which may differ from its status in other regions. Region-wise conservation measures can be taken up and implemented more effectively and appropriately.

National assessment: The term National Assessment means applying the IUCN categories to a taxon with respect to its distributional range only in India. According to the Draft Guidelines for applying the IUCN Red List categories at the national level, (Gland, Switzerland, 1995), the categories as currently written for Global assessment cannot be applied per se to taxa at the National level. Since the guidelines for categorisation at the National level takes into consideration migration of the taxon across political boundaries, factors such as distributional range in the neighbouring countries also needs to be known. It is therefore required to understand the life history of the taxon in question to be able to qualify for any of the criteria of Restricted Distribution, Population Estimates and Number of Mature Individuals. The exercise of a National Assessment can be undertaken only with the participation of experts with species knowledge from all the countries where the taxon is distributed.

The reason the IUCN categories work best when applied to political endemics is because distribution range does not pose problems for assessment. Assessments for all the 35 endemic southern Indian medicinal plants have been made at the Global level. The remaining non-endemic taxa (29) have been assessed Regionally only for southern India and denoted by an "R" following the IUCN category. Regional categorisation has been made for non-endemics because the workshop organisers, FRLHT, targeted southern Indian medicinal plants according to their institutional mandate. Taxa which have distribution with geological barrier such as the sea between southern India and Sri Lanka are not assessed at the National level since there is no known migration of genetic material (either seeds or pollen) between the Indian mainland and Sri Lanka. Similarly, taxa distributed in southern India and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands are also categorised regionally for southern India only.

Table 1. List of taxa assessed in the 1997 medicinal plants CAMP held in Bangalore (arranged alphabetically family-wise)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Taxa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td>Semecarpus trav ancorica Beddome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonaceae</td>
<td>Uvaria hookeri King</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apiaceae</td>
<td>Heracleum candolleeanum (Wight &amp; Am.) Gamble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apiaceae</td>
<td>Heracleum rigens Wallich ex DC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apocynaceae</td>
<td>Chonemorpha fragrans (Moon) Alston.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= C. macrophylla G.Don</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araceae</td>
<td>Amorphophallus commutatus (Schott) Engl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Conophallus commutatus Schott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araceae</td>
<td>Raphidophora pertusa (Roxb.) Schott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Pathos pertusa Roxb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Monstera pertusa (Roxb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Seindapsus pertusa (Roxb.) Schott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>Gymnema khandalense Santapau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
<td>Gymnema montanum (Roxb.) Hook.f. var. montanum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burseraceae</td>
<td>Canarium strictum Roxb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesalpiniiaceae</td>
<td>Humboldtia vahliana Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capparaceae</td>
<td>Cleome burmannii Wight &amp; Arn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celastraceae</td>
<td>Celastrus paniculatus Wild., ssp. paniculatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combretaceae</td>
<td>Terminalia arjuna (Roxb. ex DC.) Wight &amp; Arn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celastraceae</td>
<td><em>Garcinia</em> gummi-gutta (L.) Robson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= G. cambogia (Gaertn.) Desr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td><em>Garcinia</em> rubro-echinata Kosterm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= G. echinocarpa Gamble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td><em>Garcinia</em> talbotir Raizada ex Santapau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= G. ovalifolius (Roxb.) Hook.f. var. macrantha Hook.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= G. malabanca Talbot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusiaceae</td>
<td><em>Garcinia</em> travancorica Beddome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td>Luffa umbellata Klein ex Wildl. Roemer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td>Trichosanthes anamalayana Beddome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipterocarpaceae</td>
<td>Dipterocarpus indicus Beddome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebenaceae</td>
<td>Diospyros candolleana Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebenaceae</td>
<td>Diospyros paniculata Dalz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaeocarpaceae</td>
<td>Elaeocarpus serratus L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td>Baliospermum montanum (Wild.) Muell.-Arg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= B. axillare Blume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= B. polyandrum Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Jatropha montana Wild.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Dalbergia horrida (Dennst.) Mobb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flacourtiaceae</td>
<td>Hydnocarpus alpina Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flacourtiaceae</td>
<td>Hydnocarpus pentandra (Buch.-Ham.) Oken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= H. launfolia (Dennst.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentianaceae</td>
<td>Swertia corymbosa (Grisb.) Wight ex B.Clarke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentianaceae</td>
<td>Swertia lawii (Wight ex B.Clarke) Burkill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocrateae</td>
<td>Salacia oblonga Wallich ex Wight &amp; Arn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocrateae</td>
<td>Salacia reticulata Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>Plectranthus nilghericus Benth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Cinnamomum malabratrum (Burm.f.) Blume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= C. macrocarpum Hook.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Cinnamomum sulphuratum Nees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Cinnamomum wightii Meissner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Persea macrantha (Nees) Kosterm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Machilus macrantha Nees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liliiaceae</td>
<td>Smilax zeylanica L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= S. macrophylla Wight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logainaceae</td>
<td>Styrchnos aenea A.W. Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= S. rheedii Brandis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnoliaceae</td>
<td>Michelia nilagirica Zenk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td>Aphanamixis polysantha (Wallich) Parker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Aglaia polysantha Wallich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Amoora rohituka (Roxb.) Wight &amp; Arn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Andersonia rohituka Roxb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td>Dysoxylum malabaricum Beddome ex Hiern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td>Artocarpus hirsutus Lam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristicaceae</td>
<td>Knema attenuata (Wallich ex Hook.f. &amp; Thomson) Warb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= Myristica attenuata Wallich ex Hook.f. &amp; Thomson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristicaceae</td>
<td>Myristica dactyloides Gaertner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= M. beddomei King</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= M. contorta Warb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrsinaceae</td>
<td>Embelia tsjerniam-cottam (Roemer &amp; Schutes) DC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= E. robusta auct. non Roxb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophioglossaceae</td>
<td>Helminthostachys zeylanicus (L.) Hook.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= H. dulcis Kaufl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchidaceae</td>
<td>Dendrobium ovatum (Wild.) Kranzl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchidaceae</td>
<td>Eulophia cullenii (Wight) Blume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IUCN categories
The "revised" version of the IUCN Red List Categories (December 1994) is the product of many inputs from specialists in different groups of taxa all over the world. Red List Categories were first introduced in the early 70s and only in 1991 a revaluation of the categories was done by Georgina Mace and Russel Lande which was called Version 1. For the first time a quantitative approach was introduced in assessing mammalian taxa. Version 2 and later versions attempted the approach of quantification for assessment for all groups of taxa except microorganisms. Non-threatened categories were also introduced during that evolution of the IUCN categories. The present version has been classified into threatened categories and non-threatened categories and a set of guidelines called criteria help in assessing the status of any taxa. The structure of the categories is given in figure 1.

The IUCN categories also give the option of assigning a taxon which is not threatened to one of the non-threatened categories. The non-threatened categories are termed Lower Risk - near threatened, Lower Risk-least concern and Lower Risk-conservation dependent (see definitions of IUCN categories end of this Report).

In the present workshop, 50 taxa are classified as threatened, 9 as non-threatened and 5 as Data Deficient (Table 2).

Criteria
The threatened categories of the IUCN Red List - Critically endangered, Endangered and Vulnerable are derived based on 5 criteria (See Criteria for threat categories end of this report), viz:
A. Reduction in population
B. Restricted distribution
C. Population number, restricted distribution and fluctuation
D. Adult population numbers (Mature individuals)
E. Probability of extinction
The subcriteria within each of the above criteria vary to determine if a taxon is Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable. While assigning a threat category to a taxon, the
criteria that the threat is based on is also given. Table 2 shows the categories chart along with the criteria to each of the taxon assessed at the 1997 Bangalore CAMP.

The endemic taxa taken as a whole face a higher degree of threat (89%) as compared to the non-endemic taxa (66%). Comparing the criteria for threat (Figure 2), it is evident that Population Reduction is the main factor for threat categorisation (57%) followed by Restricted Distribution as the second main factor for threat categorisation (43%). Population Estimates and Number of Mature Individuals have been a factor in determining the threat status for only 1 taxon. In 8 cases (14%), both Population Reduction and Restricted Distribution have together contributed to the threat assessment. Since biogeographical endemics do not have wide distribution ranges, it is natural for them to be categorised as threatened based on the Restricted Distribution criterion more than the non-endemic taxa. This is evident in the assessments where 47% of the threatened endemics are categorised based on the Restricted Distribution criterion while only 35% of the threatened non-endemics have been categorised by the same criterion (Figure 2). Population reduction, on the other hand, is the main criterion for threat categorisation in endemic taxa (53%) and non-endemic taxa (65%).

**Distribution**

As per IUCN guidelines for Restricted Distribution (see definitions for Taxon Data Sheets end of this report) a taxon is assessed as threatened if it has a restricted distribution. To meet this criterion the taxa also has to qualify two of the three subcriteria (see IUCN categories chart end of this report). Restricted distribution as per IUCN is less than 20,000 sq.km. for the Range of distribution and/or less than 2,000 sq.km. for the Area of occupancy of the
taxon. Of the 64 taxa assessed in this workshop, 25 have a restricted distribution for either the range of distribution or area of occupancy. These are mostly endemic taxa.

Fig. 2. Criteria used in assessing IUCN categories for medicinal plants in Camp III, 1997, Bangalore

Population Reduction
Population reduction is not easy to estimate since it involves estimation of loss of habitat and various threats affecting the population. Information from direct observation is the best source. In many cases there are no population monitoring studies and precise figures are difficult to derive. Therefore educated estimates with good reasoning is also encouraged to derive this information (See IUCN guidelines under section Data Quality). For threatened categories, the minimum percent decline in population is 20% over 3 generations or 10 years whichever is longer. Depending on the rate of decline, the taxon is assigned a threat category (see IUCN categories chart end of this report).

In the present workshop, it is seen that 33 taxa are categorised as threatened based on Population Reduction criterion (Figure 2). Thus, it is evident from this that either loss of habitat or other threats are affecting wild populations of medicinal plants in southern India. In some cases there is a direct observation of population trends. In general, field researchers feel that there is a decrease of about 20% natural habitat in the Western Ghats in the last ten years. Educated guesstimates have also been employed in extrapolating population decline over the years or generations. Population trends have also been based on the habit of the plant; accordingly most of the trees are assessed by their generation length, and the herbs and shrubs by the number of years.
Table 2. Basis of criteria for assessing selected species of southern Indian medicinal plants according to the New IUCN categories (CAMP III) * (assessed for southern Indian region in case of non-endemics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Endemic</th>
<th>IUCN</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Subcriteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Semecarpus travancorica</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Uvaria hookeri</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Data Deficient</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heracleum candelleanum</em></td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a,1c,&quot;Id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heracleum niger</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chonemorpha fragans</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a,1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amorphophallus commutatus</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a,1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Raphidophora pertusa</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c. 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gymnema khandaiense</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c, 2d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gymnema montanum</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1, 2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Canarium strictum</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Humboldtia vahliana</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cleome burmanni</em></td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Data Deficient</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Celastrus paniculatus ssp. paniculatus</em></td>
<td>Non endemic ...</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Terminalia arjuna</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calophyllum apetalum</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c, 2e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia gummi-gutta</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia rubro-echinata</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia talbotir</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia travancorica</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a,1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pop. estimates</td>
<td>C2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mature individuals</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>Endemic</td>
<td>IUCN</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Subcriteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Luffa umbellata</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Data Deficient</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichosanthes anamalayana</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichosanthes cucumenna</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Data Deficient (R)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dipterocarpus indicus</em></td>
<td>central &amp; southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, &quot;Id&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shore a tumbuggaia</em></td>
<td>southern Eastern Ghats</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diospyros candolleana</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diospyros paniculata</em></td>
<td>western peninsular India</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Elaeocarpus serratus</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Baliospernum montanum</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1.2c, 2d,2e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dalbergia horrida</em></td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a,1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hydnocarpus alpina</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hydnocarpus pentandra</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Swertia coymbosa</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Swertia lawii</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salacia oblonga</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salacia reticulata</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plectranthus nilgherricus</em></td>
<td>southern Western ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cinnamomum malabatrum</em></td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cinnamomum sulphuratum</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cinnamomum wightii</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Persea macrantha</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Smilax zeylanica</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strychnos aenea</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Michelia nilagirica</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>Endemic</td>
<td>IUCN</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Subcriteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphanamixis polystachya</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysoxylum jvalabahcum</td>
<td>central &amp; southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d, 1e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artocarpus hirsutus</td>
<td>Western Ghats and West coast</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knema attenuata</td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myhstica dactyloides</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embellia tsjeriam-cottam</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Ext. occurence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helminthostachys zeylanicus</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Ext. occurence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendrobium ovatum</td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eulophia cullenii</td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c, 2e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eulophia ramentacea</td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decalepis hamiltonii</td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Ext. occurrence</td>
<td>B1,2c, 2e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santindus album</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d, 1e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapindus laurifolia</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhuca longifolia var. longifolia</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1c, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhuca nenifolia</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>Ale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pterospermum xylocarpum</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeriana leshenaultii</td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a,1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitex trifolia</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpinia galanga</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Data Deficient (R)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcuma pseudomontana</td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>Pop. reduction</td>
<td>A1a, 1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcuma zedoaria</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Threats**

Threats include Loss of habitat, Loss of habitat due to fragmentation. Loss of habitat due to exotic plants, Harvest, Harvest for food. Harvest for medicine, Harvest for timber, Over-exploitation, Human interference. Disease. Predation, Landslide and Trade (Tables 3 & 4).

Threats affecting habitat such as fragmentation, predation and grazing, introduction of exotic
plants or monocultures and plantations, and in one case landslides are the main contributing factors. All these along with factors that affect population numbers (human interference, disease, overexploitation, harvesting for various purposes and trade) are due to man's ever growing needs. Ten of the 64 taxa assessed are found in 5 (or less) severely fragmented locations. All of these taxa are also highly restricted in their distribution making them either Critically Endangered or Endangered. A catastrophe or random factor could very well eliminate any of them from their limited locations.

Table 3. Threats affecting medicinal plant taxa in southern India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Threats affecting habitat</th>
<th>Threats affecting population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Lf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VU</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 3 & 4 for threats show that the biggest single threat to medicinal plants is trade (25%) followed by harvest for medicine (23%) and loss of habitat (20%). The rest of the threats together contribute to the remaining 32%.

**Trade**

Unsustainable harvest is one of the major threats to medicinal plants in India and elsewhere. It is definitely true of many of the taxa assessed here. Seventy-one percent of all the assessed taxa and 74% of threatened taxa are in trade. Many of the taxa that are not in trade are being harvested unsustainably for subsistence living. Given the rapid rate of decline due to other factors, it is clear that this harvest is posing a threat. These taxa face a similar danger like those that are in trade since a host of other man-made factors have resulted in the taxa moving towards extinction.

Forty-six taxa are assessed to be in trade (Table 4). Depending on the scope and quantity of trade, four levels such as local trade, domestic trade, commercial trade and international trade are listed. While some of the taxa are being traded at one level only, many are being traded at two or more levels. Most of the trade is either at commercial (43.5%) or domestic levels (34%) while local and international trade are comparatively minimal (16.5% and 6% respectively) (Table 5).

Thirty seven of the threatened taxa are categorised to be in trade (Table 4). Trade along with other factors is a threat to the survivability of the taxon in the wild. Figure 3 indicates different levels of trade of threatened and non-threatened taxa. For both threatened and non-threatened taxa domestic (34% and 37.5% respectively) and commercial (41% and 50% respectively) trades dominate.
Table 4. Threat and trade information for selected species of southern Indian medicinal plants assessed according to the New IUCN categories (assessed for southern Indian region in case of non endemics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Endemic</th>
<th>Threat</th>
<th>Trade</th>
<th>IUCN Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Semecarpus travancorica</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Uvaria hookeri</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Data Deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heracleum candolleanum</em></td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heracleum nigens</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Trade of parts</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chonemorpha fragrans</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amorphophallus commutatus</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for food, Harvest for medicine, Predation, Fragmentation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Raphidophora pertusa</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gymnema khandalense</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gymnema montanum</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Overexploitation, Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Canahum strictum</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Overexploitation, Harvest for medicine, Human interference, Trade</td>
<td>Commercial, International</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Humboldtia vahliana</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cleome burmanni</em></td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Data Deficient (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Celastrus paniculatus ssp. paniculatus</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Terminalia anuria</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts, Harvest for timber</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calophyllum apetalum</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine, Harvest for timber, Trade</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia gummi-gutta</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for food, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>Endemic</td>
<td>Threat</td>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>IUCN Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia rubro-echinata</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Fragmentation, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Local, Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia talbotir</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for food, Trade</td>
<td>Local, Domestic</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Garcinia travancorica</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Human interference, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Luffa umbellate</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Data Deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichosanthus anamalayana</em></td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Human interference, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichosanthus cucumerina</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Data Deficient (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dipterocarpus indicus</em></td>
<td>central &amp; southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for timber, Trade</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shorea tumbuggaia</em></td>
<td>southern Eastern Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Fragmentation, Harvest for medicine</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diospyros candolleana</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diospyros paniculata</em></td>
<td>western peninsular India</td>
<td>Fragmentation, Exotic plants, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Elaeocarpus serretus</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Beliospermum montanum</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Overexploitation, Fragmentation, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Delbergie horrida</em></td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hydnocarpus alpina</em></td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Fragmentation, Overexploitation, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hydnocarpus pentandra</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Fragmentation, Overexploitation, Predation, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Swertie coymbose</em></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Fragmentation, Harvest for medicine, Predation, Trade</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>Endemic</td>
<td>Threat</td>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>IUCN Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swertia lawii</td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Exotic plants, Predation</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saic/a oblonga</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest or medicine</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saiaca reticulata</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plectranthus nilgherricus</td>
<td>southern Western ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Fragmentation</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamomum malabatrum</td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial, International</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamomum sulphuraturn</td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial, International</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamomum wightii</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Fragmentation, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Local, Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persea macrantha</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Harvest for medicine, Harvest for timber, Trade</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smilax zeylanica'</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strychnos aenea</td>
<td>southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Overexploitation, Harvest for medicine</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelia niagirica</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphanamixis polystachya</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine, -,-, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysoxylum malabaricum</td>
<td>central &amp; southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Fragmentation, Overexploitation, Exotic plants, Harvest for timber, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artocarpus hirsutus</td>
<td>Western Ghats and West coast</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for timber, Trade</td>
<td>Local, Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knema attenuata</td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest, Trade</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristica dactyloides</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Overexploitation, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>Endemic</td>
<td>Threat Disruption</td>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>IUCN Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embelia tsjeriam-cottam</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Fragmentation,</td>
<td>Local, Domestic,</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Landslides, Trade,</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harvest for medicine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heilminthostachys zeylanicus</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Human interference,</td>
<td>Local, Domestic,</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harvest for food,</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harvest for medicine,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trade of parts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendrobium ovatum</td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat,</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human interference,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fragmentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eulophia cullenii</td>
<td>Southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat,</td>
<td>Local, Domestic</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human interference,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Predation, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eulophia ramentacea</td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Human interference</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decalepis hamiltonii</td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Overexploitation, Predation, Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial, International</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santalum album</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Exotic plants,</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial, International</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overexploitation, Harvest for timber, Harvest for medicine, Disease, Trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapindus laurifolia</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Trade of parts</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhuca longifolia var. longifolia</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Predation, Overexploitation, Harvest for timber, Harvest or medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Endangered (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhuca nehifolia</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest for medicine, Trade</td>
<td>Local; Domestic</td>
<td>Vulnerable (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pterospermum xylocarpum</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Loss of habitat, Harvest, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Local, Domestic</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeriana leshenaultii</td>
<td>Southern Western Ghats</td>
<td>Loss of habitat</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitex trifolia</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpinia galanga</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Data Deficient (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcuma pseudomontana</td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
<td>Overexploitation, Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcuma zedoaria</td>
<td>Non endemic</td>
<td>Harvest for medicine, Trade of parts</td>
<td>Local, Domestic, Commercial</td>
<td>Lower Risk near threatened (R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Types of trade in southern Indian medicinal plants assessed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>VU</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>DD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trade has been a contentious issue for the last many years and has assumed greater importance in the recent years due to factors that compromise the biodiversity convention, indigenous peoples rights, and foreign trade. The most recent "scare" is patents which have aroused much suspicion and frustration among the Indian scientific and political community towards countries that threaten local community rights in India. A factor of threat to the populations of medicinal plants in the wild has been the basis for the Government of India's policy of a "Negative list of Exports" of plants in trade. This list is now being amended, as is explained in more detail in the introduction, based on the CAMP workshops in which species are addressed from a conservation point of view.

Research Management
Research recommendations for most of the taxa are made based on the amount of information available and the need for understanding and managing the taxa in the wild. It is seen that in total 145 research recommendations (not including PHVA) have been made for all taxa. Recommendations are:

a) Survey (S)
b) Monitoring (M)
c) Taxonomic and morphological genetic studies (T)
d) Genetic management (G)
e) Habitat management (Hm)
f) Limiting factor research (Lr)
g) Limiting factor management (Lm)
h) Life history studies (Lh) and
i) Other taxon specific recommendations (O)

Figure 4 shows that Monitoring is recommended for thirty per cent of taxa followed by Survey at 23%, Habitat management at 18%, Life history studies at 14%, Taxonomic and other taxon specific recommendations at 4% (each), Genetic management at 3%, Limiting factor research at 3% and Limiting factor management at 0.5%.

No monitoring has been carried out in any of the areas to determine population trends or effects of harvest and other human-influenced changes in the environment. Life history studies are recommended to understand the biology and thereby the life cycle and growth patterns in the wild as well as in cultivation.

Recommendations for the assessed taxa include those described above and also including Population and Habitat Viability Assessment and Cultivation. Fifty-eight percent of all the taxa assessed are recommended for cultivation and 97% of all the threatened taxa are
Cultivation and difficulty
Cultivation recommendations are at three levels, Levels 1, 2 and 3 (see definitions at end of report). Level 1 is for taxa to be interactively managed in situ and ex situ so as to retain 90% genetic diversity for 100 years. Level 2 is for ex situ populations to be infused with fresh genetic material from the wild so as to retain sufficient diversity. Level 3 is not for conservation but only for education, husbandry and research.

In this workshop, a cultivation programme for many of the threatened taxa is recommended, although for most of the taxa techniques for cultivation are not in place. Level of difficulty of cultivating the taxa is given in the Summary Data Lable and a Table (6) comparing the categories and level of difficulty is given hereunder.

Medicinal plants are being overexploited from the wild for medicinal trade. Populations have shrunk to the extent that any harvest even for subsistence living could result in the plant going extinct. It is therefore suggested that cultivation be taken up to meet all of the demands of the trade industry or local needs for subsistence. Cultivation is a must for there is no alternative if the taxa is to survive in the wild. Any delay would only mean that a much less wild genetic diversity will be available to utilise for cultivation and recovery programmes.
Cultivation in most cases is not known for there have been no trials conducted. In cases where trials have been made to cultivate threatened taxa, it is not so difficult as pharmaceutical companies claim! There are many institutions that have taken up cultivation of some of the threatened taxa. Coimbatore Zoological Park, for example is maintaining over 200 Western Ghats plants in their nursery. Although virtual novices, they have been successful in propagating some allegedly difficult plants. Also much information on cultivation of rare taxa can be obtained from FRLHT's publication "GeneNet".

Table 6. Difficulty in cultivation of the medicinal plants taxa assessed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUCN categories</th>
<th>Level of difficulty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Least difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Risk</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Deficient</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Quality**

Assessments cannot be relied upon if there is no proper methodology or facts. It is therefore important to provide an authenticated account with the results. Data Quality are of five types, viz.

a) Reliable census or monitoring
b) General field study
c) Informal field sighting
d) Indirect information (from trade, popular belief, etc)
e) Herbarium/ museum/ literature/ collection records

Data quality for all threatened taxa in this workshop is either by or a combination of Reliable census and monitoring (6%). General field study (76%), Informal field sighting (6%) or by Indirect information (11%) (Table 7). Data quality for all the 64 taxa including non-threatened and Data Deficient categories also follows the same pattern.

The IUCN guidelines for assessment suggests that ".....the absence of high quality data should not deter attempts at applying the criteria, as methods involving estimation, inference and projection are emphasised to be acceptable throughout. Inference and projection may be based on extrapolation of current or potential threats into the future (including dependence on other taxa), so factors related to population abundance or distribution (including dependence on other taxa), so long as these can reasonably be supported. Suspected or inferred patterns in either the recent past, present or near future can be based on any of a series of related factors, and these factors should be specified.

Taxa at risk from threats posed by future events of low probability but with severe
consequences (catastrophes) Should be identified by the criteria (e.g. small distribution, few locations). Some threats need to be identified particularly early, and appropriate actions taken, because their effects are irreversible, or nearly so (pathogens, invasive organisms, hybridization).”

Table 7. Data Quality index for taxa evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUCN categories</th>
<th>Data Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critically Endangered</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerable</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Risk</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Deficient</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CAMP exercise has helped in understanding the urgent need to protect threatened taxa from extinction and manage them in the near future. Some of these taxa may not survive if timely action is not taken, that is if they are not intensively managed. Many of them, because of their small population size and restricted distribution, require intensive care and habitat management and may survive only with human support.
Taxon Data Sheet Definitions

The Conservation Assessment and Management Plan (CAMP) taxon data sheet is a working document that provides information that can be used to assess the degree of threat and recommend conservation action. The first part of the sheet summarises information on the status of the wild and captive populations of each taxon. It contains taxonomic, distributional, and demographic information useful in determining which taxa are under greatest threat of extinction. This information can be used to identify priorities for intensive management action for taxa.

This Sample Taxon Data Sheet model is based on birds, but is similar to those for other taxa.

Scientific name: Scientific names of extant taxa; genus and species (or subspecies where appropriate).

Taxonomic status: This indicates the taxonomic status of the extant taxa. Taxonomic uncertainties may be discussed in this section. Subspecies not considered separately should be listed here along with their distribution.

Original Global distribution: List the distribution of the species in its entire range

Current Regional Distribution:
List the geographical extent, for which the assessment is made (e.g. "southern India" for a taxon with a wider distribution for which assessment is made only for the southern Indian region.)

Extent of occurrence: List the actual size of the area in which the species occurs, if possible. Also list the area contained within the shortest continuous imaginary boundary which can be drawn to encompass all the known, inferred, or projected sites of present occurrence of a taxon, excluding cases of vagrancy (Figure 1). This measure does not take account of discontinuities or disjunctions in the spatial distributions of taxa. Extent of occurrence can often be measured by a minimum convex polygon (the smallest polygon in which no internal angle exceeds 180 degrees and which contains all the sites of occurrence).

A: < 100 km²
B: 101 km² - 5,000 km²
C: 5,001 km² - 20,000 km²
D: > 20,001 km²

Area of occupancy: List the area within the 'extent of occurrence' which is actually occupied by a taxon, excluding cases of vagrancy. The measure reflects the fact that a taxon will not usually occur throughout the area of its extent of occurrence, which may, for example, contain unsuitable habitats. The area of occupancy is the smallest area
essential at any stage to the survival of a taxon (e.g., colonial nesting sites, feeding sites for migratory taxa). The size of the area of occupancy will be a function of the scale at which it is measured, and should be at a scale appropriate to relevant biological aspects of the taxon. The criteria include values in km² and thus to avoid errors in classification the area of occupancy should be measured on grid squares or equivalents which are sufficiently small (see Figure I).

A: <10km²  
B: 11 km²-500 km²  
C: 501 km²-2,000 km²  
D: > 2,001 km²  

Two examples of the distinction between the extent of occurrence and the area of occupancy, (a) and (b) are the spatial distribution of known, inferred, or projected sites of occurrence, (c) and (d) show one possible boundary to the extent of occurrence, which is the measured area within this boundary, (e) and (f) show one measure of area of occupancy which can be measured by the sum of the occupied grid squares.

# Locations: Note the number of locations. If it is fragmented, indicate "F" after the number of locations.

Population trends - % change in years or in generations:
If possible, list the trend of the population (stable, declining, or increasing). If possible, list the percent of change over a particular time frame (e.g., 10 or 20 years) or number of generations. Specify the number of years or generations over which the decline has occurred, e.g., 10%/2gen. or 20%/20 yrs.

Generation time: Indicate the number of years in a generation. A generation is defined as the average age of parents in the population.

Global population: List the estimated numbers in the wild. If specific numbers are unavailable, estimate the general range of the population size.

Regional populations: List the estimated number in any particular region for which there are data, followed by the location.

Data Quality: List the actual age of the data used to provide the 'population estimate'. Also list the type of data from which the estimates are provided.
1= Reliable census or population monitoring  
2= General field study  
3= Informal field sightings  
4= Indirect information (trade numbers, habitat availability)  
5 = Herbarium/ museum/ literature/ collections/ records

Any combination of above different data quality in parts of range.

Recent field studies:
List any current or recent field studies, the name of the researcher - and the location of the study.

Threats :  List immediate or predicted events that are or may cause significant population declines. These may include:

A = Aircraft.  
C = Climate  
D = Disease  
Dp = Decline in prey species  
Dr = Drowning  
E= Edaphic factors (change due to fertilisers, fire, etc.)  
F = Fishing  
G = Genetic problems  
Gr= Grazing  
H = Hunting / Harvest  
Hf= Hunting or Harvest for food  
Hm = Hunting or Harvest for medicine  
Ht = Hunting for trophies or Harvest for timber  
Hyb= Hybridization  
I = Human interference, persecution, or disturbance  
Ic = Interspecific competition  
Ice = Interspecific competition from exotics  
Il = Interspecific competition with domestic livestock  
L = Loss of habitat  
La = Loss of habitat because of exotic animals  
Lf = Loss of habitat because of fragmentation  
Lp = Loss of habitat because of exotic plants  
Ls = Landslides  
M = Marine perturbations, including El Nino and other shifts  
N = Nutritional disorders or problems  
Ov = Overexploitation  
P = Predation  
Pe = Predation by exotics  
Ps= Pesticides  
Pl = Powerlines  
Po= Poisoning  
Pu = Pollution  
S = Catastrophic events  
Sd: drought  
Sf: fire  
Sh: hurricane
St: tsunami
Sv: volcano
T = Trade for the live animal market or medicine
Tp = Trade for parts, including skins, bone, bark, fruits, etc.
Tr = Trampling
W = War

Trade: Was the species present in Trade according to CITES records? If so, list year(s). or list trade practices and parts.
L = Local trade
D = Domestic trade
C = Commercial trade
I = International trade

Comments: Note any additional information that is important with respect to the conservation of the species.

IUCN: Status according to the New IUCN Red List criteria

EX = Extinct
EW = Extinct in the wild
CR = Critically Endangered
EN = Endangered
VU = Vulnerable
LR = Lower Risk
    nt = near threatened
    cd = conservation dependent
    lc = least concern
DD = Data Deficient
NE = Not Evaluated

Criteria based on: Indicate which of the New IUCN Red List criteria were used to assign a category of threat:

PR = Population reduction (Ala, or A2b, etc.)
EO = Extent of occurrence (B1, or B2a, B3c, etc.)
PE = Population estimates (CI, or C2a, etc.)
NM = Number of mature individuals (D)
PX = Probability of extinction (E)

CITES: List CITES Appendix on which the species is listed, if appropriate.

IWPA (72,91): Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972; Amendments Act, 1991

Other: List whether the species has been assigned threatened status in other venues, e.g., nationally or in other conservation assessments.
Recommendations

Research management: It should be noted that there is (or should be) a clear relationship between threats and subsequent outlined research management actions. The "Research Management" column provides an integrated view of actions to be taken, based on the listed threats. Research management can be defined as a management program which includes a strong feedback between management activities and an evaluation of the efficacy of the management, as well as response of the species to that activity. The categories within the column are as follows:

- **T=** Taxonomic and morphological genetic studies
- **TI =** Translocations
- **S =** Survey - search and find
- **M =** Monitoring - to determine population information
- **H =** Husbandry research
- **G =** Genetic management
- **Hm =** Habitat management - management actions primarily intended to protect and/or enhance the species' habitat (e.g., forest management)
- **Lm =** Limiting factor management -"research management" activities on known or suspected limiting factors. Management projects have a research component that provide scientifically defensible results.
- **Lr =** Limiting factor research - research projects aimed at determining limiting factors. Results from this work may provide management recommendations and future research needs
- **Lh =** Life history studies
- **O =** Other (record in detail on taxon data sheet)

**PHVA:**

Is a Population and Habitat Viability Assessment process recommended to develop an intensive management/recovery plan for the species? Yes, No or Pending further data from surveys or other research.

**NOTE** A detailed model of a species' biology is not always needed to make sound management decisions.

**CULTIVATION OR CAPTIVE PROGRAM RECOMMENDATIONS:**

**1 = Level 1** - A captive or cultivation population is recommended as a component of a conservation program. This program has a tentative goal of developing and managing a population sufficient to preserve 90% of the genetic diversity of a population for 100 years (90%/100). The program should be further defined with a species management plan encompassing the wild and captive/cultivation populations and implemented immediately with available stock in captivity/cultivation. If the current stock is insufficient to meet program goals.
a species management plan should be developed to specify the need for additional founder stock. If no stock is present in captivity/cultivation then the program should be developed collaboratively with appropriate wildlife agencies and specialist institutions.

2 = **Level 2** - Similar to the above except a species/subspecies management plan would include periodic reinforcement of captive/cultivated population with new genetic material from the wild. The levels and amount of genetic exchange needed should be defined in terms of the program goals, a population model, and species management plan. It is anticipated that periodic supplementation with new genetic material will allow management of a smaller captive/cultivated population. The time period for implementation of a Level 2 program will depend on recommendations made at the CAMP.

3 = **Level 3** - A captive or cultivation programme is not currently recommended as a demographic or genetic contribution to the conservation of the species/subspecies but is recommended for education, research, or husbandry.

N = **No** - A captive or cultivation programme is not currently recommended as a demographic or genetic contribution to the conservation of the species/subspecies. Taxa already held in captivity or cultivation may be included in this category. In this case species/subspecies should be evaluated either for management toward a decrease in numbers or for complete elimination from captive or cultivation programs as part of a strategy to accommodate as many species/subspecies as possible of higher conservation priority as identified in the CAMP or in SSC Action Plans.

P = **Pending** - A decision on a captive or cultivation programme will depend upon further data either from a PHVA, a survey, or existing identified sources to be queried.

**Level of difficulty**

- **1** = **Least difficult** - Techniques are in place for capture or collection maintenance, and propagation of similar taxa in captivity or cultivation which ostensibly could be applied to the taxon.

- **2** = **Moderate difficulty** - Techniques are only partially in place for capture or collection maintenance and propagation of similar taxa in captivity or cultivation, and many techniques still need refinement.

- **3** = **Very difficult** - Techniques are not in place for capture or collection, maintenance, and propagation of similar taxa in captivity or...
cultivation and techniques still need to be developed.

**Existing Captive/ Cultivation Population :**
Number of individuals in captivity or cultivation according to the International Species Information System, Central Zoo Authority of India, or similar botanical listing. Please add other information, when available, as the numbers listed consist of only a portion of the captive or cultivated population.

**Sources :**
List sources used for information for the above data. (Author's name, year, title of article or book, journal, issue, and page numbers).

**Compilers :**
List the names of the people who contributed information for this taxon data sheet.
Appendix I

Taxon Data Sheets
Species (& synonyms): *Alpinia galanga* Sw.  
= *A. rheedii* Wight  

Family: Zingiberaceae  
Taxonomic status: Species  

Habit: Perennial herb  
Habitat: Evergreen forests along streams and deciduous forests  

Original Global Distribution: From Himalaya to Peninsular India and Andaman Nicobar.  

Current Regional Distribution: Southern India  
- Elevation: Not known  
- Range (km²): Not known  
- Area Occupied (km²): Not known  
- Number of locations: Not known  

Population Trends - % change  
- % Decline: Not known  
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known  
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known  

Global Population: Not known  
Regional Population: Not known  

Data Quality: Indirect information  
Recent Field Studies: None  
Threats: Trade  
Trade: Commercial  

Other Comments: No recent records of collection from wild. Mid 80s collection in Kerala by M. Sivadasan. Often found as an escapee (K.G. Bhat, 1993)  

Status  
-IUCN: DATA DEFICIENT (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)  
- Criteria based on: Not applicable  
- CITES: No  
- IWPA(1972;91): No  

Research Recommendations  
- Research management: Survey  
-P.H.V.A.: No  

Cultivation Program Recommendations  
- Cultivation: Cultivated throughout Kerala and Coorg, Karnataka  
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult  

Existing Cultivations: Large scales in Kerala and Karnataka, often grown in gardens  
- Names of facilities: TBGRI  

Sources: Personal observation/ comment: M. Sivadasan  
Sharma, B.D., et al. Flora of Karnataka, BSI  

Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. D.K. Ved, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Dr. S.P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): Amorphophallus commutatus (Schott) Engl. = Conophallus commutatus Schott
Family: Araceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Cormus herb
Habitat: In open, forest fringes. Moist decidous to semi-evergreen
Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats
Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats in Kamataka, Kerala, Gujarat, Goa and Maharashtra
- Elevation: 50 - 600 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many scattered
Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 20%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known
Global Population: Declining gradually
Data Quality: General field studies; Informal field sightings (For Kerala there are published reports. Kamataka - personal collections)
Recent Field Studies: M. Sivadasan, 1975-96 in Kerala; B.V. Shetty, 1995 in Karnataka
Threats: Loss of habitat; Harvest for food; Harvest for medicine; Predation by wild boars; Loss of habitat because of fragmentation
Trade: No
Other Comments: Collected in Karnataka by M. Sivadasan (near Kemmangudi) in July 1991; Collected in Goa 1994 -95 and from Vythiri (Wyanad), Mukkali (Palakkad) in Kerala (Udipi by B.V. Shetty). Peduncle & Inflorecense are edible - S.K. Jain Ethnobotany. Population of +/- 20-25 individuals in 100 sq. m. area; Scattered. Occasionally used by tribes/ rural communities.
Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No
Recommendations
- Research management: Life history studies; Survey; Monitoring; Habitat management
- P.H.V.A.: Pending results
Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult
Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: Sample individuals grown at Dept. of Botany, Calicut University and Arboretum of Mangalore University. Live collections maintained in TBGRI from Kemmangundi Hills
Sources: Personal observation: M. Sivadasan, B.V. Shetty
Jain, S.K. Ethnobotany
Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. G.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): Aphanamixis polystachya (Wallich) Parker  
= Aglaia polystachya Wallich  
= Amoora rohituka (Roxb.) Wight & Arn.  
= Andersonia rohituka Roxb.

Family: Meliaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Semi-evergreen to evergreen; (Moist deciduous - V. Chelladurai & K. Ravi Kumar) Important component of middle storey.

Original Global Distribution: Sri Lanka; Peninsular, East & NE India: SE Asia

Current Regional Distribution: Uttara & Dakshina Kannada, Hassan, Mysore; Palakkad, Thiruvananthapuram, Idukki, Coimbatore, Nilgiris, Salem, Tiruchirappalli, Kamarajar, Madurai and Tirunelveli

-Elevation: 150-700 m.
-Range (km²): > 20,000
-Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
-Number of locations: Many scattered individuals

Population Trends - % change
-% Decline: > 20 %
-Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
-No, of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known

Regional Population: Declining gradually

Data Quality: Informal field sightings (V. Chelladurai, 1986); General field studies (A.G. Pandurangan in Idukki, 1985)


Threats: Harvest for medicine; Trade for parts for medicine; Loss of habitat

Trade: Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Bark used for curing Cancer (CDRI - V.S. Ramachandran). Leaves, seeds and bark used for medicine; Bark is traded for Rs. 80/- per kg (Keshava Murthy). Destructive collection of bark. Bark is traded.

Status
-IUCN: VULNERABLE (Regionally)  
DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
-Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d)
-CITES: No
-IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
-Research management: Life history studies; Survey; Monitoring; Habitat management
-P.H.V.A.: Pending further data

Cultivation Program Recommendations
-Cultivation: Grown in forest departments and in gardens
-Level of difficulty: Study required. Nothing known

Existing Cultivations: Forest Department in Uttara Kannada

Continued next page
Aphanamixis polystachya continued


Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. G.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganatha’n, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): *Artocarpus hirsutus* Lam.
Family: Moraceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Tree
Habitat: Moist evergreen to semi-evergreen forest
Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats and West Coast
Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats and West Coast
- Elevation: Up to 1,200 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Not known
Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known
Global Population: Declining
Data Quality: General field study
Threats: Loss of habitat; Trade; Harvest for timber
Trade: Local; Domestic; Commercial
Other Comments: The decline in population in the area of 1,200 m. is due to habitat loss. Timber is traded for domestic or commercial purposes, fruits traded locally.
Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No
Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Taxonomic and morphological genetic studies
- P.H.V.A.: No
Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Already in plantation and cultivation
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult
Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: Private and Government plantation (field bund, road sides, farmland, as a shade tree in coffee and rubber plantation). Arboretum of Mangalore University.
Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): *Baliospermum montanum* (Willd.) Muell. -Arg.
  = *B. axillare* Blume
  = *B. polyandrum* Wight
  = *Jatropha montana* Willd.

Family: Euphorbiaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Undershrub

Habitat: Undergrowth in semi-evergreen forest at low elevations

Original Global Distribution: Indo-Malaysia

Current Regional Distribution: In peninsular India, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Kerala and Andhra Pradesh

- Elevation: Up to 600 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: Few: Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 20 - 30%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known

Regional Population: Observed in fragmented populations in the region

Data Quality: General field studies (Ellis, Calicut University, 1980s in Silent Valley; Keshava Murthy, 1986 in Nagarahole)


Threats: Harvest for medicine; Loss of habitat because of fragmentation; Overexploitation; Trade

Trade: Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Seed collection for in trade affects natural regeneration. Roots are extensively used. Whole plant is used. Widely collected from wild. Almost wiped out in Coorg and Nagarahoe (Keshava Murthy)

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally)
  DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurence (B1, 2c, 2d, 2e)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Habitat management
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Plants grown in TBGRI in its existing programme
- Names of facilities: TBGRI

Continued next page
Baliospermum montanum continued


Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha. Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms): Calophyllum apetalum Willd.
= C. decipiens Wight
= C. wightianum Wallich ex Planchon & Triana

Family: Clusiaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Tree
Habitat: Along the banks of rivers and streams in evergreen and semi-evergreen forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats.
Current Regional Distribution: Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Kerala
- Elevation: Up to 1,300 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Many; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining

Data Quality: General field study and indirect information

Recent Field Studies: A.G. Pandurangan in Idukki dist.; Mangalore University Botany dept., 1995 in Subramanya, Charmadi, Devimane MPCAs; Keshava Murthy, 1996 in Anshighat and Patoli; S. Armougame, 1997 collected in Palamalai, Palakkad dist.; M.D. Subash Chandran, ongoing studies all over Uttara Kannada

Threats: Harvest for medicine; Trade; Harvest for timber; Loss of habitat

Trade: Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Common throughout southern districts of Kerala (A.E. Shanawaz Khan). Fruits are in trade and wood for timber industry

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d); Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c, 2e)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Habitat management; Genetic management
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: TBGRI, Arboretum of Mangalore University

Sources:
Nair, N.C. & A.N. Henry (1983). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser.1: Analysis) 1:27;
Mohanan, M. & A.N. Henry. Flora of Thiruvananthapuram

Compilers: Dr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms): Canarium strictum Roxb.
Family: Burseraceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree
Habitat: Tropical deciduous to evergreen forest

Original Global Distribution: Indo-Burma
Current Regional Distribution: Western and Eastern Ghats
- Elevation: Upto 1,200 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Many; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining gradually

Data Quality: General field study

Recent Field Studies:

Threats: Harvest for medicine; Overexploitation: Loss of habitat; Human interference (Man-made fire); Trade

Trade: Commercial; International

Other Comments: Tree surrounds are burnt to extract resin. Resin extracted for medicine and incense. Occurs abundantly in Kolli Hills, fragmented in Kerala. Resin is exported

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d); Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Cultivation; Genetic
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 2
- Level of difficulty: Very difficult

Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: U.A.S., Bangalore, TBGRI, Arboretum of Mangalore University
Canarium strictum continued

Nair, N.C. & A.N. Henry. (1983). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser.1 : Analysis) 1:64

Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. Ravi Kumar,
Dr. A.G. Pandurangan. Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
**TAXON DATA SHEET**

**Species (& synonyms):** *Celastrus paniculatus* Willd. ssp. *paniculatus*

**Family:** Celastraceae

**Taxonomic status:** Sub species

**Habit:** Climbing shrub

**Habitat:** Dry, moist decidous to semi-evergreen

**Original Global Distribution:** Indo-Malaysia and south China

**Current Regional Distribution:** Kerala, Tamil Nadu and Karnataka

- **Elevation:** Up to 1,200 m.
- **Range (km²):** > 20,000
- **Area Occupied (km²):** > 5,000
- **Number of locations:** Many

**Population Trends - % change**

- **% Decline:** 20 %
- **Time / Rate (Yrs or gens):** 10 years
- **No. of Mature Individuals:** Many

**Global Population:** Not known

**Regional Population:** Declining gradually

**Data Quality:** General field study (M.B. Vishwanathan in N. Arcot, 1984 -86)

**Recent Field Studies:**

**Threats:** Harvest for medicine; Loss of habitat; Trade of parts

**Trade:** Commercial

**Other Comments**

Oil from seeds for massage (medicine). Extensively collected by tribals. Roots are also used in medicine. High exploitation for medicinal purpose especially seeds. Uprooting of plants might result in decline in mature individuals. The other subspecies is *agricatus* (K.M.Mathews). Dr. Seeni of TGBRI has standardised the protocol for multiplication of this species through tissue culture. Seeds, fruits, leaves and roots are commercially traded in large quantities. Seeds are recalcitrant and have low viability.

**Status**

- **IUCN:** VULNERABLE (Regionally);
  DATA DEFICIENT (Globaly)
- **Criteria based on:** Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d)
- **CITES:** No
- **IWPA(1972;91):** No

**Recommendations**

- **Research management:** Habitat management; Cultivation related studies
- **P.H.V.A.:** Yes

**Cultivation Program Recommendations**

- **Cultivation:** Level 1
- **Level of difficulty:** Not known. Studies needed.
Celastrus paniculatus ssp. paniculatus continued

Existing Cultivations: Not known
- Names of facilities: CIMH, MPCP

Sources:
- Nair, N.C. & A.N. Henry (1983). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser.1: Analysis) 1:73

Compilers:
- Dr. V. Cheladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. G.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer,
- Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): **Chonemorpha fragrans** (Moon) Alston.  
= **C. macrophylla** G.Don

Family: Apocynaceae  
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Large woody climber (liana)  
Habitat: Moist deciduous to evergreen

Original Global Distribution: Indo-Malaysia

Current Regional Distribution: Southern India
- Elevation: Up to 1,000m. 
- Range (km²): > 20,000 
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000 
- Number of locations: Infrequent, widely scattered

Population Trends - % change  
- % Decline: > 50% 
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years 
No. of Mature Individuals: Not known for the whole region. 5 in Sollekolli. Coorg; 2-3 in Anshi Ghats Uttara Kannada (Keshava Murthy).

Global Population: Not known  
Regional Population: Declining

Data Quality: Census and monitoring; General field studies (Keshava Murthy, 1986 in Coorg and 1988 in Uttar Kannada; N. Mohanan, 1980 in Thiruvananthapuram dist.)

Recent Field Studies: S. Arumougame, 1996 in Olavakot Range, Palakkad; A.E. Shanawaz Khan, 199-96 in Thiruvananthapuram dist. and Pathanamthitta; N. Mohanan, 1994-95 in Bonacaud; N. Anil Kumar, 1992-93 in Pathanamthitta; M.D. Subash Chahdran, 1996 in Kumta

Threats: Loss of habitat; Harvest for medicine

Trade: Not known

Other Comments: Roots used in medicine (A.E. Shanawaz Khan)

Status  
- IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally)  
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c) 
- CITES: No  
- IWPA (1972;91): No

Recommendations  
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring; Habitat management; Life history studies 
- P.H.V.A.: Pending further data

Cultivation Program Recommendations  
- Cultivation: Level 3 
- Level of difficulty: Not known 
Existing Cultivations: None


Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. G.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
**TAXON DATA SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family:</td>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxonomic status:</td>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habit:</td>
<td>Tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat:</td>
<td>Moist deciduous to evergreen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Global Distribution:</td>
<td>ENDEMIC to peninsular India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Regional Distribution:</td>
<td>Peninsular India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Elevation:</td>
<td>Up to 2,000 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Range (km²):</td>
<td>&gt; 20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Area Occupied (km²):</td>
<td>&gt; 2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of locations:</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Trends - % change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- % Decline:</td>
<td>&gt; 30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens):</td>
<td>3 generations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No. of Mature Individuals:</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Population:</td>
<td>Declining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Quality:</td>
<td>Census and monitoring; General field study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats:</td>
<td>Harvest for medicine: Trade for parts for medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade:</td>
<td>Domestic; Commercial; International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Comments:</td>
<td>Bark used for medicinal purpose and in Agarbatti preparation. Inter-state trade practised and exported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>VULNERABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IUCN:</td>
<td>VULNERABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Criteria based on:</td>
<td>Population reduction (A1a, 1d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CITES:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IWPA(1972;91):</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendations</td>
<td>Limiting factor research; Survey; Sustainable harvest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- P.H.V.A.:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivation Program Recommendations</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cultivation:</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Level of difficulty:</td>
<td>Moderately difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Cultivations:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compilers:</td>
<td>Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Species (& synonyms):** *Cinnamomum sulphuratum Nees*

**Family:** Lauraceae

**Taxonomic status:** Species

**Habit:** Tree

**Habitat:** Moist deciduous to evergreen forest

**Original Global Distribution:** ENDEMIC to Western Ghats

**Current Regional Distribution:** Western Ghats

- **Elevation:** 1,300 - 2,000 m.
- **Range (km²):** > 20,000
- **Area Occupied (km²):** < 2,000
- **Number of locations:** Not known

**Population Trends - % change**
- **% Decline:** > .20 %
- **Time / Rate (Yrs or gens):** 3 generations
- **No. of Mature Individuals:** Not known

**Global Population:** Declining gradually

**Data Quality:** General field study

**Recent Field Studies:** N. Sasidharan in Wynaad MPCA; N. Mohanan, 1994-95 in Agastyamalai; Keshava Murthy and S.N. Yogananarasimhan, 1994 -95 in Coorg; N. Anil Kumar, 1992 -93 in Pathanamthitta

**Threats:** Trade for parts; Loss of habitat; Harvest for medicine

**Trade:** Domestic; Commerical; International

**Other Comments:** Bark for medicinal purpose and in Agarbathi industry.

**Status**
- **IUCN:** VULNERABLE
- **Criteria based on:** Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d)
- **CITES:** No
- **IWPA(1972;91):** No

**Recommendations**
- **Research management:** Limiting factor research, Survey - search and find, Sustainable harvesting
- **P.H.V.A.:** No

**Cultivation Program Recommendations**
- **Cultivation:** Level 1
- **Level of difficulty:** Moderately difficult

**Existing Cultivations:** Not known

**Names of facilities:** --

**Sources:**


**Compilers:** Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): Cinnamomum wightii Meissner
Family: Lauraceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Tree
Habitat: Shola forest
Original Global Distribution: Southern Western Ghats and Sri Lanka
Current Regional Distribution: Southern Western Ghats
- Elevation: 1,275 to 2,500 m.
- Range (km²): < 5,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: Few: Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20 %
- Time 7 Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Restricted distribution and fragmented with declining population trend

Data Quality: General field study


Threats: Loss of habitat due to fragmentation: Trade; Harvest for medicine
Trade: Local; Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Restricted to Shola. Bark harvested for medicinal use. Shola species are very difficult to cultivate outside. No recent collections from Karnataka.

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally)
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Taxonomic and morphological genetic studies; Survey - search and find
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Very difficult

Existing Cultivations: None
- Names of facilities: --


Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): Cleome burmanni Wight & Arn.
Family: Capparaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Herb
Habitat: Not known
Original Global Distribution: Not known
Current Regional Distribution:
- Elevation: Not known
- Range (km²): Not known
- Area Occupied (km²): Not known
- Number of locations: Not known
Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature individuals: Not known
Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Not known
Data Quality:
Recent Field Studies: None
Threats: Not known
Trade: Not known
Other Comments: M.D. Subash Chandran: Species identity and distribution should be reconfirmed
S.N. Yoganarasimhan: The distribution should be checked in the known areas
Vinay Tandon: To be sent to the experts. M.P. Nayar: The previous collections
should be referred and distribution should be checked. S. Armougame:
college will be made available for reference by him S.S.R. Bennet: The
distribution with special reference to occurrence should be checked by consulting
C.N. Mohanan, Scientist 'E', Centre for Earth Sciences, Akulam,
Thiruvanantapuram. Copy is to be sent to Dr. M.P. Nayar. Ellis's collection from
Vedaranyam, Tanjavur dist. made in 1962 and deposited in Mangalore Herbarium
should be studied. Extremely rare and probably on way to extinction. Recorded in
1914 at Shencottah along Tamilnadu & Kerala border and 1962 in Tanjavur dist..
Tamil Nadu.

Status
- IUCN: DATA DEFICIENT (Regionally);
   DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Not applicable
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No
Recommendations
- Research management: Survey
- P.H.V.A.: No
Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known
Existing Cultivations: None
- Names of facilities: --
Sources: Personal observation/comments: M.D. Subash Chandran, Vinay Tandon, M.P.
Nayar, S. Armougame, Ellis, S.S.R. Bennet, S.N. Yoganarasimhan
Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan, Mr. A.
Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R.
Bennet
Species (& synonyms): *Curcuma pseudomontana* Graham

= *C. ranadei* Prain

= *C. montana sensu* Baker non Roscoe

Family: Zingiberaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Herb

Habitat: Moist deciduous to semi-evergreen, usually along shady water courses

Original Gobal Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats

- Elevation: Upto 1,000 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Not known

Population Trends - % change

- % Decline: > 30%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining gradually

Data Quality: General field studies


Threats: Harvest for medicine; Overexploitation; Trade of parts

Trade: Commercial

Other Comments: Rhizomes and tubers in trade

Status

- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population Reduction (A1a, 1d)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations

- Research management: Habitat management
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations

- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult

Existing Cultivations: None


Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. PS. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): Curcuma zedoaria (Christm.) Roscoe = C. zerumbet Roxb.

Family: Zingiberaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Herb

Habitat: Moist deciduous forest

Original Global Distribution: Indo-Malaysia

Current Regional Distribution: Peninsular India

- Elevation: 1,000 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Few

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known

Regional Population: Widely distributed in peninsular India

Data Quality: General field study (S.N. Yoganarasimhan, 1980)

Recent Field Studies: V.S. Ramachandran, 1995 in Topslip; N. Sasidharan in Athirapally; N. Anil Kumar, 1992-93 in Pathanamthitta

Threats: Harvest for medicine; Trade for parts for medicine (rhizome)

Trade: Local; Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Found wild only in Chikmagalur. Rhizome used for medicinal purpose & Dye. No recent collection from the wild.

Status
- IUCN: LOWER RISK - NEAR THREATENED (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: --

-CITES: No
-IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Taxonomic and morphological genetic studies; Limiting factor management

- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None

- Names of facilities: --

Sources: Personal observation/comments: S.N. Yoganarasimhan, V.S. Ramachandran, N. Sasidharan, N. Anil Kumar

Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): *Dalbergia horrida* (Dennst.) Mabberely = *D. sympathetica* Nimmo

Family: Fabaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Climbing shrub

Habitat: Moist deciduous

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Peninsular India

Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats, Lower hills from Dakshina Kannada to Travancore and Tiruneivelli

- Elevation: Up to 600 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Not known

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Gradually declining

Data Quality: General field study

Recent Field Studies: A.G. Pandurangan and M. Raveendran Botanical survey of Triveni MPCA; V. Chelladurai and P. Subramani, 1995 in Courtallam; M. D. Subash Chandran 1996 in Uttara Kannada

Threats: Loss of habitat; Harvest for medicine

Trade: No

Other Comments: Used in folk medicine. Common in Sacred Groves in Udipi (B.V. Shetty). This species has three varieties. Infraspecific categories have not been considered while assessing. (Occurs mere in degraded forests)

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Taxonomic and morphological genetic studies, Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Pending further data
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: Arboretum of Mangalore University


Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): Decalepis hamiltonii Wight & Arn.
Family: Periploceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Climber
Habitat: Dry to moist deciduous forests on rocky places
Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to peninsular India
Current Regional Distribution: Peninsular India
- Elevation: 500 - 1,100 m.
- Range (km²): < 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: Few; Fragmented
Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 20%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known
Global Population: Declining gradually but restricted in area of occupancy
Data Quality: General field studies
Recent Field Studies: A.G. Pandurangan; K. Ravi Kumar, 1984-96 in Madurai, Thenmalai MPCA; M.B. Vishwanathan, 1994 -96 in Alagarkoil MPCA; P.S. Udayan, November 1996 in Pulinyansholai, Trichur dist..
Threats: Overexploitation: Browsing by Goats; Harvest for medicine; Trade of parts (Roots, leaves); Loss of habitat
Trade: Domestic; Commercial; International
Other Comments: Genus Decalepis is monotypic. Regeneration is severely affected since most of the plants are harvested prior to seed setting. Roots, leaves, follicles medicinal, roots pickled. Root harvested in high quantities in hunderds of tonnes from BRT Hills for pickling and medicinal purposes. It is also used as a substitute for Hemidesmus indicus (Sariva).
Status - IUCN: ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c, 2e)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972:91): No
Recommendations
- Research management: Genetic management
- P.H.V.A.: No
Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Not known
Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: In Botanical Garden, U.A.S, G.K.V.K. Bangalore
Sources: Personal observation/ comments: A.G. Pandurangan, K. Ravi Kumar, P.S. Udayan, M.B. Vishwanathan
Hooker, Flora of British India
Yoganarasimhan, S.N. (1996). Medicinal Plants of India (Kamataka), Vol. 1
Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms): **Dendrobium ovatum** (Willd.) Kranzl.

Family: Orchidaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Epiphytic herb

Habitat: Epiphytic on trees and roots along open grasslands in moist deciduous to semi evergreen forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats
- Elevation: 50 - 1,500 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 20%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Many, fairly common

Global Population: Gradual decline in population numbers

Data Quality: General field study


Threats: Loss of habitat; Human interference (man-made fire); Loss of habitat due to fragmentation

Trade: Not known.

Other Comments: Information on trade not available

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Not known

Names of facilities: --

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: Keshava Murthy, A.E. Shanawaz Khan, N. Mohanan, N. Anil Kumar
Abraham, A. & P. Vatsala (1981). *Introduction to Orchids*

Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. G.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): *Diospyros candolleana* Wight.

Family: Ebenaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Moist deciduous to evergreen

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats

- Elevation: Up to 900 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Not known

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining gradually and restricted area of occupancy

Data Quality: General field study

Recent Field Studies: A.E. Shanawaz Khan, 1995 in Thiruvananthapuram dist. and Pathanamthitta dist. Keshava Murthy, December 1996 in Uttara Kannada; N. Anil Kumar, 1992-93 in Pathanamthitta; Mangalore University Botany Department, 1995 in Charmadi and Subramanya MPCAs; M.D. Subash Chandran, 1996 in Uttara Kannada

Threats: Loss of habitat; Harvest for medicine: Trade

Trade: Local

Other Comments: Decoction of root bark used in rheumatism and swelling

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c)

-CITES: No

-IWPA(1972:91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Not known
- Names of facilities: –


Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): **Diospyros paniculata** Dalz.

Family: Ebenaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Moist semi evergreen forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to western peninsular India

Current Regional Distribution: Western peninsular India

- Elevation: Up to 1,000 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: 8 to 9 (Coimbatore, Nilgiri, Uttara & Dakshina Kannada, Konkan, Shimoga); Fragmented

Population Trends,- % change
- % Decline: 30 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Stable in Uttara Kannada; Decline in Shimoga, Kerala and Tamilnadu. Populations are fragmented.

Data Quality: General field studies


Threats. Loss of habitat because of fragmentation; Loss of habitat because of exotic plants; Harvest for medicine; Trade

Trade: Local

Other Comments: Species is dioecious.

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1 a, 1c. 1d)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Habitat management; Life History Studies; Survey
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Not known
- Names of facilities:


Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan, Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): Dipterocarpus indicus Beddome
Family: Dipterocarpaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Large Tree
Habitat: Semi-evergreen to evergreen forests
Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to central and southern Western Ghats
Current Regional Distribution: Central and southern Western Ghats
- Elevation: 300 - 1,000 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many
Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 50 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known
Global Population: Declining rapidly
Data Quality: General field studies
Threats: Loss of habitat; Harvest for timber, Trade
Trade: Domestic: Commercial
Other Comments: Oil is extracted from the fruit.
Status
- IUCN ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1 a. 1c, 1d)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972,91): No
Recommendations
- Research management: Life history studies; Habitat management;
Survey
- P.H.V.A.: No
Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Not known
Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: Arboretum of Mangalore University
Sources:
Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yogananarsimhan, Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): Dysoxylum malabaricum Beddome ex Hierr
Family: Meliaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Large Tree
Habitat: Evergreen forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to central and southern Western Ghats.
Current Regional Distribution: Karnataka: Kodagu, Mysore, Shimoga, Coorg, Uttara & Dakshina Kannada Tamil Nadu: Anaymalai's, Coimbatore, Nilgiris; Kerala: Palakkad, Travancore
- Elevation: Up to 1,000 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many; Trees well dispersed in the forest one or two per hectare

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 50 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining
Data Quality: General field studies (Keshava Murthy, 1984 in Uttara Kannada)

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Loss of habitat because of fragmentation; Overexploitation; Loss of habitat because of exotic plants; Harvest for timber; Harvest for medicine; Trade
Trade: Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Heartwood used for medicine; destructive collections. Substitute for Aquilaria agallocha for medicinal purposes. Industrial demand heavy for Plywood

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d, 1e)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Habitat management; Life history studies; Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: Pending results

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Moderately difficult

Existing Cultivations: Not known

Sources:

Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan, Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
**TAXON DATA SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species (&amp; synonyms):</th>
<th><em>Elaeocarpus serratus</em> L.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family:</td>
<td>Elaeocarpaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxonomic status:</td>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habit: Small to medium tree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Habitat: Moist deciduous to semi evergreen forests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Global Distribution:</th>
<th>Indo-Malaysia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Regional Distribution:</td>
<td>Southern India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Elevation: Up to 1,500 m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Range (km²): &gt; 20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Area Occupied (km²): &gt; 2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of locations: Many</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Trends - % change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- % Decline: &lt; 10 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 2 generations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Population: Not known</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional Population: General decline but widely distributed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Quality: General field studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Recent Field Studies:**


**Threats:**

- Loss of habitat; Harvest

**Trade:**

- Not known

**Other Comments:**

- Fruits edible, pickled; plenty of regeneration observed in Palakkad & Tamil Nadu. Tribals collect fruits for seasonal use.

**Status**

- **IUCN:** LOWER RISK - NEAR THREATENED (Regionally)
  DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- **Criteria based on:** Not applicable
- **CITES:** No
- **IWPA (1972, 91):** No

**Recommendations**

- Research management: No
- P.H.V.A.: No

**Cultivation Program Recommendations**

- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known
- Existing Cultivations: Not known
- Names of facilities: --
Elaeocarpus serratus continued


Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan, Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): *Embelia tsjeriam-cottam* (Roemer & Schutes) DC.
- *E. robusta* auct. non Roxb.
- *E. villosa* Wall. ex Roxb.
- *E. acutipetalum* (Lam. ex Hassk.) S.M. Almeida & M.R. Almeida

Family: Myrsinaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Shrub

Habitat: Moist deciduous forests - also in semi evergreen forests. Occasionally in dry deciduous forests.

Original Global Distribution: India, Sri Lanka and Myanmar

Current Regional Distribution: In Peninsular India, Maharashtra, Tamilnadu, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Kerala

- Elevation: 600-1,600 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: 5; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining

Data Quality: General field studies; Indirect information

Recent Field Studies: A.G. Pandurangan, 1995-96 in Idukki; K. Ravi Kumar, 1987-91 in Megamalai, Madurai; S. Armougame, 1996 in Attapadi and Walayar Ranges; C.G. Kushalappa in Pechiparai MPCA and Devarayanadurga; N. Mohanan, 1994-95 in Agastyalimalai

Threats: Harvest for medicine; Loss of habitat due to fragmentation; Land slides; Trade

Trade: Local; Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Seeds used as adulterant with *E. ribes* (Vidang). According to Sanskrit texts of Ayurveda, Vidang is a mixture of seeds of *Embelia* species

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Taxonomic studies required to determine the status of the species
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Very difficult

Existing Cultivations: None


Compilers: B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms): *Eulophia cullenii* (Wight) Blume

Family: Orchidaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Herbs

Habitat: In Grasslands

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Southern Western Ghats (Agastyamalai)

- Elevation: 600-1,000 m.
- Range (km²): < 100
- Area Occupied (km²): < 10
- Number of locations: 5: Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 50%
- Time /Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining rapidly in highly restricted area of occupancy

Data Quality: General field study

Recent Field Studies: A.G. Pandurangan and Raj Vikraman, 1995-96 in Agastyamalai

Threats: Harvest for medicine; Loss of habitat (under grassland reclamation, Program of the Forest Department); Human interference; Predation (tubers eaten away by wild boars); Trade

Trade: Local; Domestic

Other Comments: Its a very narrow endemic.

Status
- IUCN: CRITICALLY ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c, 2e)
- CITIES: No
- IWPA(1972,91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult (through tubers)

Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: TBGRI's *ex situ* nursery


Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
**TAXON DATA SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family:</td>
<td>Orchidaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxonomic status:</td>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habit:</td>
<td>Herb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat:</td>
<td>Usually in grasslands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Global Distribution:</td>
<td>ENDEMIC to peninsular India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats, Gujarat, Mysore, Raichur, Panchagani, Khandala and Dakshina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kannada</td>
<td>- Elevation: 600 - 1,500 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Range (km²): &lt; 20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Area Occupied (km²): &lt; 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Number of locations: Few; Fragmented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Trends - % change</td>
<td>- % Decline: 50 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- No. of Mature individuals: Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Population:</td>
<td>Declining rapidly in highly restricted area of occupancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Quality:</td>
<td>General field study (M.P. Nayar); Indirect studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent Field Studies:</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats:</td>
<td>Loss of habitat; Human interference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade:</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>CRITICALLY ENDANGERED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IUCN:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Criteria based on:</td>
<td>Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CITES:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IWPA(1972;91):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendations</td>
<td>Monitoring; Genetic management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Research management:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- P.H.V.A.:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivation Program Recommendations</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cultivation:</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Level of difficulty:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Cultivations:</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Names of facilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources:</td>
<td>Personal observation/ comments: M. P. Nayar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compilers:</td>
<td>Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Species (& synonyms): *Garcinia gummi-gutta* (L.) Robson = *G. cambogia* (Gaertn.) Desr.

Family: Clusiaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Semi-evergreen to evergreen

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats
- Elevation: 50 - 1,800 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: No decline
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not declining

Data Quality: General field study

Recent Field Studies: N. Mohanan, 1994-95 in Agastyamalai; N. Anil Kumar, 1992 -93 in Pathanamthitta; V. Chelladurai; C.G. Kushalappa, 1995 in Coorg; Shanawaz Khan, 1995 in southern districts of Kerala; P.S. Udayan, 1995 in Kodanad, Thai Shola; Managalore University, Botany Dept., 1995 in Charmadi, Subramanya and Triveni MPCAs; M.D. Subash Chandran, 1990 -96 in Uttara Kannada evergreen forests; Dr. K. Ravi Kumar, G. S. Goraya and P.S. Udhayan, 1996 in Kudheramukha MPCA

Threats: Loss of habitat: Harvest for food; Harvest for medicine; Trade

Trade: Commercial

Other Comments: Used as condiment in Kerala (fish preparations) as substitute for Tamarind; Rind of fruit upto Rs. 60-70/- per kg. Fruit in trade in high quantity. Need to study effects of harvest of fruits on population structure. Infraspecific classification is not taken into consideration. In U.S. its extracts is used for fat reduction Antiobesity agent (G.G. Gangadaran). Forest Dept. of Kamataka; work on early yielding varities is going on.

Status
- IUCN: LOWER RISK-NEAR-THREATENED
- Criteria based on: Not applicable
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring; Habitat management
- P.H.V.A.: Pending results

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult

Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: Karnataka forest department nursery, many homesteads, botanic gardens Arboretum of Mangalore University

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: N. Mohanan, N. Anil Kumar, V. Chelladurai

Continued next page
Garcinia gummi-gutta continued

Nair, N.C. & A.N. Henry (1983). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser. 1: Analysis) 1:27;

Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. G.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): *Garcinia rubro-echinata* Kosterm. 
= *G. echinocarpa* Gamble

Family: Clusiaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Evergreen forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDENMIC to southern Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Tamil Nadu and Kerala
- Elevation: 900-1,830 m.
- Range (km²): < 5,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: Severely fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Restricted distribution

Data Quality: General field studies

Recent Field Studies: A.E. Shanawaz Khan, 1994 in Kakachi, Upper Kodayar

Threats: Trade of parts; Loss of habitat due to fragmentation

Trade: Local; Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Seed oil is used for illuminating purposes and in soap and candle making. The leaves and bark are used as vermifuge

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Extent of Occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Not known
- Names of facilities: --


Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Garcinia talbotir Raizada ex Santapau
= G. ovalifolius (Roxb.) Hook. f. var. macrantha Hook.f.
= G. malabarica Talbot

Family: Clusiaceae

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Semi-evergreen to evergreen forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution:
- Elevation: Upto 1,000 m.
- Range (km²): < 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Few; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Restricted distribution

Data Quality: General field studies

Recent Field Studies: M. D. Subash Chandran, 1986-95 in Uttara Kannada evergreen forests

Threats: Less of habitat; Harvest for food; Trade

Trade: Local; Domestic

Other Comments: Fruits yield inferior quantity of gutta-gum. Dried fruits are used like tamarind in curries.

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: M.D. Subash Chandran
Nair, N.C. & A.N. Henry (1983). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser. 1 : Analysis) 1:28;

Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar,
Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms): *Garcinia travancorica* Beddome  
Family: Clusiaceae  
Taxonomic status: Species  

Habit: Tree  
Habitat: Evergreen shola forests  

Original Global Distribution. ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats  
Current Regional Distribution: Tirunelveli, Kanyakumari hills, Agastyalai  
- Elevation: above 1,000 m.  
- Range (km²): < 100  
- Area Occupied (km²): < 10  
- Number of locations: 5 (Tirunelveli, Kanyakumari, Agastyalai, Ponmudi, Chemurigi)  

Population Trends - % change  
- % Decline: 50 %  
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations  
- No. of Mature individuals: < 250  

Global Population: Declining rapidly in highly restricted distribution  
Data Quality: General Field studies; Direct observations  
Recent Field Studies: A.G. Pandurangan and Jose, 1995 -96 in Kerala; K. Ravi Kumar, Gopalan and R. Ganesan, 1990 -96 in Thirunelveli to Agastyalai & Kanyakumari; N. Mohanan, 1994-95 in Agastyalai  

Threats: Harvest for medicine; Human interference; Trade  
Trade: Local  
Other Comments: Immature fruits eaten by squirrels. Being an unisexual tree, fertilization often is difficult leading to low fruitset. No regeneration because of fruits being eaten away. Seeds recalcitrant - Viability period very short Genetic problem (Diseases) - Pollination problematic. Debarking for medicinal purposes. Often bark and fruits collected by tribals  

Status  
- IUCN: ENDANGERED  
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c); Extent of occurrence (B1. 2c); Population estimates (C2a); Number of mature individuals (D)  
- CITES: No  
- IWPA(1972;91): No  

Recommendations  
- Research management: Monitoring; Genetic management  
- P.H.V.A.: Yes  

Cultivation Program Recommendations  
- Cultivation: Level 1  
- Level of difficulty: Not known  

Existing Cultivations:  
- Names of facilities: TBGRI, Lalbaugh Garden, Bangalore.  


Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
**TAXON DATASHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species (&amp; synonyms):</th>
<th><em>Gymnema khandalense</em> Santapau</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family:</strong></td>
<td>Asclepiadaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taxonomic status:</strong></td>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Habit:</strong></td>
<td>A large woody climber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Habitat:</strong></td>
<td>Moist deciduous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Original Global Distribution:</strong></td>
<td>ENDEMIC to Western Ghats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Regional Distribution:</strong></td>
<td>Western Ghats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Elevation:</td>
<td>+/- 550 m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Range (km²):</td>
<td>&lt; 20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Area Occupied (km²):</td>
<td>&lt; 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Number of locations:</td>
<td>3 in Maharashtra and 1 in Kerala; Fragmented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population Trends - % change</strong></td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- % Decline:</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens):</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No. of Mature Individuals:</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global Population:</strong></td>
<td>Restricted area of occupancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Quality:</strong></td>
<td>General field study (Santapau and Irani 1962 in Maharashtra)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recent Field Studies:</strong></td>
<td>Swarupanandan, 1991 in Kerala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threats:</strong></td>
<td>Harvest for medicine; Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trade:</strong></td>
<td>Domestic; Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Comments:</strong></td>
<td>Used as a substitute for <em>G. sylvestre</em>. Swarupanandan has reported very few plants and there is no information on population from Maharashtra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status</strong></td>
<td>ENDANGERED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IUCN:</td>
<td>ENDANGERED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Criteria based on:</td>
<td>Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c, 2d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CITES:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IWPA (1972;91):</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommendations</strong></td>
<td>Survey; Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Research management:</td>
<td>Survey; Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- P.H.V.A.:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cultivation Program Recommendations</strong></td>
<td>Pending results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cultivation:</td>
<td>Pending results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Level of difficulty:</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Cultivations:</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Names of facilities:</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**

- *Red Data Book - Maharashtra* (Pune dist.; Khandala; Raigad; Masadi forests in Roha) Santapau & Irani (1962)

**Compilers:**

- Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): Gymnema montanum (Roxb.) Hook.f. var. montanum
Family: Asclepiadaceae
Taxonomic status: Variety

Habit: Climber
Habitat: Semi-evergreen to evergreen

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats
Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats
- Elevation: 1,300 to 2,000 m.
- Range (km²): < 5,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: 4 (Shimoga, Silent Valley - Mukkali Camp Shed, Naduvattam, Anamalai); Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Restricted distribution
Recent Field Studies: None
Threats: Overexploitation; Trade for parts; Harvest for medicine
Trade: Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Used as a substitute for G. sylvestre.

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Pending further data
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: S.N. Yoganarasimhan
Manilal (1988). Flora of Silent Valley

Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan,
Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): *Helminthostachys zeylanicus* (L.) Hook.  
= *H. dulcis* Kauf.

Family: Ophioglossaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Herb

Habitat: Swamps and marshy places; Cool forest floors upto 1000 m.

Original Global Distribution: Indo-Malaysia, Australia

Current Regional Distribution: Peninsular India (Kerala & Tamil Nadu)
- Elevation: upto 1,000 m.
- Range (km²): < 5,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 100
- Number of locations: Few; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 30 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining in its restricted range and area

Data Quality: General field study


Threats: Harvest for food; Harvest for medicine; Trade of parts for medicine (roots); Human interference(for botanical collections)

Trade: Local; Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Fronds eaten as raw or cooked by Malayans and Kattnayakans of Kerala. Used as a Tonic, controls dysentry and antidote for snake poison. Fresh roots are sold at Rs. 30/ kg. in local market. Work on its nutritive analysis per 100 gm. (Ca = 97.95 mg, P = 91.50 mg., Fe = 1.79 mg. Carotene = 2.1 mg. Vit. C = 45.90 mg.) CFTRI. Collected due to its botanical interest

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally)  
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)  
- CITES: No  
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring  
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1  
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations:  
- Names of facilities: TBGRI

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: A.G. Pandurangan, A.E. Shanawaz Khan, V. Chelladurai

Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms): Heracleum candolleanum (Wight & Am.) Gamble
Family: Apiaceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Perennial herb
Habitat: Montane Shola grasslands

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats and Kolli Hills
Current Regional Distribution: Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Kerala
- Elevation: 1,500-2,000 m.
- Range (km²): < 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Many, Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining gradually
Data Quality: General field studies


Threats: Harvest for medicine; Loss of habitat; Trade
Trade: Commercial

Other Comments: Whole plant dried and sold to Ayurvedic Industry. Used as substitute for H. rigens. Seeds are in trade, tuber collected by local health practitioners

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a,1c,1d), Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Habitat management
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Very difficult (high altitude specific)

Existing Cultivations: None


Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms): Heracleum rigens Wallich ex DC.
Family: Apiaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Herb
Habitat: Bare slopes

Original Global Distribution: Peninsular India and Sri Lanka
Current Regional Distribution: Peninsular India (Karnataka and Tamil Nadu)
- Elevation: 1,200-2,630 m.
- Range (km²): < 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: 10; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Gradually declining
Data Quality: General field study
Recent Field Studies: M.B. Vishwanathan, 1992 - 93 in Kolli Hills; P.S. Udayan, 1993 in Mukurthi
Threats: Trade of parts (seeds)
Trade: Local
Other Comments: Used in Ayurveda as Sukshma Ela and in Sidda medicine as Chittralam.
Seeds collected.

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE (Regionally);
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey:
- Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: No
Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known
Existing Cultivations: None

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: M.B. Vishwanathan, P.S. Udayan

Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
**TAXON DATA SHEET**

Species (& synonyms): **Humboldtia vahliana** Wight

Family: Caesalpiniaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Evergreen along river banks/beds

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Tamil Nadu and Kerala

- Elevation: upto 1,000 m.
- Range (km²): < 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: 7; Fragmented

Population Trends % change:
- % Decline: 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining gradually in its restricted range

Data Quality: General field study

Recent Field Studies: A.G. Pandurangan from Pamba (Triveni) MPCA; N. Anil Kumar, 1992-93 in Pathanamthitta

Threats (Key): Harvest for medicine; Trade of parts for medicine (bark)

Trade: Domestic

Other Comments: Bark collected for use in medicine.

Status:
- IUCN: ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- -IWP(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None
- Names of facilities: --

Sources:

Compilers: Mr. B. V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S. R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar, Dr. A. G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K. R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms): Hydnocarpus alpina Wight
Family: Flacourtiaceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tall Tree (10-30 m.)
Habitat: Evergreen forest; found along steam banks; moist valleys

Original Global Distribution: Southern Western Ghats & Sri Lanka
Current Regional Distribution: Southern Western Ghats
- Elevation: upto 2,000m
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Many

Population Trends -
% change in years or gens.
- % Decline: > 50 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining rapidly

Data Quality
General field studies

Recent Field Studies

Threats (Key):
Loss of habitat; Loss of habitat because of fragmentation; Trade of parts medicine (fruits); Overexploitation; Harvest for medicine

Trade:
Commercial

Other Comments:
Substitute for Hydnocarpus pentandra. Trade in fruits for oil extraction heavy. The Flora of India treats this species as Endemic to southern Western Ghats. According to Saldanha (1984) it is distributed in Western Ghats and Sri Lanka

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972,91): No

Recommendations
- Research management:
Survey - search and find; Habitat management, Life history studies; Limiting factor research; Monitoring; Taxonomic studies
- P.H.V.A.: Pending

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Reforestation
- Level of difficulty: Moderately difficult

Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: Not known

Continued next page
Hydnocarpus alpina continued

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: S. Armougame, P.S. Udayan Fyson's flora; British India; Karnataka, Trivandrum floras & Palaghat
Nair, N.C. & A. N. Henry. (1983). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser. 1: Analysis) 1:18;
Manilal (1988). Flora of Silent Valley
Mohanan, N. & A.N. Henry (1994). Flora of Thiruvananthapuram

Compilers: Dr. M.P Nayar, Dr. M. D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan,
Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanath, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): *Hydnocarpus pentandra* (Buch. - Ham.) Oken = *H. laurifolia* (Dennst.)

Family: Flacourtiaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Moist deciduous to semi-evergreen forest

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats

- Elevation: upto 850 m
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Not known

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generation
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining gradually

Data Quality: General field study

Recent Field Studies

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat because of fragmentation; Harvest for medicine; Trade

Overexploitation; Predation

Trade: Commercial

Other Comments: Monkeys and Squirrel eat immature seeds. Seed are harvested for Chaalomogra oil used in cure of leprosy.

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Life history studies
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult

Existing Cultivations
- Names of facilities: Arboretum of Mangalore University; *Ex situ* Conservation, CIMH, MPCP
Hydnocarpus pentandra continued

Sources:

Personal observation/comments: A.E. Shanawaz Khan, C.G. Kushalappa.
Nair, N.C. & A. N. Henry. 1983. Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser. 1: Analysis) 1:19;
Gamble, J.S. 1957. Flora of the Presidency of Madras 1:37
Vajravelu, E. (1990). Flora of Palakkad & Thiruvananthapuram Distribution :
Coimbatore, Madurai & Nilgiri
Hook, F. (1872). Flora of British India
Ramamurthy (1976). In Saldanha & Nicols, Flora of Hasan Dist.,

Compilers:

Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udyan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan.
Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa

Family: Myristicaceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Medium Tree
Habitat: Evergreen forests and also semi-evergreen

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats
- Elevation: upto 800 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: < 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 2 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining generally

Data Quality
General field studies; Informal field sightings

Recent Field Studies

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Harvest; Trade

Trade:
Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: The population decline is estimated as less than 20% due to high representation of the species and its relative abundance in riverine tracts. Wood for match boxes. N.M. Kurien in Spices Board has studied the reproductive biology of the species.

Status
- IUCN: LOWER RISK - NEAR THREATENED
- Criteria based on: Not applicable
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Habitat management
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: Arboretum of Mangalore University
Knema attenuata continued


Compilers: Dr. M. P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanath, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
**Species (& synonyms):** *Luffa umbellata* Klein ex Wild. Roemer

**Family:** Cucurbitaceae

**Taxonomic status:** Species

**Habit:** Climber

**Habitat:** Edges of evergreen forest along foothills

**Original Global Distribution:** ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats

**Current Regional Distribution:** Southern Western Ghats

| Elevation: | upto 1,000 m. |
| Range (km²): | < 5,000 |
| Area Occupied (km²): | < 500 |
| Number of locations: | Very few |

**Population Trends - % change**
- % Decline: Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

**Global Population:** Restricted distribution but trends not known.

**Data Quality**
- General field studies

**Recent Field Studies**
- November 1996, field survey in Anavall, Attapady

**Threats (Key):** Not known

**Trade:** Not known

**Other Comments:**

**Status**
- IUCN: DATA DEFICIENT
- Criteria based on: Not applicable
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

**Recommendations**
- Research management: Survey; Taxonomic and genetic studies

**Cultivation Program Recommendations**
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known

**Existing Cultivations:** None


**Compilers:** Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan, Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bonnet
Species (& synonyms): Madhuca longifolia var. longifolia (Koering) Macbr.  
= Bassia longifolia Koering
Family: Sapotaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Large Tree
Habitat: Deciduous and mixed forests
Original Global Distribution: Indo-Malaysia
Current Regional Distribution: Southern India
- Elevation: upto 1000 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many
Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 50 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known
Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining rapidly
Data Quality
General field studies; Information field sightings (M.B. Vishwanathan,1984)
Recent Field Studies S. Armougame, K. Ravi Kumar, 1980-97; M.B. Vishwanathan, 1994-96; 
Thiruvananthapuram semi-evergreen forests; S. Armougame, 1996 in Attapady; N. 
Anil Kumar, 1992-93 in Pathanamthitta
Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Harvest for medicine; Overexploitation; Harvest for timber; 
Browsing & grazing; Trade
Trade: Domestic; Commercial
Other Comments: Flowers for brewing arrack, oil got from seeds, wood as structural timber
Status - IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally); 
DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d)
-CITES: No
-IWPA(1972;91): No
Recommendations - Research management: Habitat management; Life history studies; Afforestation
-P.H.V.A.: No
Cultivation Program Recommendations - Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult
Existing Cultivations: As avenue trees
- Names of facilities: Not known
Sources: Personal observation/ comments: S. Armougame, K. Ravi Kumar, M.B. 
Vishwanathan
Rao, R.S. (1986). Flora of Goa, Diu, Daman, Dadra and Nagarhaveli 2:244;
Henry, A.N., G.R. Kumari & V. Chitra. (1987). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser.1: 
Analysis) 2:63.
Ramamurthy (1976). In Saldanha & Nicols., Flora of Hasan Dist., 
Mathew & Ravi (1983). In Mathew, Flora of Tamil Nadu Carnatic
Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan,
Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. Armougame, Dr. 
S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): Madhuca neriifolia (Moon) H. J. Lam.  
= Bassia malabarica Beddome

Family: Sapotaceae  
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree  
Habitat: Along water courses in semi-evergreen and evergreen forest

Original Global Distribution: Peninsular India & Sri Lanka

Current Regional Distribution: Peninsular India
- Elevation: upto 700 m.  
- Range (km²): > 20,000  
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000  
- Number of locations: Many

Population Trends - % change  
- % Decline: 20 %  
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 Years  
- No. of Mature individuals: Many

Global Population: Not known  
Regional Population: Declining gradually

Data Quality: Informal field sightings

Recent Field Studies  

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Harvest for medicine; Trade

Trade: Local; Domestic

Other Comments: Heart wood decoction used for ulcers. Flowers soaked in water used for kidney complaints. Heart wood used for making country mortars. According to Gamble the species occurs upto an elevation of 1200 m.

Status  
- IUCN: VULNERABLE (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)  
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1c)  
- CITES: No  
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations  
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring; Habitat management  
- P.H.V.A.: Pending results

Cultivation Program Recommendations  
- Cultivation: No  
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Not known  
- Names of facilities:  
  Ex situ Conservation, CIMH, MPCP

Sources:  
Personal observation/ comments: K. Ravi Kumar, N. Anil Kumar, S. Armougame, M.D. Subash Chandran  

Compilers:  
Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): *Michelia nilagirica* Zenk.

Family: Magnoliaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Ever-green to shola forest

Original Global Distribution: Western peninsular India and Sri Lanka (Hooker)

Current Regional Distribution: Western peninsular India

- Elevation: Above 1,300 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Not known

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known

Regional Population: Declining gradually

Data Quality
General field study (K. Ravi Kumar, 1985-89 in Madurai and Nilgiris)

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat

Trade: No

Other Comments:

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Habitat management
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Moderately difficult

Existing Cultivations: None
- Names of facilities: --


Compilers: Dr. V Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): Myristica dactyloides Gaertner

Family: Myristicaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree

Habitat: Evergreen forest

Original Global Distribution: Southern India and Sri Lanka

Current Regional Distribution: Southern India
- Elevation: > 1,300 m. (Eastern Ghats); Upto 1500 m. (Western Ghats)
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations:

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining gradually

Data Quality
- General field studies
- Recent Field Studies

Threats (Key): Harvest for medicine; Overexploitation; Trade

Trade: Commercial

Other Comments: Aril of M. dactyloides used an substitute for M. fragrans

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE (Regionally);
  DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, Id)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Sustainable harvest
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Pending results
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities: Arboretum of Mangalore University

Continued next page
**Myristica dactyloides** continued

**Sources:**

Personal observation/ comments: P.S. Udayan, Noorie, Priya, Shanwaz Khan, M.B. Vishwanathan, C. Renuka, S. Armougame, N. Anil Kumar, V.S. Ramachandran, C.G. Kushalappa, N. Sasidharan
Gandhi (1976). In Saldanha & Nicols., *Flora of Hasan Dist,*
Mathew & Ravi (1983). In Mathew, *Flora of Tamil Nadu Carnatic*
Mohanan, N. & A.N. Henry (1994). *Flora of Thiruvananthapuram*

**Compilers:**

Mr. B. V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S. R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar,
Dr. A. G. Pandurangan. Dr. Ellis, Dr. K. R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
**TAXON DATA SHEET**

**Species (& synonyms):** *Persea macrantha* (Nees) Kosterm.  
* = Machilus macrantha Nees

**Family:** Lauraceae  
**Taxonomic status:** Species

**Habit:** Large Tree  
**Habitat:** Semi-evergreen to evergreen

**Original Global Distribution:** Peninsular India & Sri Lanka

**Current Regional Distribution:** Peninsular India  
- **Elevation:** upto 2,000 m.  
- **Range (km\(^2\):** > 20,000  
- **Area Occupied (km\(^2\):** > 2,000  
- **Number of locations:** Many

**Population Trends - % change**
- **% Decline:** > 20 %  
- **Time / Rate (Yrs or gens):** 3 generations  
- **No. of Mature Individuals:** Not known

**Global Population:** Not known  
**Regional Population:** Declining

**Data Quality**
- **General field studies (M.B. Vishwanathan, 1984-86 in Javadi Hills)**

**Recent Field Studies**

**Threats (Key):** Harvest for medicine; Harvest for timber; Trade

**Trade:** Commercial

**Other Comments:** Bark used for medicine preparation and for agarbathi manufacture. Destructive collection for plywood

**Status**
- **IUCN:** VULNERABLE (Regionally);  
  DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)

- **Criteria based on:** Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d)

- **CITES:** No

- **IWPA(1972,91):** No

**Recommendations**
- **Research management:** Habitat management; Life history studies; Reforestation

- **P.H.V.A.:** No

**Cultivation Program Recommendations**
- **Cultivation:** Not known

- **Level of difficulty:** Not known

**Existing Cultivations:** Not known

- **Names of facilities:** Ex situ Conservation, CIMH, MPCP
Sources:

Personal observation/ comments: M.B. Vishwanathan, M.D. Subash Chandran, S. Armougame, K. Ravi Kumar, P.S. Udayan, A.E. Shanawaz Khan, A.G. Pandurangan


Henry, A.N., G.R. Kumari & V. Chitra (1987). *Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser. 1 Analysis)* 2:212;


Mohanan, N. & A.N. Henry (1994). *Flora of*
Species (& synonyms): *Plectranthus nilgherricus* Benth.

Family: Lamiaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tall herb - undershrub

Habitat: Undergrowth in evergreen forest

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats.

Current Regional Distribution: Southern Western Ghats

-Elevation: 1,200 - 2,000 m.
- Range (km²): < 5,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: 5; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining

Data Quality General field studies

Recent Field Studies P.S. Udayan, 1992 in Parson's Valley

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat, Loss of habitat because of fragmentation

Trade: Not known

Other Comments:

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- -IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Life history studies; Limiting factor management
- P.H.VA: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Not known

Names of facilities: --

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: P.S. Udayan
Gamble, J.S. (1928). *Flora of Madras Presidency*

Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan,
Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame,
Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): Pterospermum xylocarpum (Gaertner) Santapu & Wagh
= P. heyneanum Wallich ex Wight & Arn.

Family: Sterculiaceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree
Habitat: Mixed deciduous, Moist deciduous, Semievergreen

Original Global Distribution: Peninsular India & West Bengal

Current Regional Distribution: Peninsular India
- Elevation: upto 900 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Not known

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 10%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 2 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Very gradual decline

Data Quality

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Harvest; Trade for parts

Trade: Local; Domestic
Other Comments: Leaves smoked as tobacco; Leaves also used in making plates

Status
- IUCN: LOWER RISK - NEAR THREATENED (Regionally)
DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on:
-CITES: No
-IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Habitat management; Life history studies
-P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: No
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Not known


Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan, Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): *Raphidophora pertusa* (Roxb.) Schott  
= *Pathos pertusa* Roxb.  
= *Monsters pertusa* (Roxb.)  
= *Seindapsus pertusa* (Roxb.) Schott

Family: Araceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Stout epiphytic climbers
Habitat: Semi Evergreen to Evergreen (Moist Deciduous - Ravi Kumar)

Original Global Distribution: Southern India and Sri Lanka

Current Regional Distribution: Southern India
  - Elevation: Upto 1,300 m
  - Range (km²): < 20,000
  - Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
  - Number of locations: Many

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 25%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 Years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Many

Global Population: Not Known
Regional Population: Declining

Data Quality
- General Field Studies

Threats (Key): Loss of Habitat; Trade of parts (inflorescence)
Trade: Commerical

Other Comments: Inflorescence in Trade. Aurvedic medicinal ingredients. According to M. Sivadasan, the species *pertusa* is distinct and not a synonym of *lacinata* as described by Saldanha

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE (Regionally);  
  DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Population Reduction (A1c, 1c, 1d)
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Habitat management
- P.H.V.A: Pending

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Not required
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult

Existing Cultivations: Introduced in garden for ornamental
- Names of facilities: Calicut University Botanical Garden

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: K. Ravi Kumar, M. Sivadasan, A.E. Shanawaz Khan, P.S. Udayan, M.D. Subash Chandran
Cooke, *Flora of Bombay Presidency*
Sivadasan & Nichols (1983). In Mathew, *Flora of Tamil Nadu Camatic*

Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. VS. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): *Salacia oblonga* Wallich ex Wight & Am.

Family: Hippocrateaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Climbing shrubs

Habitat: Moist deciduous to evergreen

Original Global Distribution: Western Ghats & Sri Lanka

Current Regional Distribution: Western Ghats
- Elevation: upto 1,000 m.
- Range (km²): <20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: < 5 locations in each state; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Very few

Global Population: Not known

Regional Population: Declining

Data Quality General field studies; Informal field sightings (Keshava Murthy, 1984 collection in Sollekkel. Coorg)

Recent Field Studies V. Chelladurai, 1996; A.E. Shanawaz Khan, 1994 in Pathanamthitta and Thiruvananthapuram dist.; Mangalore University Botany dept., 1995 in Charmadi and Subramanya MPCAs

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Harvest for medicine

Trade: Not known

Other Comments: Compared to low levels of population, exploitation is more; regeneration is poor. Fruits attacked by borers.

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring; Habitat management; Life history studies
- P.H.V.A.: Pending results

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 3
- Level of difficulty: Very difficult

Existing Cultivations: None

Sources: Personal Observation/ comments: Keshava Murthy, V. Chelladurai, A.E. Shanawaz Khan
Nair, N.E. & A.N. Henry (1983). *Flora of Tamil Nadu, India* (Ser.1:Analysis) 1:75;

Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer,
Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): Salacia reticulata Wight
Family: Hippocrataceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Scandent Shrubs
Habitat: Semi-evergreen, Coastal
Original Global Distribution: Southwestern India and Andaman Islands
Current Regional Distribution: Southwestern India
- Elevation: upto 300 mts
- Range (km²): < 5,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Many
Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 50 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known
Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining
Data Quality: General field study
Recent Field Studies: V. Chelladurai & S.P. Subramani; A.E. Shanawaz Khan, 1996 in Thiruvananthapuram Dist.,
Threats (Key): Harvest for medicine; Trade of parts for medicine (roots); Loss of habitat
Trade: Commerical
Other Comments: Plenty in Andamans (Dr. V. Chelladurai). Destrctive collection of roots
Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally);
DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1c, 1d)
-CITES: No
-IWPA(1972;91): No
Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring; Life history studies
-P.H.V.A: Pending
Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: None
-Level of difficulty: Least difficult
Existing Cultivations: None
- Names of facilities: TBGRI
Sources: Personal observation/ comments: V. Chelladurai, S.P. Subramani, A.E. Shanawaz Khan
Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): Santalum album L.
Family: Santalaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Tree
Habitat: Dry deciduous & mixed deciduous
Orginal Global Distribution: Indo-Malaysia
Current Regional Distribution: Southern India
- Elevation: upto 1,200 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many
Population Trends -%
- % Decline: > 50 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known
Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining rapidly
Data Quality
General field study (M.B.Vishwanathan, 1984-86 survey in N. Arcot & Kolli hills)
Threats (Key): Overexploitation; Harvest for timber; Trade of parts; Harvest for medicine; Loss of habitat due to exotic species; Disease
Trade: Domestic; Commercial; International
Other Comments: Lot of research underway in ICFRE. Sandal spike disease in rampant. Commercially traded in tuber and oil
Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d, 1e)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No
Recommendations
- Research management: Habitat management
- P.H.V.A.: No
Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Reforestation
- Level of difficulty: Very difficult
Existing Cultivations: In plantations
- Names of facilities: --
Sources: Personal observation/ comments: M.B.Vishwanathan, V.S. Ramachandran, P.S. Udayan, Noorie, M. Sivasadasan, K. Ravi Kumar
Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan, Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms):  Sapindus laurifolia Vahl
= S. trifoliatus sensu Hiern. non L.
Family:  Sapindaceae
Taxonomic status:  Species
Habit:  Tree
Habitat:  Deciduous to semi-evergreen

Original Global Distribution:  India & Sri Lanka
Current Regional Distribution:  Southern India
- Elevation:  upto 800 m.
- Range (km²):  > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²):  > 2,000
- Number of locations:  Many

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline:  Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens):  Not known
- No. of Mature Individuals:  Not known

Global Population:  Not known
Regional Population:  Not declining

Data Quality
- General field studies:  General field studies

Threats (Key):  Trade for parts
Trade:  Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments:  Fruits widely traded. Effect of fruit harvest on population structure needs to be studied. Demand is increasing.

Status
- IUCN:  LOWER RISK-NEAR THREATENED (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on:  Not applicable
- CITES:  No
- IWPA(1972;91):  No

Recommendations
- Research management:  Monitoring.
- P.H.V.A.:  No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation:  Commercial cultivation
- Level of difficulty:  Least difficult

Existing Cultivations:
- Names of facilities:  Widespread


Compilers:  Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Di. N. Loganathan, Dr. V. S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): Semecarpus travancorica Beddome
Family: Anacardiaceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Tree
Habitat: Evergreen forest

Orginal Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats.

Current Regional Distribution: Southern Western Ghats (Anamalai southwards)
- Elevation: 1,300 m.
- Range (km²): < 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Few; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining gradually

Data Quality: General field studies


Threats (Key): Loss of habitat

Trade: Not known

Other Comments:

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1c); Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972,91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Pending
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None
- Names of facilities: --

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: K. Ravi Kumar, M.D. Subash Chandran
N. Anil Kumar
Mukherjee, S.K. Revision of Anacardiaceae (Unpublished);
Nair, N.E. & A.N. Henry (1983). Flora of Tamil Nadu, India (Ser.1: Analysis) 1:89;
Mohanan, N. & A.N. Henry (1994). Flora of Thiruvananthapuram

Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. S.P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): **Shorea tumbuggaia Roxb.**

Family: Dipterocarpaceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Medicinal Tree
Habitat: Deciduous - dry forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to southern Eastern Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Southern Eastern Ghats
- Elevation: upto 600 m.
- Range (km²): < 5,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 10
- Number of locations: Very few; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 80 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining rapidly

Data Quality General field study; Indirect information (M.P. Nayar, 1984)

Recent Field Studies Not known

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Loss of habitat because of fragmentation: Harvest for medicine

Trade: Not known

Other Comments: Used as external stimulant

Status
- IUCN: CRITICALLY ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1c); Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA (1972; 91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Habitat management; Life history studies; Survey; Immediate ex situ measures
- P.H. VA: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1; Level 2
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Not known
- Names of facilities:

Sources: Personal observation/comments: M.P. Nayar

Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganaragimhan,
Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S.
Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): *Smilax zeylanica* L.  
= *S. macrophylla* Wight

Family: Liliaceae (Smilacaceae)

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Climbing Shrub

Habitat: Scrub, Dry deciduous to evergreen

Original Global Distribution: India, Southeast Asia to Java

Current Regional Distribution: Southern India

- Elevation: 100 to 1500 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: < 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Many

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining

Data Quality
- General field studies

Recent Field Studies
V. Chelladurai & S.P. Subramani in Courtallam & Peechiparai; Keshava Murthy; V.S. Ramachandran; A.E. Shanawaz Khan, 1994 in Thiruvananthapuram, Pathanamthitta; P.S. Udyan, 1995 in Conoor, Kodanad; Mangalore University Botany Dept., 1995 in Charmadi and Subramanya MPCAs; TBGRI in Triveni MPCA; N. Anil Kumar, 1992-93 in Pathanamthitta; N. Mohanan, 1994-95 in Agastyamalai

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Harvest for medicine; Trade of parts

Trade:
- Domestic: Commercial

Other Comments:
Extensive trade in Tamilnadu. No collection from Karnataka. Used as a substitute for *S. china*. 20% decline in Tamilnadu, Kerala not in Karnataka. Roots and leaves in trade.

Status
- IUCN: LOWER RISK - NEAR THREATENED (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)

- Criteria based on: Not applicable
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Habitat management; Life history studies
- P.H.V.A.: Pending

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 3
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None
- Names of facilities: --
Smilax zeylanica continued

Sources:

Henry, A.N., V. Chitra & N.P. Balakrishnan (1989). *Flora of Tamil Nadu, India* (Ser.1 Analysis) 3:42;
Mathew & Britto (1983). In Mathew. *Flora of Tamil Nadu Carnatic*
Manilal (1988). *Flora of Silent Valley*
Mohanan, N. & A.N. Henry (1994). *Flora of Thiruvananthapuram*

Compilers:

Dr. V. Chelladurai. Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer,
Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): *Strychnos aenea* A.W. Hill.  
= *S. rhedii* Brandis

Family: Loganiaceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: A large climbing shrub
Habitat: Found in evergreen forest

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats.

Current Distribution: Southern Western Ghats
- Elevation: 1,500 - 2,000 m.
- Range (km²): < 5,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: 5 to 6; Fragmented

Population Trends - %
- % Decline: > 50 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining

Data Quality: General field studies; Indirect information

Recent Field Studies: S. Armougame, 1995 in Kaikatty forest, Nelliampathy Range, Palakkad

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Overexploitation; Harvest for medicine

Trade: Not known

Other Comments: Leaves and bark for medicine (M.P. Nayar). Used similar to *Strychnos nux-vomica*. Note: The name given in Henry et al (1987) is *Strychnos vanprukii* Craib (=*S. aenea* Hill)

Status
- iUCN: ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d); Extent of occurrence (B1,2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA (1972,91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Habitat management; Life history studies; Survey
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: S. Armougame  

Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr.M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan, Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): **Swertia corymbosa** (Griseb.) Wight ex B. Clarke
Family: Gentianaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Herb
Habitat: Grasslands
Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats
Current Distribution: Western Ghats
- Elevation: above 800 m.
- Range (km²): < 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 2,000
- Number of locations: Many, Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals:* Many

Global Population: Declining

Data Quality

Threats (Key): Harvest for medicine; Loss of habitat: Loss of habitat due to fragmentation; Grazing by animals; Trade

Trade: Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Used as substitute for **Swertia chirayata**. Grasslands are being converted into plantation by forest department. Three varieties have been identified within the specific level, infraspecific variations are not taken into consideration for this assessment. Whole plant is traded.

Status
- IUCN: VULNERABLE
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c, 1d); Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring; Life history studies
- P.H.V.A.: Pending results

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 3
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: Keshava Murthy, S.N. Yoganarashimhan. N. Anil Kumar, A.E. Shanawaz Khan, P.S. Udayan, AG. Pandurangan
Ramamurthy (1976). In Saldanha & Nichols, Flora of Hasan Dish
Mathew & Britto (1983). In Mathew, Flora of Tamil Nadu Camatic
Manial (1988). Flora of Silent Valley

Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): Swertia lawii (Wight ex B. Clarke) Burkill
Family: Gentianaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Herb
Habitat: Grasslands
Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Western Ghats
Current Distribution: Western Ghats
- Elevation: above 800 m.
- Range (km²): < 5,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: Few; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: > 20%
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Few

Global Population: Declining
Data Quality: Indirect information
Recent Field Studies: None
Threats (Key): Loss of habitat; Loss of habitat because of exotic plants; Grazing by animals
Trade: Not known

Other Comments:

Status
- IUCN: ENDANGERED
- Criteria Based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring; Life history studies
- P.H.V.A.: Pending

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 3
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None


Compilers: Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer, Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
Species (& synonyms): Terminalia arjuna (Roxb. ex DC.) Wight & Arn.
Family: Combretaceae
Taxonomic status: Species
Habit: Tree
Habitat: Moist deciduous to semi-evergreen

Original Global Distribution: Deccan, Sri Lanka & the Sub-Himalayan tracts of the North West provinces.

Current Regional Distribution: Southern India
- Elevation: Up to 1,400 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations:

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: < 20 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 3 generations
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known
Regional Population: Declining

Data Quality
Recent Field Studies: General field studies

Threats (Key): Trade for parts: Harvest for medicine (bark); Harvest for timber
Trade: Domestic; Commercial
Other Comments: Bark is used for medicine, Wood is used as Timber-

Status
- IUCN: LOWER RISK - NEAR THREATENED (Regionally); DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- Criteria based on: Not applicable
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Least difficult

Existing Cultivations: Nurseries on roadsides


Compilers: Dr. P. Venu, Mr. P.S. Udayan, Ms. Noorunissa Begum, Mr. A.E. Shanawaz Khan, Mr. D.K. Ved, Dr. P. Subramani, Ms. Caroline Priya, Dr. C.G. Kushalappa
Species (& synonyms): **Trichosanthes anamalayana Beddome.**

Family: Cucurbitaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Climber

Habitat: Semi-evergreen to shola forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to Southern Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Southern Western Ghats (Anamalais and Megamalais)

- Elevation: 1,000-1,600 m.
- Range (km²): < 100
- Area Occupied (km²): < 10
- Number of locations: 2; Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 50 %
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining and restricted distribution

Data Quality Reliable census or population monitoring; General field studies; K. Ravi Kumar, 1984-1990 in Megamalai

Recent Field Studies S. Armougame, 1995 in Topslip; V.S. Ramachandran, 1996 in Anamalai

Threats (Key): Human interference; Harvest for medicine; Trade

Trade: Domestic; Commercial

Other Comments: Mattew in FTC Vol. 652 (1983) treats T.bracteata (Lam.) J. Voigt var. tomentos Heyne as a syn. of the above species the two taxa according to Ravi Kumar are distinct.

Status -IUCN: CRITICALLY ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Extent of occurrence (B1, 2c)
- CITES: No
- IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None
- Names of facilities: --

Sources: Personal observation/ comments: S. Armougame, V. S. Ramachandran
K. Ravi Kumar

Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar,
Dr. A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms):

*Trichosanthes cucumerina* L.

Family: Cucurbitaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Climber

Habitat: Coast to Deciduous forests

Original Global Distribution: India, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Australia

Current Regional Distribution: Peninsular India (Maharastra, Tamilnadu, Karnataka, Kerala & Andhra Pradesh)

- Elevation: Up to 800 m.
- Range (km²): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): > 2,000
- Number of locations: Many; Fragmented

Population Trends - % decline

- % Decline: Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known

Regional Population: Not known

Data Quality

General field study; Informal field sightings

Recent Field Studies


Threats (Key): Not known

Trade: Not known

Other Comments: Widely distributed; Locally not, abundant

Status

- IUCN: DATA DEFICIENT (Regionally):
  DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)

Criteria based on:
Not applicable

-CITES: No

-IWPA(1972;91): No

Recommendations

- Research management: Monitoring

-P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations.

- Cultivation: No

- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None

Names of facilities: -.

Sources:


Compilers: Mr. B.V. Shetty, Mr. Purushotham Singh, Dr. S.R. Ramesh, Dr. K. Ravi Kumar, Dr.A.G. Pandurangan, Dr. Ellis, Dr. K.R. Geetha, Ms. Latha
Species (& synonyms): \textit{Uvaria hookeri} King = \textit{U. narum} Wallich ex Hook. f. & Thomson var. \textit{macrophylla} Hook. f. Thomson

Family: Annonaceae

Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Shrub

Habitat: Evergreen forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Southern Western Ghats

- Elevation: upto 1,000 m
- Range (km$^2$): > 20,000
- Area Occupied (km$^2$): Not known
- Number of locations: Not known

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: Not known
- Time / Rate (Yrs or gens): Not known
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Not known

Data Quality: Field studies (Keshava Murthy, 1983 in Sollekoll); M.D. Subash Chandran, 1996 in Uttara Kannada Secondary forests.

Recent Field Studies: None

Threats (Key): Not known

Trade: Not known

Other Comments: Not seriously affected in Uttara Kannada but conversion of habitats to monoculture plantations can affect it adversely

Status
- IUCN: DATA DEFICIENT
- Criteria based on: Not applicable
- CITES: No
- IWPA (1972:91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Survey; Monitoring
- P.H.V.A.: No

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: None
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: None


Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Qr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan, Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S. Armougame Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
Species (& synonyms): Valeriana leschenaultii DC.
Family: Valerianaceae
Taxonomic status: Species

Habit: Large herb
Habitat: Found along margins of Shola/ evergreen forests

Original Global Distribution: ENDEMIC to southern Western Ghats

Current Regional Distribution: Southern Western Ghats
- Elevation: 1,000 - 2,000 m.
- Range (km²): < 20,000
- Area Occupied (km²): < 500
- Number of locations: 3: Fragmented

Population Trends - % change
- % Decline: 80%
- Time/Rate (Yrs or gens): 10 years
- No. of Mature Individuals: Not known

Global Population: Declining rapidly
Data Quality: General field studies

Recent Field Studies: P.S. Udayan, 1992 in Mudimud; K. Ravi Kumar, 1983-91 in Megamalai, Madurai

Threats (Key): Loss of habitat
Trade: Not known

Other Comments:

Status
- IUCN: CRITICALLY ENDANGERED
- Criteria based on: Population reduction (A1a, 1c)
- CITES: No
- WPA(1972:91): No

Recommendations
- Research management: Life history studies, Survey: Habitat management
- P.H.V.A.: Yes

Cultivation Program Recommendations
- Cultivation: Level 1
- Level of difficulty: Not known

Existing Cultivations: Not known
- Names of facilities:

Sources: Personal observation/comments: P.S. Udayan, K. Ravi Kumar
Nayar, M.P. Flora Hot Spots' Endemic plants;
(Ser.1: Analysis) 2:27;

Compilers: Dr. M.P. Nayar, Dr. M.D. Subash Chandran, Dr. S.N. Yoganarasimhan,
Mr. A. Kareem, Dr. M.B. Vishwanathan, Mr. Vinay Tandon, Mr. S.
Armougame, Dr. S.S.R. Bennet
### TAXON DATASHEET

**Species (& synonyms):** *Vitex trifolia* L.

**Family:** Verbenaceae

**Taxonomic status:** Species

**Habit:** Shrub/ Small tree

**Habitat:** Coastal India

**Original Global Distribution:** Coastal India, Sri Lanka, Japan, Philippines, Australia

**Current Distribution:** Southern coastal India

- **Elevation:** upto 50 m.
- **Range (km²):** > 20,000
- **Area Occupied (km²):** > 2,000
- **Number of locations:** Many

**Population Trends - % change**
- **% Decline:** Not known
- **Time / Rate (Yrs or gens):** Not known
- **No. of Mature Individuals:** Many

**Global Population:** Not known

**Regional Population:** Not declining

**Data Quality:** General Field Study

**Recent Field Studies:**
- Keshava Murthy, 1994 in Uttara Kannada;
- V.S. Ramachandran, 1996 in Topslip, Tamil Nadu;
- A.E. Shanawaz Khan, 1996 in Cannanore

**Threats (Key):** Harvest for medicine; Trade for parts

**Trade:** Commercial

**Other Comments:**

**Status**
- **IUCN:** LOWER RISK- NEAR THREATENED (Regionally);
  DATA DEFICIENT (Globally)
- **Criteria based on:** Not applicable
- **CITES:** No
- **IWPA(1972,91):** No

**Recommendations**
- **Research management:** No
- **P.H.VA:** No.

**Cultivation Program Recommendations**
- **Cultivation:** No
- **Level of difficulty:** Not known
- **Existing Cultivations:** None

**Sources:**
- Personal observation/ comments: Keshava Murthy, V.S. Ramachandran, A.E. Shanawaz Khan

**Compilers:**
- Dr. V. Chelladurai, Dr. Keshava Murthy, Mr. S.S. Goraya, Ms. Meera Iyer,
- Dr. N. Loganathan, Dr. V.S. Ramachandran, Dr. M. Sivadasan
1. The threatened species categories now used in Red Data Books and Red Lists have been in place, with some modification, for almost 30 years. Since their introduction these categories have become widely recognised internationally, and they are now used in a whole range of publications and listings, produced by IUCN as well as by numerous governmental and nongovernmental organisations. The Red Data Book categories provide an easily and widely understood method for highlighting those species under higher extinction risk, so as to focus attention on conservation measures designed to protect them.

2. The need to revise the categories has been recognised for some time. In 1984, the SSC held a symposium, The Road to Extinction (Fitter & Fitter, 1987), which examined the issues in some detail, and at which a number of options were considered for the revised system. However, no single proposal resulted. The current phase of development began in 1989 with a request from the SSC Steering Committee to develop a new approach that would provide the conservation community with useful information for action planning.

In this document, proposals for new definitions for Red List categories are presented. The general aim of the new system is to provide an explicit, objective framework for the classification of species according to their extinction risk.

The revision has several specific aims:

- to provide a system that can be applied consistently by different people;
- to improve the objectivity by providing those using the criteria with clear guidance on how to evaluate different factors which affect risk of extinction;
- to provide a system which will facilitate comparisons across widely different taxa;
- to give people using threatened species lists a better understanding of how individual species were classified.

3. The proposals presented in this document result from a continuing process of drafting, consultation and validation. It was clear that the production of a large number of draft proposals led to some confusion, especially as each draft has been used for classifying some set of species for conservation purposes. To clarify matters, and to open the way for modifications as and when they became necessary, a system for version numbering was applied as follows:

**Version 1.0: Mace & Lande (1991)**

The first paper discussed a new basis for the categories, and presenting numerical criteria especially relevant for large vertebrates.

**Version 2.0: Mace et al. (1992)**

A major revision of Version 1.0, including numerical criteria especially relevant for large vertebrates.

**Version 2.1: IUCN (1993)**

Following an extensive consultation process within SSC, a number of changes were made to the details of the criteria, and fuller explanation of basic principles was included. A more explicit structure clarified the significance of the non-threatened categories.

**Version 2.2: Mace & Stuart (1994)**

Following further comments received and additional validation exercises, some minor changes to the criteria were made. In addition, the Susceptible category present in Versions 2.0 and 2.1 was subsumed into the Vulnerable category. A precautionary application of the system was emphasised.

4. In the rest of this document the proposed system is outlined in several sections. The Preamble presents some basic information about the context and structure of the proposal, and the procedures that are to be followed in applying the definitions to species. This is followed by a section giving definitions of terms used. Finally the definitions are presented, followed by the quantitative criteria used for classification within the threatened categories. It is important for the effective functioning of the new system that all sections are read and understood, and the guidelines followed.

**REFERENCES**


II. PREAMBLE

The following points present important information on the use and interpretation of the categories (= Critically Endangered, Endangered, etc.), criteria (= A to E), and sub-criteria (= a, b etc., i, ii etc.):

1. Taxonomic level and scope of the categorisation process

The criteria can be applied. Given that a taxon is below the species level. The term 'taxon' in the following notes, definitions and criteria is used for convenience, and may represent species of lower taxonomic levels, including forms that are not yet formally described. There is a sufficient range among the different criteria to enable the appropriate listing of taxa from the complete taxonomic spectrum, with the exception of micro-organisms. The criteria may also be applied within any specified geographical or political area although in such cases special notice should be taken of point 11 below. In presenting the results of applying the criteria, the taxonomic unit and area under consideration should be made explicit. The categorisation process should only be applied to wild populations inside their natural range, and to populations resulting from benign introductions (defined in the draft IUCN Guidelines for Re-introductions as "an attempt to establish a species, for the purpose of conservation, outside its recorded distribution, but within an appropriate habitat and eco-geographical area").

2. Nature of the categories

All taxa listed as Critically qualify for Vulnerable and Endangered, and all listed as Endangered qualify for Vulnerable. Together these categories are described as ‘threatened’. The threatened species categories form a part of the overall scheme. It will be possible to place all taxa into one of the categories (see Figure 1).

Figure 1: Structure of the Categories

3. Role of the different criteria

For listing as Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable there is a range of quantitative criteria; meeting any one of these criteria qualifies a taxon for listing at that level of threat. Each species should be evaluated against all the criteria. The different criteria (A–E) are derived from a wide review aimed at detecting risk factors across the broad range of organisms and the diverse life histories they exhibit. Even though some criteria will be inappropriate for certain taxa (some taxa will never qualify under these however close to extinction they come), there should be criteria appropriate for assessing threat levels for any taxon (other than micro-organisms). The relevant factor is whether one criterion is met, not whether all are appropriate or all are met. Because it will never be clear which criteria are appropriate for a particular species in advance, each species should be evaluated against all the criteria, and any criterion met should be listed.

4. Derivation of quantitative criteria

The quantitative values presented in the various criteria associated with threatened categories were developed through wide consultation and they are set at what are generally judged to be appropriate levels, even if no formal justification for these values exists. The levels for different criteria within categories were set independently but against a common standard. Some broad consistency between them was sought. However, a given taxon should not be expected to meet all criteria (A–E) in a category; meeting any one criterion is sufficient for listing.

5. Implications of listing

Listing in a category of Not Evaluated and Data Deficient indicates that no assessment of extinction risk has been made, though for different reasons. Until such time as an assessment is made, species listed in these categories should not be treated as if they were non-threatened and it may be appropriate (especially for Data Deficient forms) to give them the same degree of protection as threatened taxa at least until their status can be evaluated.

Extinction is assumed here to be a chance process. Thus, a listing in a higher extinction risk category implies a higher expectation of extinction, and over the time-frames specified more taxa listed in a higher category are expected to go extinct than in a lower one (without effective conservation action). However, the persistence of some taxa in high risk categories does not necessarily mean their initial assessment was inaccurate.

6. Data quality and the importance of inference and projection

The criteria are clearly quantitative in nature. However, the absence of high quality data should not deter attempts at applying the criteria, as methods involving estimation, inference and projection are emphasised to be acceptable throughout. Inference and projection may be based on extrapolation of current or potential threats into the future (including their rate of change), or of factors related to population abundance or distribution (including dependence on other taxa), so long as these can reasonably be supported. Suspected or inferred patterns in either the recent past, present or near future can be based on any of a series of related factors, and these factors should be specified.

Taxa at risk from threats posed by future events of low probability but with severe consequences (catastrophes) should be identified by the criteria (e.g. small distribution, few locations) Some threats need to be identified particularly early, and appropriate actions taken, because their effects are irreversible, or nearly so (pathogens, invasive organisms, hybridization).

7. Uncertainty

The criteria should be applied on the basis of the available evidence on taxon numbers, trend and distribution, making due allowance for statistical and other uncertainties. Where data are available for the whole range or population of a taxon, it may often be appropriate to use the information that is available to make intelligent inference about the overall status of the taxon in question. In cases where a wide variation in estimation is found, it is legitimate to apply the precautionary principle and use the estimate (providing it is credible) that leads to listing in the category of highest risk. Where data are insufficient to assign a category (including Lower Risk), the category of ‘Data Deficient’ may be assigned. However, it is important to recognise that this category indicates...
that data are inadequate to determine the degree of threat faced by a taxon, not necessarily that the taxon is poorly known. In cases where there are evident threats to a taxon through, for example, deterioration of its only known habitat, it is important to attempt threatened listing, even though there may be little direct information on the biological status of the taxon itself. The category 'Data Deficient' is not a threatened category, although it indicates a need to obtain more information on a taxon to determine the appropriate listing.

8. Conservation actions in the listing process

The criteria for the threatened categories are to be applied to a taxon whatever the level of conservation action affecting it. In cases where it is only conservation action that prevents the taxon from meeting the threatened criteria, the designation of 'Conservation Dependent' is appropriate. It is important to emphasize here that a taxon requires conservation action even if it is not listed as threatened.

9. Documentation

All taxon lists including categorisation resulting from these criteria should state the criteria and sub-criteria that were met. No listing can be accepted as valid unless at least one criterion is given. If more than one criterion or sub-criterion was met, then each should be listed. However, failure to mention a criterion should not necessarily imply that it was not met. Therefore, if a re-evaluation indicated that the documented criterion is no longer met, this should not result in automatic down-listing. Instead, the taxon should be re-evaluated with respect to all criteria to indicate its status. The factors responsible for triggering the criteria, especially where inference and projection are used, should at least be logged by the evaluator, even if they cannot be included in published lists.

10. Threats and priorities

The category of threat is not necessarily sufficient to determine priorities for conservation action. The category of threat simply provides an assessment of the likelihood of extinction under current circumstances, whereas a system for assessing priorities for action will include numerous other factors concerning conservation action such as costs, logistics, chances of success, and even perhaps the taxonomic distinctiveness of the subject.

11. Use at regional level

The criteria are most appropriately applied to whole taxa at a global scale, rather than to those units defined by regional or national boundaries. Regionally or nationally based threat categories, which are aimed at including taxa that are threatened at regional or national levels (but not necessarily throughout their global ranges), are best used with two key pieces of information: the global status category for the taxon, and the proportion of the global population or range that occurs within the region or nation. However, if applied at regional or national level it must be recognised that a global category of threat may not be the same as a regional or national category for a particular taxon. For example, taxa classified as Vulnerable on the basis of their global declines in numbers or range might be Lower Risk within a particular region where their populations are stable. Conversely, taxa classified as Lower Risk globally might be Critically Endangered within a particular region where numbers are very small or declining, perhaps only because they are at the margins of their global range. IUCN is still in the process of developing guidelines for the use of national red list categories.

12. Re-evaluation

Evaluation of taxa against the criteria should be carried out at appropriate intervals. This is especially important for taxa listed under Near Threatened, or Conservation Dependent, and for threatened species whose status is known or suspected to be deteriorating.

13. Transfer between categories

These are as follows: (A) A taxon may be moved from a category of higher threat to a category of lower threat if none of the criteria of the higher category has been met for 5 years or more. (B) If the original classification is found to have been erroneous, the taxon may be transferred to the appropriate category or removed from the threatened categories altogether, without delay (but see Section 9). (C) Transfer from categories of lower to higher risk should be made without delay.

14. Problems of scale

Classification based on the sizes of geographic ranges or the patterns of habitat occupancy is complicated by problems of spatial scale. The finer the scale at which the distributions or habitats of taxa are mapped, the smaller will be the area that they are found to occupy. Mapping at finer scales reveals more areas in which the taxon is unrecorded. It is impossible to provide any strict but general rules for mapping taxa or habitats; the most appropriate scale will depend on the taxon in question, and the origin and comprehensiveness of the distributional data. However, the thresholds for some criteria (e.g. Critically Endangered) necessitate mapping at a fine scale.

III. DEFINITIONS

1. Population

Population is defined as the total number of individuals of the taxon. For functional reasons, primarily owing to differences between life-forms, population numbers are expressed as numbers of mature individuals only. In the case of taxa obligately dependent on other taxa for all or part of their life cycles, biologically appropriate values for the host taxon should be used.

2. Subpopulations

Subpopulations are defined as geographically or otherwise distinct groups in the population between which there is little exchange (typically one successful migrant individual or gamete per year or less).

3. Mature individuals

The number of mature individuals is defined as the number of individuals known, estimated or inferred to be capable of reproduction. When estimating this quantity the following points should be borne in mind:

- Where the population is characterised by natural fluctuations the minimum number should be used.
- This measure is intended to count individuals capable of reproduction and should therefore exclude individuals that are environmentally, behaviourally or otherwise reproductively suppressed in the wild.
- In the case of populations with biased adult or breeding sex ratios it is appropriate to use lower estimates for the number of mature individuals which take this into account (e.g. the estimated effective population size).
- Reproducing units within a clone should be counted as individuals, except where such units are unable to survive alone (e.g. corals).
- In the case of taxa that naturally lose all or a subset of mature individuals at some point in their life cycle, the estimate should be made at the appropriate time, when mature individuals are available for breeding.

4. Generation

Generation may be measured as the average age of parents in the population. This is greater than the age at first breeding, except in taxa where individuals breed only once.

5. Continuing decline

A continuing decline is a recent, current or projected future decline whose causes are not known or not adequately controlled and so is liable to continue unless remedial measures are taken. Natural fluctuations will not normally count as a continuing decline, but an observed decline should not be considered to be part of a natural fluctuation unless there is evidence for this.
6. Reduction
A reduction (criterion A) is a decline in the number of mature individuals of at least the amount (%) stated over the time period (years) specified, although the decline need not still be continuing. A reduction should not be interpreted as part of a natural fluctuation unless there is good evidence for this. Downward trends that are part of natural fluctuations will not normally count as a reduction.

7. Extreme fluctuations
Extreme fluctuations occur in a number of taxa where population size or distribution area varies widely, rapidly and frequently, typically with a variation greater than one order of magnitude (i.e., a tenfold increase or decrease).

8. Severely fragmented
Severely fragmented refers to the situation where increased extinction risks to the taxon result from the fact that most individuals within a taxon are found in small and relatively isolated subpopulations. These small subpopulations may go extinct, with a reduced probability of recolonisation.

9. Extent of occurrence
Extent of occurrence is defined as the area contained within the shortest continuous imaginary boundary which can be drawn to encompass all the known, inferred or projected sites of present occurrence of a taxon, excluding cases of vagrancy. This measure may exclude discontinuities or disjunctions within the overall distributions of taxa (e.g., large areas of obviously unsuitable habitat) (but see 'area of occupancy'). Extent of occurrence can often be measured by a minimum convex polygon (the smallest polygon in which no internal angle exceeds 180 degrees and which contains all the sites of occurrence).

10. Area of occupancy
Area of occupancy is defined as the area within its 'extent of occurrence' (see definition) which is occupied by a taxon, excluding cases of vagrancy. The measure reflects the fact that a taxon will not usually occur throughout the area of its extent of occurrence, which may, for example, contain unsuitable habitats.

11. Location
Location defines a geographically or ecologically distinct area in which a single event (e.g., pollution) will soon affect all individuals of the taxon present. A location usually, but not always, contains all or part of a subpopulation of the taxon, and is typically a small proportion of the taxon's total distribution.

12. Quantitative analysis
A quantitative analysis is defined here as the technique of population viability analysis (PVA), or any other quantitative form of analysis, which estimates the extinction probability of a taxon or population based on the known life history and specified management or non-management options. In presenting the results of quantitative analyses the structural equations and the data should be explicit.
IV THE CATEGORIES

EXTINCT (EX)
A taxon is Extinct when there is no reasonable doubt that the last individual has died.

EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
A taxon is Extinct in the wild when it is known only to survive in cultivation, in captivity or as a naturalised population (or populations) well outside the past range. A taxon is presumed extinct in the wild when exhaustive surveys in known and/or expected habitat, at appropriate times (diurnal, seasonal, annual), throughout its historic range have failed to record an individual. Surveys should be over a time frame appropriate to the taxon’s life cycle and life form.

CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR)
A taxon is Critically Endangered when it is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as defined by any of the criteria (A to E) on subsequent pages.

ENDANGERED (EN)
A taxon is Endangered when it is Critically Endangered but is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as defined by any of the criteria (A to E) on subsequent pages.

VULNERABLE (VU)
A taxon is Vulnerable when it is not Critically Endangered or Endangered but is facing a high risk of extinction in the medium-term future, as defined by any of the criteria (A to D) on subsequent pages.

LOWER RISK (LR)
A taxon is Lower Risk when it has been evaluated, does not satisfy the criteria for any of the categories Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable. Taxa moulded in the Lower Risk category can be separated into three subcategories

1. Conservation Dependent (cd). Taxa which are the focus of a continuing taxon-specific or habitat-specific conservation programme targeted towards the taxon in question, the cessation of which would result in the taxon qualifying for one of the threatened categories above within a period of five years.

2. Near Threatened (nt). Taxa which do not qualify for Conservation Dependent, but which are close to qualifying for Vulnerable

3. Least Concern (lc). Taxa which do not qualify for Conservation Dependent or Near Threatened.

DATA DEFICIENT (DD)
A taxon is Data Deficient when there is inadequate information to make a direct, or indirect, assessment of its risk of extinction based on its distribution and/or population status. A taxon in this category may be well studied, and its biology well known, but appropriate data on abundance and/or distribution is lacking. Data Deficient is therefore not a category of threat or Lower Risk. Listing of taxa in this category indicates that more information is required and acknowledges the possibility that future research will show that threatened classification is appropriate. It is important to make positive use of whatever data are available. In many cases great care should be exercised in choosing between DD and threatened status if the range of a taxon is suspected to be relatively circumscribed, if a considerable period of time has elapsed since the last record of the taxon, threatened status may well be justified

NOT EVALUATED (NE)
A taxon is Not Evaluated when it has not yet been assessed against the criteria.

V. THE CRITERIA FOR CRITICALLY ENDANGERED, ENDANGERED AND VULNERABLE

CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR)
A taxon is Critically Endangered when it is facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as defined by any of the following criteria (A to E):

A. Population reduction in the form of either of the following:

1. An observed, estimated, inferred or suspected reduction of at least 80% over the last 10 years or three generations, whichever is the longer, based on (and specifying) any of the following:

(a) direct observation
(b) an index of abundance appropriate for the taxon
(c) a decline in area of occupancy, extent of occurrence and/or quality of habitat
(d) actual or potential levels of exploitation
(e) the effects of introduced taxa, hybridisation, pathogens, pollutants, competitors or parasites.

2. A reduction of at least 80%, projected or suspected to be met within the next ten years or three generations, whichever is the longer, based on (and specifying) any of (b), (c), (d) or (e) above.

B. Extent of occurrence estimated to be less than 100 km² or area of occupancy estimated to be less than 10 km², and estimates indicating any two of the following:

1. Severely fragmented or known to exist at only a single location.

2. Continuing decline, observed, inferred or projected, in any of the following:

(a) extent of occurrence
(b) area of occupancy
(c) area, extent and/or quality of habitat
(d) number of locations or subpopulations
(e) number of mature individuals.

3. Extreme fluctuations in any of the following:

(a) extent of occurrence
(b) area of occupancy
(c) number of locations or subpopulations
(d) number of mature individuals.

C. Population estimated to number less than 250 mature individuals and either:

1. An estimated continuing decline of at least 25% within 3 years or one generation, whichever is longer

2. A continuing decline, observed, projected, or inferred, in numbers of mature individuals and population structure in the form of either:

(a) severely fragmented (i.e. no subpopulation estimated to contain more than 50 mature individuals)

(b) all individuals are in a single subpopulation.

D. Population estimated to number less than 50 mature individuals.

E. Quantitative analysis showing the probability of extinction in the wild is at least 50% within 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is the longer.
**VULNERABLE (VU)**

A taxon is Vulnerable when it is not Critically Endangered or Endangered but is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as defined by any of the following criteria (A to E):

A. Population reduction in the form of either of the following:

1. An observed, estimated, inferred or suspected reduction of at least 50% over the last 10 years or three generations, whichever is the longer, based on (and specifying) any of the following:
   - (a) direct observation
   - (b) an index of abundance appropriate for the taxon
   - (c) a decline in area of occupancy, extent of occurrence and/or quality of habitat
   - (d) actual or potential levels of exploitation
   - (e) the effects of introduced taxa, hybridisation, pathogens, pollutants, competitors or parasites.

2. A reduction of at least 50% projected or suspected to be met within the next ten years or three generations, whichever is the longer, based on (and specifying) any of (a), (b), (c), (d) or (e) above.

B. Extent of occurrence estimated to be less than 5000 km² or area of occupancy estimated to be less than 500 km², and estimates indicating any two of the following:

1. Severely fragmented or known to exist at no more than five locations

2. Continuing decline, inferred, observed or projected, in any of the following:
   - (a) extent of occurrence
   - (b) area of occupancy
   - (c) area, extent and/or quality of habitat
   - (d) number of locations or subpopulations
   - (e) number of mature individuals.

3. Extreme fluctuations in any of the following:
   - (a) extent of occurrence
   - (b) area of occupancy
   - (c) number of locations or subpopulations
   - (d) number of mature individuals.

C. Population estimated to number less than 2500 mature individuals and either:

1. An estimated continuing decline of at least 20% within 5 years or 2 generations, whichever is longer, or

2. A continuing decline, observed, projected, or inferred, in numbers of mature individuals and population structure in the form of either:
   - (a) severely fragmented (i.e. no subpopulation estimated to contain more than 250 mature individuals)
   - (b) all individuals are in a single subpopulation.

D. Population estimated to number less than 250 mature individuals.

E. Quantitative analysis showing the probability of extinction in the wild is at least 20% within 20 years or 5 generations, whichever is the longer.

---

**ENDANGERED (EN)**

A taxon is Endangered when it is not Critically Endangered but is facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as defined by any of the following criteria (A to E):

A. Population reduction in the form of either of the following:

1. An observed, estimated, inferred or suspected reduction of at least 20% over the last 10 years or three generations, whichever is the longer, based on (and specifying) any of the following:
   - (a) direct observation
   - (b) an index of abundance appropriate for the taxon
   - (c) a decline in area of occupancy, extent of occurrence and/or quality of habitat
   - (d) actual or potential levels of exploitation
   - (e) the effects of introduced taxa, hybridisation, pathogens, pollutants, competitors or parasites.

2. A reduction of at least 50% projected or suspected to be met within the next ten years or three generations, whichever is the longer, based on (and specifying) any of (a), (b), (c), (d) or (e) above.

B. Extent of occurrence estimated to be less than 20,000 km² or area of occupancy estimated to be less than 2000 km², and estimates indicating any two of the following:

1. Severely fragmented or known to exist at no more than five locations

2. Continuing decline, inferred, observed or projected, in any of the following:
   - (a) extent of occurrence
   - (b) area of occupancy
   - (c) area, extent and/or quality of habitat
   - (d) number of locations or subpopulations
   - (e) number of mature individuals.

3. Extreme fluctuations in any of the following:
   - (a) extent of occurrence
   - (b) area of occupancy
   - (c) number of locations or subpopulations
   - (d) number of mature individuals.

C. Population estimated to number less than 10,000 mature individuals and either:

1. An estimated continuing decline of at least 10% within 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer, or

2. A continuing decline, observed, projected, or inferred, in numbers of mature individuals and population structure in the form of either:
   - (a) severely fragmented (i.e. no subpopulation estimated to contain more than 1000 mature individuals)
   - (b) all individuals are in a single subpopulation.

D. Population very small or restricted in the form of either of the following:

1. Population estimated to number less than 1000 mature individuals.

2. Population is characterised by an acute restriction in its area of occupancy (typically less than 100km²) or in the number of locations (typically less than 5). Such a taxon would thus be prone to the effects of human activities (or stochastic events whose impact is increased by human activities) within a very short period of time in an unforeseeable future, and is thus capable of becoming Critically Endangered or even Extinct in a very short period.

E. Quantitative analysis showing the probability of extinction in the wild is at least 10% within 100 years.